## Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

## Serdica

Mathematical Journal

## Сердика

## Математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or
licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.
For further information on
Serdica Mathematical Journal
which is the new series of
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
visit the website of the journal http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

# STABILITY AND INSTABILITY OF SOLITARY WAVE SOLUTIONS OF A NONLINEAR DISPERSIVE SYSTEM OF BENJAMIN-BONA-MAHONY TYPE* 

Sevdzhan Hakkaev<br>Communicated by R. Lucchetti


#### Abstract

This paper concerns the orbital stability and instability of solitary waves of the system of coupling equations of Benjamin-Bona-Mahony type. By applying the abstract results of Grillakis, Shatah and Strauss and detailed spectral analysis, we obtain the existence and stability of the solitary waves.


1. Introduction. Considered herein is the stability and instability of solitary wave solutions for the system of nonlinear evolution equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}+f(u, v)_{x}-u_{x x t}=0  \tag{1.1}\\
v_{t}+g(u, v)_{x}-v_{x x t}=0 \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad v(x, 0)=v_{0}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $u=u(x, t)$ and $v=v(x, t)$ are real-valued functions. This system can also be interpreted as a coupled nonlinear version of Benjamin-Bona-Mahony equations (BBM)

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}+u_{x}+u u_{x}-u_{x x t}=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

A more general class of equations of BBM type of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u_{t}+(f(u))_{x}=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

was investigated by Souganidis and Strauss [16]. It is shown that for the generalized BBM equation, all solitary waves are stable when $p \leq 4$ and when $p>4$, there is a critical value of solitary wave speed $c_{r}>1$ such that the solitary wave is stable for wave speed $c>c_{r}$ and unstable for $1<c<c_{r}$. The stability and instability for a more general nonlinearity is investigated in [12], [13] and [14]. In particular for $f(u)=u^{p}$, stability is obtained for all $p>0$.

For class of equations of Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) type

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}+(f(u))_{x}-M u_{x}=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

was investigated by Bona at el. [10]. In [4] the existence and stability of solitary wave solutions is investigated for a system of nonlinear evolution equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}+u_{x x x}+\left(u^{p} v^{p+1}\right)_{x}=0  \tag{1.5}\\
v_{t}+v_{x x x}+\left(u^{p+1} v^{p}\right)_{x}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

which can be interpreted as a coupled nonlinear version of generalized KdV equations. It is shown that the solitary waves are stable for $p<2$ and unstable for $p>2$.

Our main purpose in this article will be to study orbital stability and instability of solitary wave solutions of system (1.1). We base our analysis on the invariants

$$
\begin{gathered}
E(u, v)=-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(u, v) d x, \quad Q(u, v)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+u_{x}^{2}+v_{x}^{2}\right) d x \\
I(u)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u d x
\end{gathered}
$$

where $F_{u}=f, \quad F_{v}=g$ and $F(0,0)=0$, following the proofs of [11], [12] and [16].
The plan of the paper is as follows. A discussion of system (1.1) is given in Section 2. The existence of solitary waves is developed in Section 3. The theory of stability and instability will be established in Section 4.

## Notation

- The norm in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{s}$ and $\|\cdot\|$ will be denote the $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$
norm.
- We denote $X^{s}=H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s}(\mathbb{R}), \quad X=L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \times L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\|\mathbf{f}\|_{X^{s}}^{2}=\|f\|_{s}^{2}+\|g\|_{s}^{2}$ for $\mathbf{f}=(f, g)$. The norm in $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}) \times L^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ will be $\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^{p} \times L^{p}}=\|f\|_{L^{p}}+\|g\|_{L^{p}}$.
- $D=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\partial_{x} & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_{x}\end{array}\right)$ and $M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1-\partial_{x}^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1-\partial_{x}^{2}\end{array}\right)$

2. The evolution equation. We begin with a basic theorem which guarantees the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the nonlinear dispersive system (1.1) in $X^{1}$.

Theorem 2.1. (a) If $\mathbf{u}_{0} \in X^{1}$ and $f(u, v)=u+u^{p} v^{p+1}, g(u, v)=$ $v+u^{p+1} v^{p}$, then there is a unique global solution $\mathbf{u}$ of (1.1) in $C\left([0, \infty) ; X^{1}\right)$
(b) If $\mathbf{u}_{0} \in X^{1}$ and $f(u, v)=u^{p} v^{p+1}, g(u, v)=u^{p+1} v^{p}$, then there is a unique global solution $\mathbf{u}$ of (1.1) in $C\left([0, \infty) ; X^{1}\right)$

Proof. (a) To establish existence, we first write (1.1) as

$$
\mathbf{u}_{t}=A \mathbf{u}+A \mathbf{f} \mathbf{u}
$$

where $\mathbf{f}=\left(u^{p} v^{p+1}, u^{p+1} v^{p}\right)$ and $A=-D M^{-1}$, is bounded linear operator and is therefore the infinitesimal generator of a uniformly continuous semigroup of operators. When $p$ is a positive integer, the map $\mathbf{f}: X^{1} \rightarrow X^{1}$ is Lipschitz and in fact differentiable, so the composition $A \mathbf{f}$ is differentiable. By [15, Theorem 6.14], for any $\mathbf{u}_{0} \in X^{1}$ there is some $T \in(0, \infty)$ so that a unique solution $\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$ with initial data $\mathbf{u}_{0}$ exists for $0 \leq t \leq T$.

Multiplying (1.1) by $(u, v)$ yields

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{X^{1}}^{2}=0
$$

This implies that $\mathbf{u}$ is bounded in $X^{1}$ and gives the global existence of a solution $\mathbf{u}$ for this case.

For the proof of (b), we write (1.1) as

$$
\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{u}_{0}-\int_{0}^{t} M^{-1} D \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(\tau)) d \tau
$$

We solve this integral equation by iteration in the space $C\left([0, T] ; X^{1}\right)$. Because $X^{1} \subset L^{\infty} \times L^{\infty}$ so that $\mathbf{u} \rightarrow \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u})$ carries $X^{1}$ in $X^{1}$ in a locally Lipschitzian manner. Furthermore, the operator $M^{-1} \partial_{x}$ has order -1 , so that the integral operator is locally Lipscitzian.

