

**FEKETE-SZEGÖ INEQUALITY FOR
UNIVERSALLY PRESTARLIKE FUNCTIONS**

T. N. Shanmugam ^{*}, J. Lourthu Mary ^{}**

This paper is dedicated to the 70th anniversary of Professor Srivastava

Abstract

The universally prestarlike functions of order $\alpha \leq 1$ in the slit domain $\Lambda = \mathcal{C} \setminus [1, \infty)$ have been recently introduced by S. Ruscheweyh. This notion generalizes the corresponding one for functions in the unit disk Δ (and other circular domains in \mathcal{C}). In this paper, we obtain the coefficient inequalities and the Fekete-Szegő inequality for such functions.

MSC 2010: 30C45

Key Words and Phrases: prestarlike functions, universally prestarlike functions, coefficients, Fekete-Szegő inequality

1. Introduction

Let $H(\Omega)$ denote the set of all analytic functions defined in a domain Ω . For domain Ω containing the origin $H_0(\Omega)$ stands for the set of all function $f \in H(\Omega)$ with $f(0) = 1$. We also use the notation $H_1(\Omega) = \{zf : f \in H_0(\Omega)\}$. In the special case when Ω is the open unit disk $\Delta = \{z \in \mathcal{C} : |z| < 1\}$, we use the abbreviation H, H_0 and H_1 respectively for $H(\Omega), H_0(\Omega)$ and $H_1(\Omega)$.

A function $f \in H_1$ is called starlike of order α with $(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$ satisfying the inequality

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in \Delta) \quad (1.1)$$

and the set of all such functions is denoted by S_α . The convolution or Hadamard Product of two functions $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ is defined as

$$(f * g)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n.$$

A function $f \in H_1$ is called prestarlike of order α if

$$\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2-2\alpha}} * f(z) \in S_\alpha. \quad (1.2)$$

The set of all such functions is denoted by \mathcal{R}_α . The notion of prestarlike functions has been extended from the unit disk to other disk and half planes containing the origin. Let Ω be one such disk or half plane. Then there are two unique parameters $\gamma \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\rho \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$\Omega_{\gamma,\rho} = \{w_{\gamma,\rho}(z) : z \in \Delta\}, \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$w_{\gamma,\rho}(z) = \frac{\gamma z}{1 - \rho z}.$$

Note that $1 \notin \Omega_{\gamma,\rho}$ iff $|\gamma + \rho| \leq 1$.

DEFINITION 1.1. (see [2], [3], [4]) Let $\alpha \leq 1$, and $\Omega = \Omega_{\gamma,\rho}$ for some admissible pair (γ, ρ) . A function $f \in H_1(\Omega_{\gamma,\rho})$ is called prestarlike of order α in $\Omega_{\gamma,\rho}$ if

$$f_{\gamma,\rho}(z) = \frac{1}{\gamma} f(w_{\gamma,\rho}(z)) \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha. \quad (1.4)$$

The set of all such functions f is denoted by $\mathcal{R}_\alpha(\Omega)$.

Let Λ be the slit domain $\mathcal{C} \setminus [1, \infty)$ (the slit being along the positive real axis).

DEFINITION 1.2. (see [2], [3], [4]) Let $\alpha \leq 1$. A function $f \in H_1(\Lambda)$ is called universally prestarlike of order α if and only if f is prestarlike of order α in all sets $\Omega_{\gamma,\rho}$ with $|\gamma + \rho| \leq 1$. The set of all such functions is denoted by \mathcal{R}_α^u .

EXAMPLE 1.1. A function $f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{1-2\alpha}}$ is prestarlike of order $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. When $\alpha = 0$ the function is universally prestarlike of order 0. When $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ the function $f(z) = z$ is the only entire function in \mathcal{R}_α^u .

EXAMPLE 1.2. A function $f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ is universally prestarlike of order $\frac{1}{2}$.

