

fractional Calculus & Applied Analysis

An International Journal for Theory and Applications

VOLUME 9, NUMBER 3 (2006)

ISSN 1311-0454

AN ANALOGUE OF BEURLING-HÖRMANDER'S THEOREM FOR THE DUNKL-BESSEL TRANSFORM

Hatem Mejjaoli

*Dedicated to Professor Khalifa Trimèche,
on the occasion of his 60th anniversary*

Abstract

We establish an analogue of Beurling-Hörmander's theorem for the Dunkl-Bessel transform $\mathcal{F}_{D,B}$ on \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1} . We deduce an analogue of Gelfand-Shilov, Hardy, Cowling-Price and Morgan theorems on \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1} by using the heat kernel associated to the Dunkl-Bessel-Laplace operator.

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 35R10, Secondary 44A15

Key Words and Phrases: Dunkl-Bessel transform, Beurling-Hörmander's theorem, Hardy theorem, Morgan theorem, Gelfand-Shilov theorem

1. Introduction

There are many theorems known which state that a function and its classical Fourier transform on \mathbb{R} cannot simultaneously be very small at infinity. This principle has several version which were proved by G.H. Hardy [6], G.W. Morgan [11], M.G. Cowling and J.F. Price [4], A. Beurling [1].

The Beurling theorem for the classical Fourier transform on \mathbb{R} which was proved by L. Hörmander [7], says that for any non trivial function f in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, the function $f(x)\mathcal{F}(f)(y)$ is never integrable on \mathbb{R}^2 with respect to the measure $e^{|xy|}dxdy$. A far reaching generalization of this result has

been recently proved in [2]. In this paper the author proves that a square integrable function f on \mathbb{R}^d satisfying for an integer N :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|f(x)||\mathcal{F}(f)(y)|}{(1+||x||+||y||)^N} e^{||x||||y||} dx dy < +\infty$$

has the form $f(x) = P(x)e^{-\beta||x||^2}$, where P is a polynomial of degree strictly lower than $\frac{N-d}{2}$ and $\beta > 0$.

This version has been studied in other situations by many authors in particular L. Bouattour and K. Trimèche [3], L. Kamoun and K. Trimèche [8] and K. Trimèche [13]. There, an analogue of Beurling-Hörmander's theorem has been proved, for the Chébli-Trimèche transform, a Fourier transform associated with partial differential operators and the Dunkl transform.

In this paper we study an analogue of Beurling-Hörmander's theorem for the Dunkl-Bessel transform on \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1} .

The contents of the paper is as follows: In Section 2 we recall the Dunkl operators and the Dunkl kernel. We introduce in the third section the Dunkl-Bessel-Laplace operator and define the Dunkl-Bessel transform, the Dunkl-Bessel intertwining operator and its dual, and give their properties. Section 4 is devoted to the heat functions $W_{s,p}^{k,\beta}$ related to the Dunkl-Bessel Laplace operator. These functions are used in the statement of the main result. In Section 5 we give an analogue of Beurling-Hörmander's theorem for the Dunkl-Bessel transform. In the last section, an analogue of Hardy and Morgan theorems is obtained for the Dunkl-Bessel transform. For other proofs of these theorems (see [9], [10]).

2. Dunkl operators and Dunkl kernel

In this section we collect some notations on Dunkl operators and the Dunkl kernel (see [5]).

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$, let σ_α be the reflection in the hyperplane $H_\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ orthogonal to α , i.e.

$$\sigma_\alpha(x) = x - 2 \frac{\langle \alpha, x \rangle}{||\alpha||^2} \alpha. \quad (1)$$

A finite set $R \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ is called a root system, if $R \cap \mathbb{R}^d \cdot \alpha = \{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ and $\sigma_\alpha R = R$ for all $\alpha \in R$. For a given root system R the reflection

$\sigma_\alpha, \alpha \in R$, generate a finite group $W \subset O(d)$, called the reflection group associated with R . All reflections in W correspond to suitable pairs of roots. For a given $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \cup_{\alpha \in R} H_\alpha$, we fix the positive subsystem $R_+ = \{\alpha \in R / \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle > 0\}$, then for each $\alpha \in R$ either $\alpha \in R_+$ or $-\alpha \in R_+$.

A function $k : R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ on a root system R is called a multiplicity function, if it is invariant under the action of the associated reflection group W .

Moreover, let ω_k denote the weight function

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \omega_k(x) = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} |\langle \alpha, x \rangle|^{2k(\alpha)}. \tag{2}$$

The Dunkl operators $T_j, j = 1, \dots, d$, on \mathbb{R}^d associated with the finite reflection group W and multiplicity function k are given for a function of class C^1 by

$$T_j f(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} f(x) + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha) \alpha_j \frac{f(x) - f(\sigma_\alpha(x))}{\langle \alpha, x \rangle} \tag{3}$$

In the case $k = 0$, the $T_j, j = 1, \dots, d$, reduce to the corresponding partial derivatives. In this paper, we will assume throughout that $k \geq 0$.

We define the Dunkl-Laplace operator on \mathbb{R}^d by

$$\Delta_k f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^d T_j^2 f(x) = \Delta_d f(x) + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} k(\alpha) \left[\frac{\langle \nabla f(x), \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha, x \rangle} - \frac{f(x) - f(\sigma_\alpha(x))}{\langle \alpha, x \rangle^2} \right]. \tag{4}$$

For $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the system

$$\begin{cases} T_j u(x, y) = y_j u(x, y), & j = 1, \dots, d, \\ u(0, y) = 1, \end{cases}$$

admits a unique analytic solution on \mathbb{R}^d , which will be denoted $K(x, y)$ and called Dunkl kernel. This kernel has a unique holomorphic extension to $\mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C}^d$.