Lemma 2.1. The unique solution $\mathbf{u}$ of (1.1) with initial data $\mathbf{u}_{0}=$ $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ satisfies

$$
E(\mathbf{u})=E\left(\mathbf{u}_{0}\right), \quad Q(\mathbf{u})=Q\left(\mathbf{u}_{0}\right)
$$

Moreover, if $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{0}(x) d x$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v_{0}(x) d x$ converge then $I(u)$ and $I(v)$ converges and are constants.

Proof. The fact that $E$ and $Q$ are constant follows from the theorem of existence. Integration of the equations of (1.1) separately yields

$$
\int_{a}^{b} u(x, t) d x-\int_{a}^{b} u(x, 0) d x=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{a}^{b} M^{-1} \partial_{x} f(u, v) d x d \tau
$$

and

$$
\int_{a}^{b} v(x, t) d x-\int_{a}^{b} v(x, 0) d x=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{a}^{b} M^{-1} \partial_{x} g(u, v) d x d \tau
$$

As $a \rightarrow-\infty, \quad b \rightarrow \infty$, both integrals on the right-hand side tend to zero. This complete the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Consider the linear initial value problem associated to Eq. (1.1);

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}-u_{x x t}+u_{x}=0  \tag{2.1}\\
v_{t}-v_{x x t}+v_{x}=0 \\
(u(0), v(0))=\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) \in X^{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and the unitary group $V(t)$ which is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{V}(t) f(x)=S_{t} * f(x) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{t}$ is defined by the oscillatory integral

$$
S_{t}(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i t\left(\frac{\xi}{1+\xi^{2}}-x \xi\right)} d \xi
$$

Therefore the solution of Eq.(2.1) is given by the unitary group $\mathbf{W}(t)$ in $X^{s}$ defined for $\mathbf{u}_{0}=\left(u_{0}(x), v_{0}(x)\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{W}(t) \mathbf{u}_{0}=\left(\mathbf{V} u_{0}(x), \mathbf{V} v_{0}(x)\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.2. Let $\mathbf{u} \in X^{1} \cap\left(L^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \times L^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ and let $\mathbf{u}(x, t)$ be the solution of (1.1) with initial data $\mathbf{u}_{0}$. Then there exists $0<\eta<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{-\infty<z<\infty}\left|\int_{-\infty}^{z} \mathbf{u}(x, t) d x\right| \leq c\left(1+t^{\eta}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $c$ depends only on $\mathbf{u}_{0}$ and $f$ and $g$.
To proof of Theorem 2.2 we need of the following lemma, which is proved in [16].

Lemmma 2.2. Let $S(t)$ be the evolution operator for the linear equation

$$
\left(\left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right) \partial_{t}+\partial_{x}\right) w=0
$$

$(S(t) w(0)=w(t))$. Then $S(t): H^{1} \cap L^{1} \rightarrow L^{\infty}$ for all $t>0$. Moreover, there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\left|S(t) w_{0}\right| \leq c t^{-\theta}\left(\left|w_{0}\right|_{1}+\left\|w_{0}\right\|_{1}\right), \quad \theta=\frac{1}{4}
$$

From Lemma 2.2 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathbf{W}(t) \mathbf{u}_{0}\right|_{\infty} \leq c t^{-\theta}\left(\left|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right|_{L^{1} \times L^{1}}+\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{X^{1}}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a constant.
Proof of Theorem 2.2. Following the ideas of Souganidis and Strauss [16], we let $G(\mathbf{u})=(f(u, v)-u, g(u, v)-v)$, so that the equation takes the form $M \mathbf{u}_{t}+D \mathbf{u}=-D G(\mathbf{u})$

Let $\mathbf{z}(t)=\mathbf{W}(t) \mathbf{u}_{0}$, that is

$$
\left(M \partial_{t}+D\right) \mathbf{z}=0, \quad \mathbf{z}(0)=\mathbf{u}_{0}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{u}(t)=\mathbf{z}(t)-\int_{0}^{t} \mathbf{W}(t-\tau) M^{-1} D G(\mathbf{u}) d \tau= \\
\mathbf{z}(t)-D \int_{0}^{t} \mathbf{W}(t-\tau) M^{-1} G(\mathbf{u}(\tau)) d \tau
\end{gathered}
$$

Let

$$
U(x, t)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} \mathbf{u}(y, t) d y \text { and } Z(x, t)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} \mathbf{z}(y, t) d y
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(t)=Z(t)-\int_{0}^{t} \mathbf{W}(t-\tau) M^{-1} G(\mathbf{u})(\tau) d \tau \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We estimate the two terms on the right-hand side of (2.6) separately. First, we write, using the equation for $\mathbf{z}(x, t)$

$$
\mathbf{z}(t)=\mathbf{u}_{0}-D \int_{0}^{t} M^{-1} \mathbf{z}(\tau) d \tau
$$

so that

$$
Z(t)=U_{0}-\int_{0}^{t} M^{-1} \mathbf{z}(\tau) d \tau=U_{0}-\int_{0}^{t} \mathbf{W}(\tau) M^{-1} \mathbf{u}_{0} d \tau
$$

with $U_{0}(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} \mathbf{u}_{0}(y) d y$. Using (2.5), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
|Z(x, t)| \leq\left|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right|_{L^{1} \times L^{1}}+c \int_{0}^{t}(1+\tau)^{-\theta} d \tau\left(\left|M^{-1} \mathbf{u}_{0}\right|_{L 1 \times L^{1}}+\left\|M^{-1} \mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{X^{1}}\right) \leq \\
c(1+t)^{\eta}\left(\left|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right|_{L^{1} \times L^{1}}+\left\|M^{-1} \mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{X^{1}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\eta=1-\theta$. Noting that $\left\|M^{-1} \mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{X^{1}} \leq c\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|Z(x, t)| \leq c(1+t)^{\eta}\left(\left|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right|_{L^{1} \times L^{1}}+\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{X^{1}}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $P(x, t)$ denote the second term on the right-hand side of Eq. (2.6), then by (2.5)

$$
\begin{gathered}
|P(x, t)| \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left|\mathbf{W}(t-\tau) M^{-1} G(\mathbf{u})\right| d \tau \leq \\
c \int_{0}^{t}(1+t-\tau)^{-\theta} d \tau\left(\left|M^{-1} G(\mathbf{u})\right|_{L^{1} \times L^{1}}+\left\|M^{-1} G(\mathbf{u})\right\|_{X^{1}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $H^{1} \subset L^{\infty}$, then $\left|M^{-1} G(\mathbf{u}(\tau))\right|_{L^{1} \times L^{1}}$ is bounded uniformly in $\tau$ by a constant which depends only on $\mathbf{u}_{0}$.