DEFINITION 1.3. (see [4]) Let $\phi(z)$ be an analytic function with positive real part on Δ , which satisfies $\phi(0) = 1$, $\phi'(0) > 0$ and which maps the unit disc Δ onto a region starlike with respect to 1 and symmetric with respect to the real axis. Then the class $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^u(\phi)$ consists of all analytic function $f \in H_1(\Lambda)$ satisfying

$$\frac{D^{3-2\alpha}f}{D^{2-2\alpha}f} \prec \phi(z), \quad (1.5)$$

where \prec denotes the subordination, where $(D^\beta f)(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^\beta} \star f$, for $\beta \geq 0$. In particular, for $\beta = n \in \mathbb{N}$. We have $D^{n+1}f = \frac{z}{n!}(z^{n-1}f)^{(n)}$. We let $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^u(A, B)$ denote the class $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^u(\phi)$, where $\phi(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$). For suitable choices of A, B, α the class $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^u(A, B)$ reduces to several well known classes of functions. $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{1}{2}}^u(1, -1)$ is the class S^* of starlike univalent functions.

NOTE 1.1. (see [4]) Let $F(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k = \int_0^1 \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-tz}$ where, $a_k = \int_0^1 t^k d\mu(t)$, $\mu(t)$ is a probability measure on $[0, 1]$. Let T denote the set of all such functions F . They are analytic in the slit domain Λ .

NOTE 1.2. (see [3]) Let Ω be a circular domain containing the origin, $\alpha \leq 1$, and let $f \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha(\Omega)$, $F \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha^u$. Then $f \star F \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha(\Omega)$.

To prove our result we need the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. (see [2], [4]) Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $f \in H_1(\Lambda)$. Then $f \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha^u$ if and only if

$$\frac{D^{3-2\alpha}f}{D^{2-2\alpha}f} \in T. \quad (1.6)$$

This admits an explicit representation of the function in \mathcal{R}_α^u . If $f \in H_0$ has all its Taylor coefficients at the origin different from zero we write $f^{(-1)}$ for the (possibly formal but) unique solution of $f \star f^{(-1)} = \frac{1}{1-z}$.

LEMMA 1.1. (see [1]) *If $P_1(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$ is an analytic function with positive real part in Δ , then*

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| \leq \begin{cases} -4v + 2, & v \leq 0 \\ 2, & 0 \leq v \leq 1 \\ 4v + 2, & v \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

when $v < 0$, or $v > 1$, the equality holds if and only if $P_1(z)$ is $\frac{1+z}{1-z}$ or one of its rotations. when $0 < v < 1$, then the equality holds if and only if $P_1(z)$ is $\frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}$ or one of its rotations. If $v = 0$, the equality holds if and only if $P_1(z) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \frac{1-z}{1+z}$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ or one of its rotations. If $v = 1$, the equality holds if and only if $P_1(z)$ is the reciprocal of one of the function for which the equality holds in the case of $v = 0$. Also the above upper bound can be improved as follows when $0 < v < 1$

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| + v|c_1|^2 \leq 2 \quad \left(0 < v \leq \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| + (1-v)|c_1|^2 \leq 2 \quad \left(\frac{1}{2} < v \leq 1\right).$$

2. Series representation for universally prestarlike functions

THEOREM 2.1. *Let f be an universally prestarlike function of order $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, then the function $f(z)$ has a representation of the form*

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

where

$$a_n = \left\{ \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{C}(\alpha, k) a_k b_{n-k}}{\mathcal{C}'(\alpha, n) - \mathcal{C}(\alpha, n)} \right\}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots \quad (2.1)$$

$$\mathcal{C}(\alpha, n) = \frac{\prod_{k=2}^n (k - 2\alpha)}{(n-1)!}, \quad \mathcal{C}(\alpha, k) = \frac{\prod_{m=2}^k (m - 2\alpha)}{(k-1)!}, \quad \mathcal{C}(\alpha, 1)a_1 = 1$$

$$\mathcal{C}'(\alpha, n) = \frac{\prod_{k=2}^n (k+1-2\alpha)}{(n-1)!}, \quad b_n = \int_0^1 t^n d\mu(t) \text{ and } \mu(t) \text{ is a probability measure on } [0, 1].$$