The function $K(x, z)$ admits for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^d$ the following Laplace type integral representation

$$K(x, z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{\langle y, z \rangle} d\mu_x(y), \tag{5}$$

where μ_x is a probability measure on \mathbb{R}^d , with support in the closed ball $B(o, \|x\|)$ of center o and radius $\|x\|$.

3. Harmonic analysis associated with the Dunkl-Bessel-Laplace operator

In this section we collect some notations and results on the Dunkl-Bessel Laplace operator, the Dunkl-Bessel intertwining operator and its dual, and the Dunkl-Bessel transform (see [10]).

Notations. We denote by

- $\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1} = \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, +\infty[$.
- $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d, x_{d+1}) = (x', x_{d+1}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}$.
- $C_*(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ (resp. $C_{*,c}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$) the space of continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^{d+1} (resp. with compact support), even with respect to the last variable.
- $C_*^p(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ (resp. $C_{*,c}^p(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$) the space of functions of class C^p on \mathbb{R}^{d+1} , (resp. with compact support), even with respect to the last variable.
- $\mathcal{E}_*(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ (resp. $D_*(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$) the space of C^∞ -functions on \mathbb{R}^{d+1} (resp. with compact support), even with respect to the last variable.

We provide these spaces with the classical topology.

3.1. The Dunkl-Bessel-Laplace operator and the Dunkl-Bessel intertwining operator

We consider the Dunkl-Bessel-Laplace operator $\Delta_{k,\beta}$ defined by $\forall x = (x', x_{d+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times]0, +\infty[$,

$$\Delta_{k,\beta} f(x) = \Delta_{k,x'} f(x', x_{d+1}) + \mathcal{L}_{\beta,x_{d+1}} f(x', x_{d+1}), \quad f \in C_*^2(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}), \quad (6)$$

where Δ_k is the Dunkl-Laplace operator on \mathbb{R}^d , and \mathcal{L}_β the Bessel operator on $]0, +\infty[$ given by

$$\mathcal{L}_\beta = \frac{d^2}{dx_{d+1}^2} + \frac{2\beta + 1}{x_{d+1}} \frac{d}{dx_{d+1}}, \quad \beta > -\frac{1}{2}.$$

For all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}$, we define the measure $\zeta_x^{k,\beta}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times]0, +\infty[$ by

$$d\zeta_x^{k,\beta}(y) = \frac{2\Gamma(\beta + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\beta + \frac{1}{2})} x_{d+1}^{-2\beta} (x_{d+1}^2 - y_{d+1}^2)^{\beta - \frac{1}{2}} 1_{]0, x_{d+1}[}(y_{d+1}) d\mu_{x'}(y') dy_{d+1}, \quad (7)$$

where $\mu_{x'}$ is the measure given by (5) and $1_{]0, x_{d+1}[}$ is the characteristic function of the interval $]0, x_{d+1}[$.

The Dunkl-Bessel intertwining operator is the operator $\mathcal{R}_{k,\beta}$ defined on $C_*(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ by

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}, \mathcal{R}_{k,\beta}f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} f(y)d\zeta_x^{k,\beta}(y). \tag{8}$$

3.2. The dual of the Dunkl-Bessel intertwining operator

The dual of the Dunkl-Bessel intertwining operator $\mathcal{R}_{k,\beta}$ is the operator ${}^t\mathcal{R}_{k,\beta}$ defined on $D_*(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ by: $\forall y = (y', y_{d+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, \infty[$,

$${}^t\mathcal{R}_{k,\beta}(f)(y', y_{d+1}) = \frac{2\Gamma(\beta + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\beta + \frac{1}{2})} \int_{y_{d+1}}^\infty (s^2 - y_{d+1}^2)^{\beta - \frac{1}{2}} {}^tV_k f(y', s) ds, \tag{9}$$

where tV_k is the dual Dunkl intertwining operator defined by K. Trimèche in [12] by

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^d, {}^tV_k(f)(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) d\nu_y(x), \tag{10}$$

where ν_y is a positive measure on \mathbb{R}^d with support in the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \|x\| \geq \|y\|\}$.

For all $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}$, we define the measure $\varrho_y^{k,\beta}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times]0, +\infty[$, by

$$d\varrho_y^{k,\beta}(x) = \frac{2\Gamma(\beta + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\beta + \frac{1}{2})} (x_{d+1}^2 - y_{d+1}^2)^{\beta - \frac{1}{2}} x_{d+1} 1_{]y_{d+1}, +\infty[}(x_{d+1}) d\nu_{y'}(x') dx_{d+1}, \tag{11}$$

From (9) the operator ${}^t\mathcal{R}_{k,\beta}$ can also be written in the form

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}, {}^t\mathcal{R}_{k,\beta}(f)(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} f(x) d\varrho_y^{k,\beta}(x). \tag{12}$$