Next observe that

$$
\left\|M^{-1} G(\mathbf{u}(\tau))\right\|_{X^{1}} \leq\left|\frac{G(\mathbf{u})}{\mathbf{u}}\right|_{\infty}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{X^{1}}
$$

Thus

$$
|P(x, t)| \leq c \int_{0}^{t}(1+t-\tau)^{-\theta} d \tau \leq c(1+t)^{\eta}
$$

where again $\eta=1-\theta=\frac{3}{4}$.
3. Solitary waves. We consider a smooth solution of (1.1) that vanishes at infinity of the form $(u(x, t), v(x, t))=(\varphi(x-c t), \psi(x-c t))=\Phi_{c}$. Substituting $\Phi_{c}$ in (1.1) and assuming that $\varphi, \psi, \varphi^{\prime \prime}, \psi^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-c \varphi+c \varphi^{\prime \prime}+f(\varphi, \psi)=0  \tag{3.1}\\
-c \psi+c \psi^{\prime \prime}+g(\varphi, \psi)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

As we will see below, the system (3.1) has an explicit smooth solution of the form $\Phi_{c}=\left(\phi_{c}, \phi_{c}\right)$.

We observe from (3.1) that if $E^{\prime}, Q^{\prime}$ represent the Frechet derivatives of $E, Q$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{c}, \psi_{c}\right)+c Q^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{c}, \psi_{c}\right)=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $H_{c}$ is the linearized operator of $E^{\prime}+c Q^{\prime}$ around $\Phi_{c}$, namely

$$
\begin{gather*}
H_{c}=E^{\prime \prime}\left(\Phi_{c}\right)+c Q^{\prime \prime}\left(\Phi_{c}\right)=  \tag{3.3}\\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-c \partial_{x}^{2}+c-F_{u^{2}}\left(\Phi_{c}\right) & -F_{u v}\left(\Phi_{c}\right) \\
-F_{u v}\left(\Phi_{c}\right) & -c \partial_{x}^{2}+c-F_{v^{2}}\left(\Phi_{c}\right)
\end{array}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

then $H_{c}\left(\partial_{x} \varphi_{c}, \partial_{x} \psi_{c}\right)=0$.
We now establish some assumptions on $\Phi_{c}$ and $H_{c}$ necessary for the problem of stability and instability. They are as follows.

Assumption 1 (Existence of solitary waves). There is an interval $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $c \in\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ there exists a solution $\Phi_{c}$ of (3.2) in $X^{3}$. The curve $c \rightarrow \Phi_{c}$ is $C^{1}$ with values in $X^{2}$. Moreover, $(1+|\xi|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d \Phi_{c}}{d c}, \Phi_{c}, M \Phi_{c} \in$ $L^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \times L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$.

Assumption 2 (Spectrum of the linearized operator). The zero eigenvalue of the operator $H_{c}$ (with eigenfunction $\left(\partial_{x} \varphi_{c}, \partial_{x} \psi_{c}\right), \varphi_{c}>0, \psi_{c}>0$ ) is simple. $H_{c}$ has a unique negative simple eigenvalue with eigenfunction $\chi_{c}$. Besides the negative and zero eigenvalue, the rest of the spectrum of $H_{c}$ is positive and bounded away from zero. Moreover, the mapping $c \rightarrow \chi_{c}$ is continuous with values in $X^{3}$ and $(1+|\xi|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi_{c}$ is $L^{1} \times L^{1}, \chi_{1 c}>0, \quad \chi_{2 c}>0$.

Denote $L_{1}=-c \partial_{x}^{2}+c-\left(F_{u^{2}}+F_{u v}\right), \quad L_{2}=-c \partial_{x}^{2}+c+\left(F_{u v}-F_{u^{2}}\right)$
Lemma 3.1. Assume $F_{u v}=F_{v u}, \quad F_{u^{2}}=F_{v^{2}}, \quad c+F_{u v}-F_{u^{2}}>0$ and $\operatorname{dimKer} L_{1}=1$ and $h(x)$ with an unique zero $(h(x)=0 \Leftrightarrow x=0)$, where $h \in \operatorname{Ker} L_{1}$. Then $H_{c}$ has a unique negative simple eigenvalue, zero is the simple eigenvalue.

Proof. Suppose that $H_{c}(f, g)=0$, for $(f, g) \in X^{1}$, then from (3.3) we obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-c \partial_{x}^{2} f+c f-F_{u^{2}} f-F_{u v} g=0  \tag{3.4}\\
-c \partial_{x}^{2} g+c g-F_{v^{2}} g-F_{u v} f=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-c \partial_{x}^{2}(f+g)+c(f+g)-\left(F_{u^{2}}+F_{u v}\right)(f+g)=0  \tag{3.5}\\
-c \partial_{x}^{2}(f-g)+c(f-g)+\left(F_{u v}-F_{u^{2}}\right)(f-g)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus, since $(f+g) \rightarrow 0, \quad|x| \rightarrow \infty$ and the unique solution of $L_{2} h_{1}(x)=0$ is $h_{1} \equiv 0$, we obtain $f=g$ and $(f, g)=\frac{k}{2}(h, h)$.

Now let $H_{c}(f, g)=\lambda(f, g)$, for $\lambda<0$. Then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-c \partial_{x}^{2}(f+g)+c(f+g)-\left(F_{u^{2}}+F_{u v}\right)(f+g)=\lambda(f+g)  \tag{3.6}\\
-c \partial_{x}^{2}(f-g)+c(f-g)+\left(F_{u v}-F_{u^{2}}\right)(f-g)=\lambda(f-g)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $h(x)$ has a unique zero, by Sturm-Lioville theory, we see that $L_{1}$ a unique negative simple eigenvalue $\beta$, with eigenfunction $\chi_{c}$. Moreover, it follows from equation $L_{1} \chi_{c}=\beta \chi_{c}$ and a simple bootstrap argument, that $\chi_{c} \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Hence from Eq.(3.6) we obtain that $\lambda=\beta, \quad f+g=k_{1} \chi_{c}$ and $f=g$ and $H_{c}\left(\chi_{c}, \chi_{c}\right)=\lambda\left(\chi_{c}, \chi_{c}\right)$.
Finally, using the fact that $\inf \left\{\gamma \mid \gamma \in \sigma\left(L_{1}\right) \backslash\{0, \beta\}\right\}>\eta>0$ we conclude the proof of the Lemma.