P r o o f. By Theorem 1.1, $f \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha^u$ if and only if $\frac{D^{3-2\alpha} f}{D^{2-2\alpha} f} \in T$. Hence, $\frac{D^{3-2\alpha} f}{D^{2-2\alpha} f} = \int_0^1 \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-tz}$, for some probability measure $\mu(t)$ on $[0, 1]$,

$$\frac{D^{3-2\alpha} f}{D^{2-2\alpha} f} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad \text{where } b_n = \int_0^1 t^n d\mu(t).$$

Therefore,

$$D^{3-2\alpha} f = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}'(\alpha, n) a_n z^n,$$

$$\text{where } \mathcal{C}'(\alpha, n) = \frac{\prod_{k=2}^n (k+1-2\alpha)}{(n-1)!}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

Now,

$$D^{2-2\alpha} f = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}(\alpha, n) a_n z^n,$$

$$\text{where } \mathcal{C}(\alpha, n) = \frac{\prod_{k=2}^n (k-2\alpha)}{(n-1)!}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{D^{3-2\alpha} f}{D^{2-2\alpha} f} = \frac{z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}'(\alpha, n) a_n z^n}{z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}(\alpha, n) a_n z^n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n. \quad (2.2)$$

Equating the like of coefficients, we obtain for $n = 2, 3, \dots$:

$$a_n = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{C}(\alpha, k) a_k b_{n-k}}{\mathcal{C}'(\alpha, n) - \mathcal{C}(\alpha, n)},$$

with $\mathcal{C}(\alpha, 1) a_1 = 1$. ■

3. Main result

We now establish the Fekete Szegő inequality.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $\phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$. If $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_nz^n$ is a universally prestarlike function of order α , then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{B_2 + (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2 - (3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2\mu}{3 - 2\alpha}, & \mu \leq \sigma_1 \\ \frac{B_1}{3 - 2\alpha}, & \sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2 \\ \frac{-B_2 - (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2 + (3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2\mu}{3 - 2\alpha}, & \mu \geq \sigma_2, \end{cases}$$

where $\sigma_1 = \frac{(B_2 - B_1) + (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2}{(3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2}$, $\sigma_2 = \frac{(B_2 + B_1) + (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2}{(3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2}$.

The result is sharp.

P r o o f. If $f \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha^u$, then there is a Schwartz function $w(z)$, analytic in Δ with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ in Δ such that $\frac{D^{3-2\alpha}f}{D^{2-2\alpha}f} = \phi(w(z))$. Define the function $P_1(z)$ by $P_1(z) = \frac{1 + w(z)}{1 - w(z)} = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$. Since $w(z)$ is a Schwartz function, we see that $ReP_1(z) > 0$ and $P_1(0) = 1$. Define the function $P(z) = \frac{D^{3-2\alpha}f}{D^{2-2\alpha}f} = 1 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \dots$. Now, $P(z) = \phi\left(\frac{P_1(z) - 1}{P_1(z) + 1}\right)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_1(z) - 1}{P_1(z) + 1} &= \frac{c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots}{2 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[c_1z + z^2 \left[c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right] + z^3 \left[c_3 - c_1c_2 + \frac{c_1^3}{4} \right] + \dots \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, on simplification, we get

$$P(z) = 1 + \frac{B_1c_1z}{2} + \left[\frac{B_1}{2} \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{B_2c_1^2}{4} \right] z^2 + \dots$$

Therefore,

$$1 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \dots = 1 + \frac{B_1c_1z}{2} + \left[\frac{B_1}{2} \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{B_2c_1^2}{4} \right] z^2 + \dots$$

Equating the like coefficients, we get

$$b_1 = \frac{B_1 c_1}{2}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$b_2 = \frac{B_1}{2} \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{B_2 c_1^2}{4}. \quad (3.2)$$

Now, $\frac{D^{3-2\alpha} f}{D^{2-2\alpha} f} = 1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \dots$. From equation (2.2), we have

$$1 + [C'(\alpha, 2)a_2 - C(\alpha, 2)a_2] z + [C'(\alpha, 3)a_3 - C(\alpha, 2)C'(\alpha, 2)a_2^2 - C(\alpha, 3)a_3 \\ + (C(\alpha, 2)a_2)^2] z^2 + \dots = 1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \dots$$