Notation. We denote by $L_{k,\beta}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1})$ the space of measurable functions on \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1} such that

$$\|f\|_{k,\beta,p} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} |f(x)|^p d\mu_{k,\beta}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty, \quad \text{if } 1 \leq p < +\infty,$$

$$\|f\|_{k,\beta,\infty} = \text{ess sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)| < +\infty,$$

where $\mu_{k,\beta}$ is the measure on \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1} given by

$$d\mu_{k,\beta}(x', x_{d+1}) = \omega_k(x')x_{d+1}^{2\beta+1} dx' dx_{d+1}.$$

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $(\varrho_y^{k,\beta})_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}}$ be the family of measures defined by (11) and f in $L^1_{k,\beta}(\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1})$. Then for almost all y (with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}), f is $\varrho_y^{k,\beta}$ -integrable, the function*

$$y \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} f(y) \varrho_y^{k,\beta}(x) dy,$$

which will be denoted also by ${}^t\mathcal{R}_{k,\beta}(f)$, is defined almost every where on \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1} , and for all bounded function g in $C_*(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ we have the formula

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} {}^t\mathcal{R}_{k,\beta}(f)(y)g(y)dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} f(x) \mathcal{R}_{k,\beta}(g)(x)d\mu_{k,\beta}(x). \tag{13}$$

REMARK 3.2. Let f be in $L^1_{k,\beta}(\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1})$. By taking $g \equiv 1$ in the relation (13) we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} {}^t\mathcal{R}_{k,\beta}(f)(y)dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} f(x) d\mu_{k,\beta}(x). \tag{14}$$

3.3. The Dunkl-Bessel transform

DEFINITION 3.3. The Dunkl-Bessel transform is given for f in $D_*(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ by

$$\forall y = (y', y_{d+1}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}, \mathcal{F}_{D,B}(f)(y', y_{d+1}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} f(x', x_{d+1}) \Lambda(x, y) d\mu_{k,\beta}(x), \tag{15}$$

where Λ is given by

$$\Lambda(x, z) = K(-ix', z')j_\beta(x_{d+1}z_{d+1}), \quad (x, z) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1} \times \mathcal{C}^{d+1}. \tag{16}$$

From Theorem 3.1 we deduce the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.4. For all f in $L^1_{k,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+)$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_{D,B}(f)(y) = \mathcal{F}_o \circ {}^t\mathcal{R}_{k,\beta}(f)(y), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+, \quad (17)$$

where \mathcal{F}_o is the transform defined by: $\forall y = (y', y_{d+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, +\infty[$, $f \in C_{*,c}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$

$$\mathcal{F}_o(f)(y', y_{d+1}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+} f(x', x_{d+1}) e^{-i\langle y', x' \rangle} \cos(x_{d+1} y_{d+1}) dx' dx_{d+1}.$$

4. Heat functions related to the Dunkl-Bessel Laplacian $\Delta_{k,\beta}$

For $r > 0$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}^d$, we define the heat functions $W_{s,p}^{k,\beta}(r, \cdot)$ related to the Dunkl-Bessel Laplacian $\Delta_{k,\beta}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+, \quad W_{s,p}^{k,\beta}(r, y) & \quad (18) \\ &= \frac{i^{|s|} (-1)^p c_k^2}{4^{\gamma+\beta+d} (\Gamma(\beta+1))^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+} x_1^{s_1} \dots x_d^{s_d} x_{d+1}^{2p} e^{-r\|x\|^2} \Lambda(x, y) d\mu_{k,\beta}(x). \end{aligned}$$

These functions satisfy the following properties:

i) $W_{0,0}^{k,\beta}(r, x) = E_r^{k,\beta}(x)$ the Gaussian kernel associated to the Dunkl-Bessel Laplacian, defined by

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+, \quad E_r^{k,\beta}(x) = \frac{c_k^2}{4^{\gamma+\beta+d} (\Gamma(\beta+1))^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+} e^{-r\|x\|^2} \Lambda(x, y) d\mu_{k,\beta}(x). \quad (19)$$

ii) $W_{s,p}^{k,\beta}(r, \cdot)$ is a C^∞ -function on \mathbb{R}^{d+1} , even with respect to the last variable and we have

$$W_{s,p}^{k,\beta}(r, x) = T_{x'}^s \mathcal{L}_{\beta, x_{d+1}}^p E_r^{k,\beta}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+,$$

where T^s is the operator $T^s = T_1^{s_1} \circ T_2^{s_2} \circ \dots \circ T_d^{s_d}$, with T_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, d$, the Dunkl operators.

iii) For all $r > 0$, the kernel $E_r^{k,\beta}$ solves the generalized heat equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} E_r^{k,\beta}(x) - \Delta_{k,\beta} E_r^{k,\beta}(x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d \times]0, +\infty[.$$

iv) For $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \in \mathbb{N}^d$ we have

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}, \mathcal{F}_{D,B}(W_{s,p}^{k,\beta}(r, \cdot))(y) = i^{|s|} (-1)^p y_1^{s_1} \dots y_d^{s_d} y_{d+1}^{2p} e^{-r\|y\|^2}. \quad (20)$$

Notation. We denote by \mathcal{P}_m^{d+1} the set of homogeneous polynomials on \mathbb{R}^{d+1} of degree m even with respect to the last variable.

We state now the following proposition given in [10].