Lemma 3.2. Let $f(u, v)=u+u^{p} v^{p+1}, g(u, v)=v+u^{p+1} v^{p}$. Assumptions 1 and 2 are true for the case of $\Phi_{c}=\left(\phi_{c}, \phi_{c}\right), c>1$.

Proof. Substituting $\Phi_{c}=\left(\phi_{c}, \phi_{c}\right)$ in Eq. (3.1), we obtain the nonlinear elliptic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-c \phi_{c}^{\prime \prime}+(c-1) \phi_{c}-\phi_{c}^{2 p+1}=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $c>1$, there exists a unique (up to translation) solution $\phi_{c}$ of (3.7) which is given explicitly by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{c}(\xi)=(p+1)^{\frac{1}{2 p}}(c-1)^{\frac{1}{2 p}} \sec h^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(p\left(\frac{c-1}{c}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi\right) . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus Assumption 1 is true.
We have $F(u, v)=\frac{u^{2}+v^{2}}{2}+\frac{u^{p+1} v^{p+1}}{p+1}, \quad F_{u^{2}}=1+p u^{p-1} v^{p+1}, \quad F_{v^{2}}=$ $1+p u^{p+1} v^{p-1}, \quad F_{u v}=(p+1) u^{p} v^{p}, \quad L_{1}=-c \partial_{x}^{2}+(c-1)-(2 p+1) \phi_{c}^{2 p}$. From
(3.7) and (3.8), $\partial_{x} \phi_{c} \in \operatorname{Ker} L_{1}, \partial_{x} \phi_{c}=0 \Leftrightarrow x=0$ and $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} L_{1}=1$. Thus from Lemma 3.1 Assumption 2 is true.

Lemma 3.3. Let $f(u, v)=u^{p} v^{p+1}, \quad g(u, v)=u^{p+1} v^{p}$. Assumptions 1 and 2 are true for the case of $\Phi_{c}=\left(\phi_{c}, \phi_{c}\right), c>0$.

Proof. Substituting $\Phi_{c}$ in Eq. (3.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-c \phi_{c}^{\prime \prime}+c \phi_{c}-\phi_{c}^{2 p+1}=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $c>0$, there exists a unique solution $\phi_{c}$ of (3.9) and as in Lemma 3.2, we obtain that Assumptions 1 and 2 are true.
4. Orbital stability and instability. We begin by specifying the precise form in which the stability and instability is to be interpreted. Denoting by $\tau_{s}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}$, the translation operator $\tau_{s} f=f(x+s)$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we define $T(s) \mathbf{f}=\left(\tau_{s} f, \tau_{s} g\right)$ for $\mathbf{f}=(f, g)$. Thus, for $\varepsilon>0$ consider the tabular neighborhood

$$
U_{\varepsilon}=\left\{\mathbf{g} \in X^{1} \quad \mid \inf _{s \in \mathbf{R}}\|\mathbf{g}-T(s) \Phi\|_{X^{1}}<\varepsilon\right\}
$$

Definition 4.1. The solitary wave $\Phi_{c}$ is $X^{1}$-stable if for every $\varepsilon>0$ there is $\delta>0$ such that if $\mathbf{u}_{0} \in U_{\delta}$, then (1.1) has a unique solution $\mathbf{u}(t) \in$ $C\left([0, \infty) ; X^{1}\right)$, with $\mathbf{u}(0)=\mathbf{u}_{0}$, such that $\mathbf{u}(t) \in U_{\varepsilon}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
The solitary wave $\Phi_{c}$ is $X^{1}$-unstable if $\Phi_{c}$ is not $X^{1}$-stable.
Denote

$$
d(c)=E\left(\Phi_{c}\right)+c Q\left(\Phi_{c}\right)
$$

after differentiation with respect to $c$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
d^{\prime}(c)=E^{\prime}\left(\Phi_{c}\right)+c Q^{\prime}\left(\Phi_{c}\right)+Q\left(\Phi_{c}\right)=Q\left(\Phi_{c}\right)  \tag{4.1}\\
d^{\prime \prime}(c)=\left\langle Q^{\prime}\left(\Phi_{c}\right), \frac{d \Phi_{c}}{d c}\right\rangle . \tag{4.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

For instability we will need a series of preliminary results which are similar those used by Souganidis and Strauss [16].

Lemma 4.1. There is $\varepsilon>0$ and a unique $C^{1} \operatorname{map} \alpha: U_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that, for $\mathbf{u} \in U_{\varepsilon}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\mathbf{u}(\cdot+\alpha(\mathbf{u})), D \Phi_{c}\right\rangle=0, \quad \alpha\left(\Phi_{c}\right)=0 \\
& \mathbf{u}(\cdot+r)=\alpha(\mathbf{u})-r \\
& \alpha^{\prime}(\mathbf{u})=\frac{D \Phi_{c}(\cdot-\alpha(\mathbf{u}))}{\left\langle\mathbf{u}, M \Phi_{c}(\cdot-\alpha(\mathbf{u}))\right\rangle}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Consider the functional

$$
F(\mathbf{u}, \alpha)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[u(x+\alpha) \partial_{x} \varphi_{c}(x)+v(x+\alpha) \partial_{x} \psi_{c}(x)\right] d x
$$

defined on pairs $\mathbf{u}=(u, v) \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \times L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$. Since $F\left(\Phi_{c}, 0\right)=0$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} F\left(\Phi_{c}, 0\right)=\left\|\partial_{x} \Phi_{c}\right\|_{X^{1}}^{2} \neq 0$, the implicit function theorem can be applied to obtain the lemma.

Theorem 4.1. Let $c>0$ be fixed. If $d^{\prime \prime}(c)<0$, there is a curve $w \rightarrow \Psi_{w}$ which satisfies $Q\left(\Phi_{c}\right)=Q\left(\Psi_{w}\right), \quad \Phi_{c}=\Psi_{c}$ and on which $E(\mathbf{u})$ has a strict local maximum at $\mathbf{u}=\Phi_{c}$.