Equating the coefficients of z and z^2 respectively and simplifying, we get

$$a_2 = b_1 \quad , \quad a_3 = \frac{b_2 + (2 - 2\alpha)b_1^2}{3 - 2\alpha}. \quad (3.3)$$

Applying equations (3.1) and (3.2) in (3.3), we get

$$a_2 = \frac{B_1 c_1}{2} \quad , \quad a_3 = \frac{1}{3 - 2\alpha} \left[\frac{B_1}{2} \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{B_2 c_1^2}{4} + (2 - 2\alpha) \frac{B_1^2 c_1^2}{4} \right].$$

Now,

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{1}{3 - 2\alpha} \left[\frac{B_1}{2} \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{B_2 c_1^2}{4} + (2 - 2\alpha) \frac{B_1^2 c_1^2}{4} \right] - \mu \frac{B_1^2 c_1^2}{4} \\ = \frac{1}{3 - 2\alpha} \frac{B_1}{2} \left[c_2 - c_1^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{B_2}{2B_1} - (2 - 2\alpha) \frac{B_1}{2} + (3 - 2\alpha) \mu \frac{B_1}{2} \right] \right] \\ = \frac{B_1}{2(3 - 2\alpha)} [c_2 - c_1^2 v],$$

where

$$v = \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{B_2}{2B_1} - (2 - 2\alpha) \frac{B_1}{2} + (3 - 2\alpha) \mu \frac{B_1}{2} \right].$$

Now by an application of Lemma 1.1, if $\mu \leq \sigma_1$,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_2 + (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2 - (3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2 \mu}{3 - 2\alpha},$$

where

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{(B_2 - B_1) + (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2 \mu}{(3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2}.$$

Now, if $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1}{3 - 2\alpha}.$$

Now, if $\mu \geq \sigma_2$,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{-B_2 - (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2 + (3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2\mu}{3 - 2\alpha},$$

where

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{(B_2 + B_1) + (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2\mu}{(3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2}.$$

If $\mu = \sigma_1$, then the equality holds in Lemma 1.1, if and only if

$$P_1(z) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \frac{1-z}{1+z}, \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1,$$

or one of its rotations. If $\mu = \sigma_2$, then

$$\frac{1}{P_1(z)} = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \frac{1-z}{1+z}}.$$

If $\sigma_1 < \mu < \sigma_2$, $P_1(z) = \frac{1 + \lambda z^2}{1 - \lambda z^2}$. To show that the bounds are sharp, we define the function $K_\alpha^{\phi_n}$ ($n = 2, 3, \dots$) by

$$\frac{D^{3-2\alpha} K_\alpha^{\phi_n}}{D^{3-2\alpha} K_\alpha^{\phi_n}} = \phi(z^{n-1}),$$

$K_\alpha^{\phi_n}(0) = 0$, $(K_\alpha^{\phi_n})'(0) = 1$ and function F_α^λ and G_α^λ ($0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$) by

$$\frac{(D^{3-2\alpha} F_\alpha^\lambda)(z)}{(D^{2-2\alpha} F_\alpha^\lambda)(z)} = \phi\left(\frac{z(z+\lambda)}{1+\lambda z}\right),$$

$F_\alpha^\lambda(0) = 0$, $(F_\alpha^\lambda)'(0) = 1$ and similarly,

$$\frac{(D^{3-2\alpha} G_\alpha^\lambda)(z)}{(D^{2-2\alpha} G_\alpha^\lambda)(z)} = \phi\left(\frac{z(z+\lambda)}{1+\lambda z}\right)$$