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let ψ be in \mathcal{P}_m^{d+1} , for all $\delta > 0$, there exists a polynomial $Q \in \mathcal{P}_m^{d+1}$ such that*

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}, \mathcal{F}_{D,B}(\psi e^{-\delta\|x\|^2})(y) = Q(y) e^{-\frac{1}{4\delta}\|y\|^2}.$$

5. Beurling-Hörmander’s theorem for the Dunkl-Bessel transform

We need the following lemmas for the proof of the main theorem of this section.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let $N \geq 0$. We consider f in $L_{k,\beta}^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1})$ satisfying*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \frac{|f(x)| |\mathcal{F}_{D,B}(f)(y)|}{(1 + \|x\| + \|y\|)^N} e^{\|x\|\|y\|} d\mu_{k,\beta}(x) dy < +\infty. \quad (21)$$

Then $f \in L_{k,\beta}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1})$.

P r o o f. From the relation (21) and Fubini’s theorem we have for almost every $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}$:

$$\frac{|\mathcal{F}_{D,B}(f)(y)|}{(1 + \|y\|)^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \frac{|f(x)|}{(1 + \|x\|)^N} e^{\|x\|\|y\|} d\mu_{k,\beta}(x) < +\infty.$$

As f is not negligible, there exists $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}$, $y_0 \neq 0$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{D,B}(f)(y_0) \neq 0$.

Thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \frac{|f(x)|}{(1 + \|x\|)^N} e^{\|x\|\|y_0\|} d\mu_{k,\beta}(x) < +\infty. \quad (22)$$

As the function $\frac{e^{\|x\|\|y_0\|}}{(1 + \|x\|)^N}$ is greater than 1 for large $\|x\|$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} |f(x)| d\mu_{k,\beta}(x) < +\infty.$$

■

THEOREM 5.2. *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and f in $L_{k,\beta}^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1})$ satisfying (21). Then:*

- *If $N \geq d + 2$ we have*

$$f(y) = \sum_{|s|+p < \frac{N-d-1}{2}} a_{s,p}^{k,\beta} W_{s,p}^{k,\beta}(r, y), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1},$$

where $r > 0$, $a_{s,p}^{k,\beta} \in \mathcal{C}$ and $W_{s,p}^{k,\beta}(r, \cdot)$ given by the relation (18).

- *Else $f(y) = 0$ a.e. $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}$.*

P r o o f. From Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 3.1, the function f belongs to $L_{k,\beta}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1})$ and the function ${}^tR_{k,\beta}(f)$ is defined almost everywhere on \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1} . We shall prove that we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \frac{e^{\|x\|\|y\|} |{}^tR_{k,\beta}f(x)| |\mathcal{F}_0({}^tR_{k,\beta}(f))(y)|}{(1 + \|x\| + \|y\|)^N} dy dx < +\infty. \tag{23}$$

Take y_0 as in Lemma 5.1. We write the above integral as a sum of the following integrals

$$I = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \int_{\|y\| \leq \|y_0\|} \frac{e^{\|x\|\|y\|}}{(1 + \|x\| + \|y\|)^N} |{}^tR_{k,\beta}f(x)| |\mathcal{F}_0({}^tR_{k,\beta}(f))(y)| dy dx$$

and

$$J = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \int_{\|y\| \geq \|y_0\|} \frac{e^{\|x\|\|y\|}}{(1 + \|x\| + \|y\|)^N} |{}^tR_{k,\beta}f(x)| |\mathcal{F}_0({}^tR_{k,\beta}(f))(y)| dy dx.$$

We will prove that I and J are finite, which implies (23).

- As the functions $|\mathcal{F}_{D,B}(f)(y)|$ is continuous in the compact $\{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1} / \|y\| \leq \|y_0\|\}$, so we get

$$I \leq const \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \frac{e^{\|x\|\|y_0\|} |{}^tR_{k,\beta}f(x)|}{(1 + \|x\|)^N} dx.$$

Writing the integral of the second member as $I_1 + I_2$ with

$$I_1 = \int_{\|x\| \leq \frac{N}{\|y_0\|}} \frac{e^{\|x\|\|y_0\|} |{}^t R_{k,\beta} f(x)|}{(1 + \|x\|)^N} dx,$$

and

$$I_2 = \int_{\|x\| \geq \frac{N}{\|y_0\|}} \frac{e^{\|x\|\|y_0\|} |{}^t R_{k,\beta} f(x)|}{(1 + \|x\|)^N} dx.$$

Therefore, we have the following results:

– As the function $x \mapsto \frac{e^{\|x\|\|y_0\|}}{(1 + \|x\|)^N}$ is continuous in the compact $\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1} / \|x\| \leq \frac{N}{\|y_0\|}\}$, and f is in $L_{k,\beta}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1})$ we deduce by using Fubini-Tonelli's theorem, and the relations (12),(11) that ${}^t R_{k,\beta}(|f|)$ belongs to $L_{k,\beta}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1})$. Hence I_1 is finite.

– On the other hand, for $t > \frac{N}{\|y_0\|}$, the function $t \mapsto \frac{e^{t\|y_0\|}}{(1+t)^N}$ is increasing, so we obtain by using Fubini-Tonelli's theorem, and (12),(11) and (14), that

$$I_2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \frac{e^{\|\xi\|\|y_0\|}}{(1 + \|\xi\|)^N} |f(\xi)| d\mu_{k,\beta}(\xi).$$

The inequality (22) assert that I_2 is finite. This proves that I is finite.