Proof. Following the ideas of Souganidis and Strauss [16] we note that for $G(w, s)=Q\left(\Phi_{w}+s \chi_{c}\right), \quad G(c, 0)=Q\left(\Phi_{c}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial}{\partial_{s}} Q\left(\Phi_{w}+s \chi_{c}\right)(c, 0)=\left\langle Q^{\prime}\left(\Phi_{c}\right), \chi_{c}\right\rangle=\left\langle M \Phi_{c}, \chi_{c}\right\rangle= \\
\frac{1}{c}\left[\left(f\left(\varphi_{c}, \psi_{c}\right), \chi_{1 c}\right)+\left(g\left(\varphi_{c}, \psi_{c}\right), \chi_{2 c}\right)\right] \neq 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, it follows from the implicit function theorem that there is $C^{1}$ function $s(w)$ for $w$ near $c$, such that $s(c)=0$ and $G(w, s(w))=Q\left(\Phi_{c}\right)$ for $w$ near $c$. Next we define $\Psi_{w}=\Phi_{w}+s(w) \chi_{c}$. It is easily seen that $\frac{d}{d w} E\left(\Psi_{w}\right)_{\mid w=c}=0$ and

$$
\frac{d^{2}}{d w^{2}} E\left(\Psi_{w}\right)_{\mid w=c}=\left\langle H_{c} \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}\right\rangle
$$

where $\mathbf{y}=\left.\frac{d \Psi_{w}}{d w}\right|_{w=c}=\frac{d}{d c} \Phi_{c}+s^{\prime}(c) \chi_{c}$. So it suffices to show that $\left\langle H_{c} \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}\right\rangle<0$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\frac{d}{d w} Q\left(\Psi_{w}\right)_{\mid w=c}=\left\langle Q^{\prime}\left(\Phi_{c}\right), \frac{d}{d w} \Psi_{\left.w\right|_{w=c}}\right\rangle= \\
& \left\langle M \Phi_{c}, \mathbf{y}\right\rangle=\left\langle M \Phi_{c}, \frac{d \Phi_{c}}{d c}\right\rangle+s^{\prime}(c)\left\langle M \Phi_{c}, \chi_{c}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

From (4.2), $d^{\prime \prime}(c)=\left\langle Q^{\prime}\left(\Phi_{c}\right), \frac{d \Phi_{c}}{d c}\right\rangle=-s^{\prime}(c)\left\langle M \Phi_{c}, \chi_{c}\right\rangle$. But also $H_{c} \mathbf{y}=H_{c} \frac{d \Phi_{c}}{d c}+$ $s^{\prime}(c) H_{c} \chi_{c}=-M \Phi_{c}+s^{\prime}(c) H_{c} \chi_{c}$.
Therefore

$$
\left\langle H_{c} \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}\right\rangle=s^{\prime}(c)\left\langle H_{c} \chi_{c}, \mathbf{y}\right\rangle-\left\langle M \Phi_{c}, \mathbf{y}\right\rangle=
$$

$$
-s^{\prime}(c)\left\langle M \Phi_{c}, \chi_{c}\right\rangle+s^{\partial 2}(c)\left\langle H_{c} \chi_{c}, \chi_{c}\right\rangle=d^{\prime \prime}(c)+s^{\partial 2}(c)\left\langle H_{c} \chi_{c}, \chi_{c}\right\rangle<0
$$

This proves the theorem.
Next we define an auxiliary operator $B$ which will play a critical role in the proof of instability. It follows from the calculation given in the proof of Theorem 4.1 that $\left\langle H_{c} \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}\right\rangle<0$ and $\left\langle M \Phi_{c}, \mathbf{y}\right\rangle=0$.

Definition 4.2. For $\mathbf{u} \in U_{\varepsilon}$, define $B(\mathbf{u})$ by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(\mathbf{u})=\mathbf{y}(\cdot-\alpha(\mathbf{u}))-\frac{\langle M \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}(\cdot-\alpha(\mathbf{u}))\rangle M^{-1} \partial_{x}^{2} \Phi_{c}(\cdot-\alpha(\mathbf{u}))}{\left\langle\mathbf{u}, \partial_{x}^{2} \Phi_{c}(\cdot-\alpha(\mathbf{u}))\right\rangle} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next lemma summarizes the properties of $B$.
Lemma 4.2. $B$ is a $C^{1}$ function from $U_{\varepsilon}$ into $X^{1}$. Moreover, $B$ commutes with translations, $B\left(\Phi_{c}\right)=\mathbf{y}$ and $\left\langle B(\mathbf{u}, M \mathbf{u}\rangle=0\right.$ for every $\mathbf{u} \in U_{\varepsilon}$.

Consider now the equation (determining a curve $\Gamma$ in $U_{\varepsilon}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \mathbf{u}_{\lambda}}{d \lambda}=B\left(\mathbf{u}_{\lambda}\right), \quad \mathbf{u}(0)=\mathbf{v} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote $\mathbf{u}(\lambda, x)=\rho(\lambda, \mathbf{v})$ the solution of (4.4), which exists in a neighborhood of $\lambda=0$, since $B$ is of class $C^{1}$. Further, we have $Q(\mathbf{u}(\lambda, x))=Q(\mathbf{v})$, since
and also

$$
\frac{d \rho\left(\lambda, \Phi_{c}\right)}{d \lambda}=B\left(\Phi_{c}\right)=\mathbf{y}
$$

Lemma 4.3. There is a $C^{1}$ functional $\Lambda: D_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $D_{\varepsilon}=\{\mathbf{v} \in$ $\left.U_{\varepsilon} \mid Q(\mathbf{v})=Q\left(\Phi_{c}\right)\right\}$, such that if $\mathbf{v} \in D_{\varepsilon}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ is not a translate of $\Phi_{c}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(\Phi_{c}\right)<E(\mathbf{v})+\Lambda(\mathbf{v})\left\langle E^{\prime}(\mathbf{v}), B(\mathbf{v})\right\rangle \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.4. The curve $w \rightarrow \Psi_{w}$ constructed in Theorem 4.1 satisfies $E\left(\Psi_{w}\right)<E\left(\Phi_{c}\right)$ for $w \neq c, Q\left(\Psi_{w}\right)=Q\left(\Phi_{c}\right)$ and $\left\langle E^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{w}\right), B\left(\Psi_{w}\right)\right\rangle$ changes sign as $w$ passes through $c$.