$G_\alpha^\lambda(0) = 0$, $(G_\alpha^\lambda)'(0) = 1$. Clearly, the functions $K_\alpha^{\phi_n}, F_\alpha^\lambda, G_\alpha^\lambda \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha^u$. Also we write $K_\alpha^\phi := K_\alpha^{\phi^2}$. If $\mu < \sigma_1$ or $\mu < \sigma_2$, then the equality holds if and only if f is K_α^ϕ or one of its rotations. When $\sigma_1 < \mu < \sigma_2$, then the equality holds if and only if f is $K_\alpha^{\phi^3}$ or one of its rotations. If $\mu = \sigma_1$, then the equality holds if and only if f is F_α^λ or one of its rotations. If $\mu = \sigma_2$ then the equality holds if and only if f is G_α^λ or one of its rotations. Hence the result follows. \blacksquare

REMARK 3.1. If $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$, then in view of Lemma 1.1, Theorem 3.1 can be improved. Let σ_3 be given by

$$\sigma_3 = \frac{B_2 + (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2}{(3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2}.$$

If $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \left(\frac{(3 - 2\alpha)\mu B_1^2 - [(B_2 - B_1) + (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2]}{(3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2} \right) |a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1}{3 - 2\alpha}.$$

If $\sigma_2 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \left(\frac{-(3 - 2\alpha)\mu B_1^2 B_2 + B_1 + (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2}{(3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2} \right) |a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1}{3 - 2\alpha}.$$

P r o o f. For $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + (\mu - \sigma_1)|a_2^2| \\ &= \frac{B_1}{2(3 - 2\alpha)} |c_2 - v c_1^2| + \left(\mu - \frac{[(B_2 - B_1) + (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2]}{(3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2} \right) \frac{B_1^2 |c_1|^2}{4} \\ &= \frac{B_1}{2(3 - 2\alpha)} \left(\frac{(3 - 2\alpha)\mu B_1^2 - B_2 - B_1 - (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2}{(3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2} \right) \frac{B_1^2 |c_1|^2}{4} \\ &= \frac{B_1}{(3 - 2\alpha)} \left[\frac{1}{2} |c_2 - v c_1^2| + \frac{1}{2} v |c_1|^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{B_1}{(3 - 2\alpha)} \left[\frac{1}{2} [|c_2 - v c_1^2| + v |c_1|^2] \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by using Lemma 1.1, we get $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + (\mu - \sigma_1)|a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1}{(3 - 2\alpha)}$. Now, for $\sigma_2 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + (\sigma_2 - \mu)|a_2^2| \\ &= \frac{B_1}{2(3 - 2\alpha)} |c_2 - v c_1^2| + \left(\frac{B_2 + B_1 + (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2}{(3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2} - \mu \right) \frac{B_1^2 |c_1|^2}{4} \\ &= \frac{B_1}{2(3 - 2\alpha)} \left(\frac{-(3 - 2\alpha)\mu B_1^2 + B_2 + B_1 + (2 - 2\alpha)B_1^2}{(3 - 2\alpha)B_1^2} \right) \frac{B_1^2 |c_1|^2}{4} \\ &= \frac{B_1}{(3 - 2\alpha)} \left(\frac{1}{2} [|c_2 - v c_1^2| + (1 - v)|c_1|^2] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, by using Lemma 1.1, we get $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + (\sigma_2 - \mu)|a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1}{(3 - 2\alpha)}$.
Hence the result follows. ■

References

- [1] W. Ma, D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions. *Proc. Conf. on Complex Analysis* (Eds: F. Li, L. Ren, L. Yang, S. Zhang), Int. Press (1994), 157-169.
- [2] S. Ruscheweyh, Some properties of prestarlike and universally prestarlike functions. *Intern. Conf. Geometric Function Theory, J. Analysis* **15** (2007), 247-254.
- [3] S. Ruscheweyh, L. Salinas, Universally prestarlike functions as convolution multipliers. *Mathematische Zeitschrift* (2009), 607-617.
- [4] S. Ruscheweyh, L. Salinas, T. Sugawa, Completely monotone sequences and universally prestarlike functions. *Israel J. Math.* **171** (2009), 285-304.

Department of Mathematics
Anna University Chennai, Chennai – 600025, INDIA

* e-mail: shan@annauniv.edu

** e-mail: j_lourthumary@annauniv.edu

Received: GFTA, August 27-31, 2010