- We suppose $\|y_0\| \leq N$. Let $J = J_1 + J_2 + J_3$, with

$$J_1 = \int_{\|x\| \leq \frac{N}{\|y_0\|}} \int_{\|y_0\| \leq \|y\| \leq N} \frac{e^{\|x\|\|y\|}}{(1 + \|x\| + \|y\|)^N} |{}^t R_{k,\beta}(f)(x)| |\mathcal{F}_{D,B}(f)(y)| dy dx,$$

$$J_2 = \int_{\|x\| \geq \frac{N}{\|y_0\|}} \int_{\|y_0\| \leq \|y\| \leq N} \frac{e^{\|x\|\|y\|}}{(1 + \|x\| + \|y\|)^N} |{}^t R_{k,\beta}(f)(x)| |\mathcal{F}_{D,B}(f)(y)| dy dx,$$

$$J_3 = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \int_{\|y\| \geq N} \frac{e^{\|x\|\|y\|}}{(1 + \|x\| + \|y\|)^N} |{}^t R_{k,\beta}(f)(x)| |\mathcal{F}_{D,B}(f)(y)| dy dx.$$

– As the function $(x, y) \mapsto \frac{e^{\|x\|\|y\|}}{(1 + \|x\| + \|y\|)^N} |\mathcal{F}_{D,B}(f)(y)|$ is bounded in the compact $\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1} / \|x\| \leq \frac{N}{\|y_0\|}\} \times \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1} / \|y_0\| \leq \|\xi\| \leq N\}$ and ${}^t R_{k,\beta}(|f|)(x)$ is Lebesgue-integrable on \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1} , then J_1 is finite.

– Let $\lambda > 0$. As the function $t \mapsto \frac{e^{\lambda t}}{(1+t+\lambda)^N}$ is increasing for $t > \frac{N}{\lambda}$. Thus, for all $(x, y) \in C(\xi, y_0, N)$ we have the inequality

$$\frac{e^{\|x\|\|y\|}}{(1 + \|x\| + \|y\|)^N} \leq \frac{e^{\|\xi\|\|y\|}}{(1 + \|\xi\| + \|y\|)^N},$$

with

$$C(\xi, y_0, N) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1} / \frac{N}{\|y\|} \leq \|x\| \leq \|\xi\| \text{ and } \|y_0\| \leq \|y\| \leq N\}.$$

Therefore, from Fubini-Tonelli's theorem and the relations (12),(11), we get

$$J_2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} |f(\xi)| |\mathcal{F}_{D,B}(f)(y)| \frac{e^{\|\xi\|\|y\|}}{(1 + \|\xi\| + \|y\|)^N} dy d\mu_{k,\beta}(\xi).$$

Taking account of the condition (21), we deduce that J_2 is finite.

– For $\|y\| > N$, the function $t \mapsto \frac{e^{t\|y\|}}{(1+t+\|y\|)^N}$ is increasing. We deduce, by using Fubini-Tonelli's theorem and the relations (12),(11),(21), that

$$J_3 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \int_{\|y\| \geq N} |f(\xi)| |\mathcal{F}_{D,B}(f)(y)| \frac{e^{\|\xi\|\|y\|}}{(1 + \|\xi\| + \|y\|)^N} dy d\mu_{k,\beta}(\xi) < +\infty.$$

This implies that J is finite.

Finally for $\|y_0\| > N$, we have $J \leq J_3 < \infty$. This completes the proof of the relation (23).

According to Corollary 3.1, ii) of [2], we conclude that

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}, \quad {}^t R_{k,\beta} f(x) = P(x) e^{-\delta \|x\|^2}$$

with $\delta > 0$ and P a polynomial of degree strictly lower than $\frac{N-d-1}{2}$.

Using this relation and (18), we deduce that

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{D,B}(f)(y) = \mathcal{F}_0 \circ {}^t R_{k,\beta}(f)(y) = \mathcal{F}_0(P(x) e^{-\delta \|x\|^2})(y). \quad (24)$$

But

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}, \quad \mathcal{F}_0(P(x) e^{-\delta \|x\|^2})(y) = Q(y) e^{-\frac{\|y\|^2}{4\delta}}, \quad (25)$$

with Q a polynomial of degree strictly lower than $\frac{N-d-1}{2}$.

Thus from (20) we obtain

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{D,B}(f)(y) = \mathcal{F}_{D,B}\left(\sum_{|s|+p < \frac{N-d-1}{2}} a_{s,p}^{k,\beta} W_{s,p}^{k,\beta}\left(\frac{1}{4\delta}, \cdot\right)\right)(y).$$

The injectivity of the transform $\mathcal{F}_{D,B}$ implies

$$f(x) = \sum_{|s|+p < \frac{N-d-1}{2}} a_{s,p}^{k,\beta} W_{s,p}^{k,\beta}\left(\frac{1}{4\delta}, \cdot\right)(x) \text{ a.e.,}$$

and the theorem is proved. ■

6. Applications

In this section we give analogues of the Gelfand-Shilov, Hardy, Cowling-Price and Morgan theorems for the Dunkl-Bessel transform $\mathcal{F}_{D,B}$.