Theorem 4.2. Assume Assumptions 1 and 2. If $d^{\prime \prime}(c)<0$, then the solitary wave $\Phi_{c}$ is $X^{1}$-unstable.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given small enough such that Lemma 4.1 and its consequences apply with $U_{\varepsilon}$. To prove instability of $\Phi_{c}$, it suffices to show that there are some elements $\mathbf{u}_{0} \in X^{1}$ which are arbitrary close to $\Phi_{c}$ but for which the solution $\mathbf{u}$ of Eq. (1.1) with initial data $\mathbf{u}_{0}$ exists from $U_{\varepsilon}$ in finite time. By Lemma 4.4 we can find $\mathbf{u}_{0} \in X^{3} \subset X^{1}$, near to $\Phi_{c}$ and which satisfies $Q\left(\mathbf{u}_{0}\right)=Q\left(\Phi_{c}\right), E\left(\mathbf{u}_{0}\right)<E\left(\Phi_{c}\right)$ and $\left\langle E^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{u}_{0}\right), B\left(\mathbf{u}_{0}\right)\right\rangle>0$. For a fixed $\mathbf{u}_{0}$, let $\left[0, t_{1}\right)$ denote the maximal interval for which $\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$ lies continuously in $U_{\varepsilon}$. Let $T$ be the maximum existence time of the solution $\mathbf{u}$ in Eq. 1.1. If $T$ is finite, then we have the $X^{1}$-instability for $\Phi_{c}$ by definition. So we may assume that $T=\infty$ and it suffices to show that $t_{1}<\infty$.

In view of Theorems 2.1 and $2.2 \mathbf{u}$ has the following properties

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{u} \in C\left(\left[0, t_{1}\right) ; X^{1}\right), \quad \mathbf{u}(x, 0)=\mathbf{u}_{0}(x) \\
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left|\int_{-\infty}^{x} \mathbf{u}(z, t) d z\right| \leq c_{0}\left(1+t^{\frac{3}{4}}\right), \quad t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right) \\
\sup _{t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right)}\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{X^{1}} \leq c_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $c_{1}$ depends only on $\Phi_{c}$ and $\varepsilon$, and $c_{0}$ depends only on $c_{1}$ and $\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{L^{1} \times L^{1}}+$ $\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{X^{1}}$.

Let $\beta(t)=\alpha(\mathbf{u}(t)), \mathbf{Y}(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} M \mathbf{y}(\rho) d \rho=\int_{-\infty}^{x} \mathbf{y}(\rho) d \rho+L \mathbf{y}(x)$, where $L=\left(\begin{array}{cc}-i|\xi| & 0 \\ 0 & -i|\xi|\end{array}\right)$ and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{Y}(x-\beta(t)) \mathbf{u}(x, t) d x, \quad 0 \leq t<t_{1} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next step is to show that the integral in Eq. (4.6) converges. Indeed, if $\mathcal{H}$ is the Heaviside function and $\gamma=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{u}_{0}(x) d x$ (we note that by Assumptions 1 and $2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(1+|x|)^{\frac{1}{2}}|\mathbf{y}(x)| d x<\infty$ and function $R(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} \mathbf{y}(\rho) d \rho-\gamma \mathcal{H}(x)$ belong $L^{2} \times L^{2}$ ), we obtain from Eq. (4.6) that

$$
\begin{gathered}
A(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R(x-\beta(t)) \mathbf{u}(x, t) d x+\gamma \int_{\beta(t)}^{\infty} \mathbf{u}(x, t) d x+ \\
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L \mathbf{y}(x-\beta(t)) \mathbf{u}(x, t) d x
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A(t) \leq|R|_{2}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{X^{1}}+c_{0}\left(1+t^{\frac{3}{4}}\right)+\|L \mathbf{u}\|_{X^{1}}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{X^{1}} \leq c_{2}\left(1+t^{\frac{3}{4}}\right)\right. \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d A}{d t}=-\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}(\mathbf{u}), \frac{d \mathbf{u}}{d t}\right\rangle\langle M \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}\rangle+\left\langle\mathbf{Y}(\cdot-\beta), \frac{d \mathbf{u}}{d t}\right\rangle= \\
\left\langle-\langle\mathbf{y}(\cdot-\beta), M \mathbf{u}\rangle \alpha^{\prime}(\mathbf{u})+\mathbf{Y}(\cdot-\beta), \frac{d \mathbf{u}}{d t}\right\rangle= \\
\left\langle-\langle\mathbf{y}(\cdot-\beta), M \mathbf{u}\rangle \alpha^{\prime}(\mathbf{u})+\mathbf{Y}(\cdot-\beta), M^{-1} \partial_{x} \mathbf{f}\right\rangle= \\
\left\langle-\langle\mathbf{y}(\cdot-\beta), M \mathbf{u}\rangle \partial_{x} M^{-1} \alpha^{\prime}(\mathbf{u})+\mathbf{y}(\cdot-\beta), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u})\right\rangle= \\
=-\left\langle B(\mathbf{u}), E^{\prime}(\mathbf{u})\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

Because $0<E\left(\Phi_{c}\right)-E\left(\mathbf{u}_{0}\right)=E\left(\Phi_{c}\right)-E(\mathbf{u})$, Lemma 4.3 implies that $0<$ $\Lambda(\mathbf{u}(t))\left\langle E^{\prime}(\mathbf{u}(t)), B(\mathbf{u}(t))\right\rangle$. Moreover, since $\mathbf{u}(t) \in U_{\varepsilon}$ and $\Lambda\left(\Phi_{c}\right)=0$, we may assume that $\Lambda(\mathbf{u}(t))<1$ by choosing $\varepsilon$ small if necessary. Therefore for all $t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right),\left\langle E^{\prime}(\mathbf{u}(t)), B(\mathbf{u}(t))\right\rangle>E\left(\Phi_{c}\right)-E\left(\mathbf{u}_{0}\right)>0$. Hence for $0 \leq t<t_{1}$

$$
-\frac{d A}{d t} \geq E\left(\Phi_{c}\right)-E\left(\mathbf{u}_{0}\right)>0
$$

Comparing this with (4.7), we conclude that $t_{1}<\infty$.
Now we will consider the stability theory. The stability of solitary wave solutions of (1.1) is an immediate consequence of the fact that $d^{\prime \prime}(c)>0$ implies that $\Phi_{c}$ is a local minimum of $E$ subject to the constancy of $Q$. This is a general fact, not special to the equations under consideration in this paper.