THEOREM 6.1. (Gelfand-Shilov type) *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that f in $L_{k,\beta}^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1})$ is such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \frac{|f(x)|e^{\frac{(2a)^p}{p}\|x\|^p}}{(1+\|x\|)^N} d\mu_{k,\beta}(x) < +\infty, \tag{26}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \frac{|\mathcal{F}_{D,B}(f)(y)|e^{\frac{(2b)^q}{q}\|y\|^q}}{(1+\|y\|)^N} dy < +\infty, \tag{27}$$

where $1 < p, q < +\infty, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, a > 0, b > 0$ and $ab \geq \frac{1}{4}$. Then:

- 1) If $ab > \frac{1}{4}$, we have $f(x) = 0$ a.e.
- 2) We suppose that $ab = \frac{1}{4}$.
 - i) If $N < \frac{d}{2} + 1, 1 < p, q < +\infty$, we have $f(x) = 0, \text{ a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
 - ii) If $N \geq \frac{d}{2} + 1$.
 - For the cases: $2 \leq q < +\infty, 1 < p < +\infty,$
 $1 < q < 2, 2 < p < +\infty,$
 $q = 2, p = 2,$

we have $f(x) = 0, \text{ a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

- For the case: $1 < q < 2, 1 < p < 2$

we have

$$f(x) = \sum_{|s|+p < \frac{2N-d-1}{2}} a_{s,p}^{k,\beta} W_{s,p}^{k,\beta}(r, x), \text{ a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}, \tag{28}$$

where $r > 0$ and $a_{s,p}^{k,\beta} \in \mathcal{C}$.

- For the case $q = 2, 1 < p < 2$
 - If $0 < r \leq 2b^2$

we have $f(x) = 0$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}$.

- If $r > 2b^2$

the function f is given by the relation (28).

- For the case $p = 2, 1 < q < 2$
 - If $r \geq 2b^2$

we have $f(x) = 0$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}$.

- If $0 < r < 2b^2$

the function f is given by the relation (28).

P r o o f. Using the inequality

$$4ab\|x\|\|y\| \leq \frac{(2a)^p}{p}\|x\|^p + \frac{(2b)^q}{q}\|y\|^q,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \frac{|f(x)| |\mathcal{F}_{D,B}(f)(y)|}{(1 + \|x\| + \|y\|)^{2N}} e^{4ab\|x\|\|y\|} d\mu_{k,\beta}(x) dy \leq \\ & \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \frac{|f(x)| e^{\frac{(2a)^p}{p}\|x\|^p}}{(1 + \|x\|)^N} d\mu_{k,\beta}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \frac{|\mathcal{F}_{D,B}(f)(y)| e^{\frac{(2b)^q}{q}\|y\|^q}}{(1 + \|y\|)^N} dy < +\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

As $ab \geq \frac{1}{4}$, then from (29) we deduce that the condition (22) is satisfied. By using the proof of Theorem 5.2, we obtain, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}$,

$${}^tR_{k,\beta}(f)(x) = P(x)e^{-\frac{\|x\|^2}{4r}}; \forall y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}, \mathcal{F}_{D,B}(f)(y) = Q(y)e^{-r\|y\|^2}, \quad (30)$$

where r is a positive constant and P, Q are polynomials of the same degree which is strictly lower than $\frac{2N-d-1}{2}$.

1) From (29) and the proof of (23) we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \frac{|{}^tR_{k,\beta}(f)(x)| |\mathcal{F}_o({}^tR_{k,\beta}(f))(y)|}{(1 + \|x\| + \|y\|)^{2N}} e^{4ab\|x\|\|y\|} dx dy < +\infty. \quad (31)$$

By replacing in (31) the functions ${}^tR_{k,\beta}(f)(x)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{D,B}(f)(y)$ by their expression given in (30), we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|P(x)| |Q(y)|}{(1 + \|x\| + \|y\|)^{2N}} e^{-(\sqrt{r}\|y\| - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}}\|x\|)^2} e^{(4ab-1)\|x\|\|y\|} dx dy < +\infty. \quad (32)$$

As $ab > \frac{1}{4}$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $4ab - 1 - \varepsilon > 0$. If P is non null, Q is also non null and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \frac{|P(x)||Q(y)|}{(1 + \|x\| + \|y\|)^{2N}} e^{-(\sqrt{r}\|y\| - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}}\|x\|)^2} e^{(4ab-1)\|x\|\|y\|} dx dy \\ & \geq C \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} e^{-(\sqrt{r}\|y\| - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}}\|x\|)^2} e^{(4ab-1-\varepsilon)\|x\|\|y\|} dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a positive constant. But the function

$$e^{-(\sqrt{r}\|y\| - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}}\|x\|)^2} e^{(4ab-1-\varepsilon)\|x\|\|y\|}$$

is not integrable, (32) does not hold. Hence $f(x) = 0$ a.e.

2)

i) We deduce the result from (29) and Theorem 5.2.

ii) By using (29) the relations (26),(27) can also be written in the form

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\mathcal{F}_D(f)(y)| e^{\frac{(2b)^q}{q}\|y\|^q}}{(1 + \|y\|)^N} dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|Q(y)| e^{-r\|y\|^2} e^{\frac{(2b)^q}{q}\|y\|^q}}{(1 + \|y\|)^N} dy.$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|f(x)| e^{\frac{(2a)^p}{p}\|x\|^p}}{(1 + \|x\|)^N} \omega_k(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|P(x)| e^{-\frac{\|x\|^2}{4r}} e^{\frac{(2a)^p}{p}\|x\|^p}}{(1 + \|x\|)^N} \omega_k(x) dx.$$

We obtain ii) from Theorem 5.2 and by studying the convergence of these integrals as we have made it in the 1). ■