Lemma 4.5. Let $d^{\prime \prime}(c)>0$. If $\left\langle M \Phi_{c}, \mathbf{y}_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle\partial_{x} \Phi_{c}, \mathbf{y}_{1}\right\rangle=0$, then there is $K>0$ such that $\left\langle H_{c} \mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{1}\right\rangle>K\left\|\mathbf{y}_{1}\right\|^{2}$.

$$
\text { Proof. Putting } \mathbf{y}_{1}=a_{1} \chi_{c}+\mathbf{p}_{1}, \quad a_{1}=\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \chi_{c}\right), \text { we have }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle H_{c} \mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{1}\right\rangle=-a_{1}^{2} \lambda_{0}^{2}+\left\langle H_{c} \mathbf{p}_{1}, \mathbf{p}_{1}\right\rangle \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $-\lambda_{0}^{2}$ is a negative eigenvalue of $H_{c}$. Write $\frac{d \Phi_{c}}{d c}=a_{0} \chi_{c}+b_{0} \partial_{c} \Phi_{c}+\mathbf{p}_{0}$, where $\mathbf{p}_{0}$ is in the positive subspace of $H_{c}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle H_{c} \frac{d \Phi_{c}}{d c}, \frac{d \Phi_{c}}{d c}\right\rangle=-\lambda_{0}^{2} a_{0}^{2}+\left\langle H_{c} \mathbf{p}_{0}, \mathbf{p}_{0}\right\rangle \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left\langle H_{c} \mathbf{p}_{0}, \mathbf{p}_{0}\right\rangle=-d^{\prime \prime}(c)+\lambda_{0}^{2} a_{0}^{2}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=-\left(M \Phi_{c}, \mathbf{y}_{1}\right)=\left\langle H_{c} \frac{d \Phi_{c}}{d c}, \mathbf{y}_{1}\right\rangle=-a_{0} a_{1} \lambda_{0}^{2}+\left\langle H_{c} \mathbf{p}_{0}, \mathbf{p}_{1}\right\rangle \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In combination with (4.8)-(4.10) this implies

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle H_{c} \mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{1}\right\rangle \geq-a_{1}^{2} \lambda_{0}^{2}+\frac{\left\langle H_{c} \mathbf{p}_{0}, \mathbf{p}_{1}\right\rangle^{2}}{\left\langle H_{c} \mathbf{p}_{0}, \mathbf{p}_{0}\right\rangle}=-a_{1}^{2} \lambda_{0}^{2}+\frac{a_{0}^{2} a_{1}^{2} \lambda_{0}^{4}}{-d^{\prime \prime}(c)+a_{0}^{2} \lambda_{0}^{2}}=  \tag{4.11}\\
=\frac{d^{\prime \prime}(c) a_{1}^{2} \lambda_{0}^{2}}{\left\langle H_{c} \mathbf{p}_{0}, \mathbf{p}_{0}\right\rangle}=a_{1}^{2} K>0
\end{gather*}
$$

Denote by $\mathcal{N}$ the set of all $\mathbf{y}_{1}$, which satisfy, the conditions and $\left\|\mathbf{y}_{1}\right\|=1$. We claim that there exists a constant $K_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle H_{c} \mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{1}\right\rangle \geq K_{1}, \quad \mathbf{y}_{1} \in \mathcal{N} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

To verify the claim, let us assume the contrary: there exists a sequence $\mathbf{y}_{n} \in$ $\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{y}_{n}=a_{n} \chi_{c}+\mathbf{p}_{n}$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle H_{c} \mathbf{y}_{n}, \mathbf{y}_{n}\right\rangle=-a_{n}^{2} \lambda_{0}^{2}+\left\langle H_{c} \mathbf{p}_{n}, \mathbf{p}_{n}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (4.11) implies $0<a_{n}^{2} K=-a_{n}^{2} \lambda_{0}^{2}+\left\langle H_{c} \mathbf{p}_{n}, \mathbf{p}_{n}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$. Using (4.13) we conclude that also $\left\langle H_{c} \mathbf{p}_{n}, \mathbf{p}_{n}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$, which is equivalent to $\mathbf{p}_{n} \rightarrow 0$, which contradicts $\left\|\mathbf{p}_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 1$.

Lemma 4.6. Let $d^{\prime \prime}(c)>0$. Then there is positive constants $K$ and $\varepsilon$, such that for every $\mathbf{u} \in U_{\varepsilon}$ with $Q(\mathbf{u})=Q\left(\Phi_{c}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\mathbf{u})-E\left(\Phi_{c}\right) \geq K\left\|\mathbf{u}(\cdot-\alpha(\mathbf{u}))-\Phi_{c}\right\|_{X^{1}}^{2} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha(\mathbf{u})$ is defined by Lemma 4.1.
Proof. Denote $\Psi=\mathbf{u}(x+\alpha(\mathbf{u}))-\Phi_{c}(x)=\mu M \Phi_{c}+\mathbf{y}$, where $\left(M \Phi_{c}, \mathbf{y}\right)=0$.
One has

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q\left(\Phi_{c}\right)=Q(\mathbf{u})=Q(\mathbf{u}(x+\alpha(\mathbf{u})))=Q\left(\Psi_{c}+\Phi_{c}\right)= \\
Q\left(\Phi_{c}\right)+\left\langle Q^{\prime}\left(\Phi_{c}\right), \Psi_{c}\right\rangle+O\left(\|\Psi\|^{2}\right)=Q\left(\Phi_{c}\right)+\mu\left\langle Q^{\prime}\left(\Phi_{c}\right), M \Phi_{c}\right\rangle+ \\
\left\langle Q^{\prime}\left(\Phi_{c}\right), \mathbf{y}\right\rangle+O\left(\|\Psi\|^{2}\right)=Q\left(\Phi_{c}\right)+\mu\left\|M \Phi_{c}\right\|^{2}+O\left(\|\Psi\|^{2}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=O\left(\|\Psi\|^{2}\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $L(\mathbf{u})=E(\mathbf{u})+c Q(\mathbf{u})$. Another Taylor expansion gives

$$
L(\mathbf{u})=L(\mathbf{u}(\cdot+\alpha(\mathbf{u})))=L\left(\Phi_{c}\right)+\left\langle L^{\prime}\left(\Phi_{c}\right), \Psi\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle L^{\prime \prime}\left(\Phi_{c}\right) \Psi, \Psi\right\rangle+o\left(\|\Psi\|_{X^{1}}^{2}\right)
$$