THEOREM 6.2. (Hardy type) *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that f in $L^2_{k,\beta}(\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1})$ is such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(x)| \leq M e^{-\frac{1}{4a}\|x\|^2} \text{ a.e.} \\ & \text{and } \forall y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}, |\mathcal{F}_{D,B}(f)(y)| \leq M(1 + |y_j|)^N e^{-b|y_j|^2}, \quad j = 1, \dots, d+1, \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

for some constants $a > 0$, $b > 0$ and $M > 0$. Then,

i) If $ab > \frac{1}{4}$, then $f = 0$ a.e.

ii) If $ab = \frac{1}{4}$, the function f is of the form $f(x) = \sum_{|s|+p \leq N} a_{s,p}^{k,\beta} W_{s,p}^{k,\beta}(\frac{1}{4a}, x)$

a.e. where $a_{s,p}^{k,\beta} \in \mathcal{C}$.

iii) If $ab < \frac{1}{4}$, there are infinity many nonzero functions f satisfying the conditions (33).

P r o o f. The first condition of (33) implies that $f \in L^1_{k,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+)$. So by Theorem 3.1, the function ${}^tR_{k,\beta}(f)$ is defined almost everywhere. By using the relation (17) we deduce that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+$,

$$|{}^tR_{k,\beta}(f)(x)| \leq M_0 e^{-a\|x\|^2},$$

where M_0 is a positive constant.

So,

$$|{}^tR_{k,\beta}(f)(x)| \leq M_0(1 + |x_j|)^N e^{-a|x_j|^2}, \quad j = 1, \dots, d + 1. \quad (34)$$

On the other hand from (17) and (33) we have for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+$,

$$|\mathcal{F}_o({}^tR_{k,\beta}(f))(y)| \leq M(1 + |y_j|)^N e^{-b|y_j|^2}, \quad j = 1, \dots, d + 1. \quad (35)$$

The relations (34) and (35) show that the conditions of Proposition 3.4 of [2], p.36, are satisfied by the function ${}^tR_{k,\beta}(f)$. Thus we get:

i) If $ab > \frac{1}{4}$, ${}^tR_{k,\beta}(f) = 0$ a.e.

Using (17) we deduce

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+, \mathcal{F}_{D,B}(f)(y) = \mathcal{F}_o \circ ({}^tR_{k,\beta})(f)(y) = 0.$$

Then from Theorem 2.3.1 of [10] we have $f = 0$ a.e.

ii) If $ab = \frac{1}{4}$, then ${}^tR_{k,\beta}(f)(x) = P(x)e^{-a\|x\|^2}$, where P is a polynomial of degree strictly lower than N . The same proof as of the end of Theorem 5.2 shows that

$$f(x) = \sum_{|s|+p \leq N} a_{s,p}^{k,\beta} W_{s,p}^{k,\beta} \left(\frac{1}{4a}, x \right) \text{ a.e.}$$

iii) If $ab < \frac{1}{4}$, let $t \in]a, \frac{1}{4b}[$ and $f(x) = ce^{-t\|x\|^2}$ for some real constant c , these functions satisfy the conditions (33). ■

THEOREM 6.3. (Cowling-Price type) *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that f in $L^2_{k,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+)$ is such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+} e^{a\|x\|^2} |f(x)| d\mu_{k,\beta}(x) < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+} \frac{e^{b\|y\|^2}}{(1 + \|y\|)^N} |\mathcal{F}_{D,B}(f)| dy < +\infty \quad (36)$$

for some constants $a > 0, b > 0$. Then,

i) If $ab > \frac{1}{4}$, we have $f = 0$ a.e.

ii) If $ab = \frac{1}{4}$, then when $N \geq d + 2$ we have

$$f(x) = \sum_{|s|+p < \frac{N-d-1}{2}} a_{s,p}^{k,\beta} W_{s,p}^{k,\beta} \left(\frac{1}{4a}, x \right) \text{ a.e.,}$$

where $a_{\mu,p}^{k,\beta} \in \mathcal{C}$.

iii) If $ab < \frac{1}{4}$, there are infinity many nonzero functions f satisfying the conditions (36).

P r o o f. From the first condition of (36) we deduce that $f \in L^1_{k,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$. So by Theorem 3.1, the function ${}^tR_{k,\beta}(f)$ is defined almost everywhere. By using the relations (12), (14) and (36) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \frac{|{}^tR_{k,\beta}(f)(x)|e^{a\|x\|^2}}{(1+\|x\|)^N} dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} {}^tR_{k,\beta}(e^{a\|x\|^2}|f|)(x) dx, \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} e^{a\|y\|^2}|f(y)|d\mu_{k,\beta}(y) < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \frac{|{}^tR_{k,\beta}(f)(x)|e^{a\|x\|^2}}{(1+\|x\|)^N} dx < +\infty. \tag{37}$$

On the other hand from (17) and (36) we have:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \frac{e^{b\|y\|^2}}{(1+\|y\|)^N} |\mathcal{F}_{D,B}(f)| dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}} \frac{e^{b\|y\|^2}}{(1+\|y\|)^N} |\mathcal{F}_o({}^tR_{k,\beta})(f)(y)| dy < +\infty. \tag{38}$$

The relations (37) and (38) are the conditions of Proposition 3.2 of [2] p.35, which are satisfied by the function ${}^tR_{k,\beta}(f)$. Thus we get:

i) If $ab > \frac{1}{4}$, ${}^tR_{k,\beta}(f) = 0$ a.e.

Using the same proof as of Theorem 6.2, we deduce $f(y) = 0$. a.e. $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1}$.

ii) If $ab = \frac{1}{4}$, then ${}^tR_{k,\beta}(f)(x) = P(x)e^{-a\|x\|^2}$ where P is a polynomial of degree strictly lower than $\frac{N-d-1}{2}$. The same proof as of the end of Theorem 5.2 shows that

$$f(x) = \sum_{|s|+p < \frac{N-d-1}{2}} a_{s,p}^{k,\beta} W_{s,p}^{k,\beta} \left(\frac{1}{4a}, x \right) \text{ a.e.}$$

iii) If $ab < \frac{1}{4}$, let $t \in]a, \frac{1}{4b}[$ and $f(x) = ce^{-t\|x\|^2}$ for some real constant c , these functions satisfy the conditions (36). This completes the proof. ■

THEOREM 6.4. (Morgan type) *Let $1 < p < 2$ and q be the conjugate exponent of p . Assume that f in $L^2_{k,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+)$ satisfies*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+} e^{\frac{a^p}{p}\|x\|^p} |f(x)| d\mu_{k,\beta}(x) < +\infty \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+} e^{\frac{b^q}{q}\|y\|^q} |\mathcal{F}_{D,B}(f)(y)| dy < +\infty, \tag{39}$$

for some constants $a > 0, b > 0$.

Then if $ab > |\cos(\frac{p\pi}{2})|^{\frac{1}{p}}$, we have $f = 0$ a.e.

P r o o f. The first condition of (39) implies that $f \in L^1_{k,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+)$. So by Theorem 3.1, the function ${}^tR_{k,\beta}(f)$ is defined almost everywhere. By using the relations (12) and (39) we deduce that:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+} |{}^tR_{k,\beta}(f)(x)| e^{\frac{a^p}{p}\|x\|^p} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+} e^{\frac{a^p}{p}\|y\|^p} |f(y)| d\mu_{k,\beta}(y) < +\infty.$$

So,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+} |{}^tR_{k,\beta}(f)(x)| e^{\frac{a^p}{p}\|x\|^p} dx < +\infty. \tag{40}$$

On the other hand, from (17) and (39) we have:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+} e^{\frac{b^q}{q}\|y\|^q} |\mathcal{F}_{D,B}(f)(y)| dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+} e^{\frac{b^q}{q}\|y\|^q} |\mathcal{F}_o({}^tR_{k,\beta})(f)(y)| dy < +\infty. \tag{41}$$

The relations (40) and (41) are the conditions of Theorem 1.4, p.26 of [2], which are satisfied by the function ${}^tR_{k,\beta}(f)$. Thus we deduce that if $ab > |\cos(\frac{p\pi}{2})|^{\frac{1}{p}}$ we have ${}^tR_{k,\beta}(f) = 0$ a.e.

Using the same proof as of Theorem 6.2 we obtain $f(y) = 0$. a.e. $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+$. ■

Acknowledgement. I thank Professor Khalifa Trimèche for his fruitful discussions and useful suggestions.

References

[1] A. Beurling, In: *The Collected Works of Arne Beurling*. Birkhäuser, Boston (1989), 1-2.

- [2] A. Bonami, B. Demange and P. Jaming, Hermite functions and uncertainty principles for the Fourier and the windowed Fourier transforms. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **19** (2002), 22-35.
- [3] L. Bouattour and K. Trimèche, An analogue of Beurling-Hörmander's theorem for the Chébli-Trimèche transform. *Global J. of Pure and Appl. Math.* **1**, No 3 (2005), 342-357.
- [4] M. G. Cowling and J. F. Price, Generalizations of Heisenberg's inequality. In: *Lecture Notes in Math.* **992**, Springer, Berlin (1983), 443-449.
- [5] C. F. Dunkl, Differential-difference operators associated to reflection group. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **311** (1989), 167-183.
- [6] G. H. Hardy, A theorem concerning Fourier transform. *J. London Math. Soc.* **8** (1933), 227-231.
- [7] L. Hörmander, A uniqueness theorem of Beurling for Fourier transform pairs. *Ark. För Math.* **2**, No 2 (1991), 237-240.
- [8] L. Kamoun and K. Trimèche, An analogue of Beurling-Hörmander's theorem associated with partial differential operators. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **2** (2005), 243-258.
- [9] H. Mejjaoli and K. Trimèche, An L^p - L^q -version of Morgan's theorem for the Dunkl-Bessel transform. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **1**, No 1 (2004), 443-480.
- [10] H. Mejjaoli and K. Trimèche, An analogue of Hardy's theorem and its L^p -version for the Dunkl-Bessel transform. *Concrete Mathematics* (2004).
- [11] G. W. Morgan, A note on Fourier transforms. *J. London Math. Soc.* **9** (1934), 188-192.
- [12] K. Trimèche, The Dunkl intertwining operator on spaces of functions and distributions and integral representation of its dual. *Integral Transf. and Special Funct.* **12**, No 4 (2001), 349-374.
- [13] K. Trimèche, An analogue of Beurling-Hörmander's theorem for the Dunkl transform. *Preprint* (2004).

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Sciences of Tunis
CAMPUS 1060 - Tunis - TUNISIA
e-mail: mejjaoli_hatem@yahoo.fr

Received: 25.09.2006