Since $Q(\mathbf{u})=Q\left(\Phi_{c}\right), L^{\prime}\left(\Phi_{c}\right)=0$ and $L^{\prime \prime}\left(\Phi_{c}\right)=H_{c}$, this can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\mathbf{u})-E\left(\Phi_{c}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle H_{c} \Psi, \Psi\right\rangle+O\left(\|\Psi\|_{X^{1}}^{3}\right) . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (4.15), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle H_{c} \Psi, \Psi\right\rangle=\left\langle H_{c} \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}\right\rangle+O\left(\|\Psi\|^{3}\right) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now write $\mathbf{y}$ as $\mathbf{y}=\mu_{1} \Phi_{c}+\Omega, \quad(\partial \Phi, \Omega)=0$. Then

$$
\left\langle H_{c} \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}\right\rangle=\left\langle H_{c} \Omega, \Omega\right\rangle .
$$

Applying Lemma 4.5, we obtain

$$
\left\langle H_{c} \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}\right\rangle=\left\langle H_{c} \Omega, \Omega\right\rangle \geq\|\Omega\|^{2}
$$

By Lemma $4.10=\langle\Psi, \partial \Phi\rangle=\mu_{1}\left\langle\partial_{x} \Phi, \partial_{x} \Phi\right\rangle+\left\langle\Omega, \partial_{x} \Phi\right\rangle$ and $\left|\mu_{1}\right| \leq\|\Omega\|^{2}$. Therefore $\|\mathbf{y}\|^{2} \leq m\|\Omega\|^{2}$, where $m=1+\left\|\partial_{x} \Phi\right\|$. Then

$$
\left\langle H_{c} \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}\right\rangle \geq K_{1}\|\mathbf{y}\| .
$$

This estimate in combination with

$$
\|\mathbf{y}\|=\left\|\Psi-\mu M \Phi_{c}\right\| \geq\|\Psi\|-|\mu| \cdot\left\|M \Phi_{c}\right\|=\|\Psi\|+O\left(\|\Psi\|^{2}\right)
$$

and (4.17) gives the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle H_{c} \Psi, \Psi\right\rangle \geq K_{1}\|\Psi\|^{2}+O\left(\|\Psi\|^{3}\right) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we estimate directly $\left\langle H_{c} \Psi, \Psi\right\rangle$ from bellow. One has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle H_{c} \Psi, \Psi\right\rangle=c_{0}\|\Psi\|_{X^{1}}^{2}-c_{1}\|\Psi\|^{2} . \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4.16), (4.18) and (4.19), we have

$$
E(\mathbf{u})-E\left(\Phi_{c}\right) \geq K\|\Psi\|_{X^{1}}^{2}-O\left(\|\Psi\|_{X^{1}}^{3}\right)
$$

Therefore for $\|\Psi\|_{X^{1}}$ sufficiently small (which can be ensured by choosing $\varepsilon$ small enough), the last inequality implies (4.14). The proof is complete.

Theorem 4.3. Let $d^{\prime \prime}(c)>0$. Then $\Phi_{c}$ is $X^{1}$-stable.
Proof. If $\Phi_{c}$ is unstable, there exists a sequence of initial data $\mathbf{u}_{n}(0)$ and $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\inf _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{n}(0)-\Phi_{c}(\cdot+s)\right\|_{X^{1}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ but

$$
\sup _{t>0} \inf _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{n}(t)-\Phi_{c}(\cdot+s)\right\|_{X^{1}} \geq \varepsilon
$$

where $\mathbf{u}_{n}(t)$ is the unique solution of (1.1) with initial data $\mathbf{u}_{n}(0)$. By continuity in $t$, we can pick the first time $t_{n}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{n}\left(t_{n}\right)-\Phi_{c}(\cdot+s)\right\|_{X^{1}}=\varepsilon \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $E$ and $Q$ are continuous on $X^{1}$ and translation invariant,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(\mathbf{u}_{n}\left(\cdot, t_{n}\right)\right)=E\left(\mathbf{u}_{n}(0)\right) \rightarrow E\left(\Phi_{c}\right), \\
& Q\left(\mathbf{u}_{n}\left(\cdot, t_{n}\right)\right)=Q\left(\mathbf{u}_{n}(0)\right) \rightarrow Q\left(\Phi_{c}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next choose $\mathbf{w}_{n} \in U_{\varepsilon}$ so that $Q\left(\mathbf{w}_{n}\right)=Q\left(\Phi_{c}\right)$ and $\left\|\mathbf{w}_{n}-\mathbf{u}_{n}\left(\cdot, t_{n}\right)\right\|_{X^{1}} \rightarrow 0$ By Lemma 4.6

$$
0 \leftarrow E\left(\mathbf{w}_{n}\right)-E\left(\Phi_{c}\right) \geq\left\|\mathbf{w}_{n}\left(\cdot+\alpha\left(\mathbf{w}_{n}\right)\right)-\Phi_{c}\right\|_{X^{1}}^{2} .
$$

Hence $\left\|\mathbf{u}_{n}\left(t_{n}\right)-\Phi_{c}\left(\cdot-\alpha\left(\mathbf{w}_{n}\right)\right)\right\|_{X^{1}} \rightarrow 0$, which contradicts (4.20). This means that the orbit $\Phi_{c}$ is stable, thus Theorem 4.3 is established.

Theorem 4.4. Let $f(u, v)=u+u^{p} v^{p+1}, g(u, v)=v+u^{p+1} v^{p}, p \geq 1$, $\Phi_{c}=\left(\phi_{c}, \phi_{c}\right)$ and $c>1$
(i) if $p \leq 2$, then the solitary wave $\Phi_{c}$ is $X^{1}$-stable for all $c>1$
(ii) if $p>2$, there is $c_{0}>1$, such that the solitary wave $\Phi_{c}$ is $X^{1}$-stable for $c>c_{0}$ and unstable for $1<c<c_{0}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 and Theorems 4.2 and 4.3 it is sufficient to compute $d^{\prime \prime}(c)$. In fact from (3.8) and (4.1) and using that $\int \operatorname{sech}^{r} \xi d \xi=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{r+1}{2}\right)}$ and $\Gamma(a+1)=a \Gamma(a)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
d^{\prime}(c)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^{2}+\phi^{\partial 2} d x=\alpha^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}+\frac{\beta}{p^{2}}\right) \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{2}\right)}-\frac{\alpha^{2} \beta}{p^{2}} \frac{1}{p+2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{2}\right)}= \\
\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{2}\right)}\left[\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\beta}+\frac{\alpha^{2} \beta}{p^{2}} \frac{p+1}{p+2}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\alpha(c)=(p+1)^{\frac{1}{2 p}}(c-1)^{\frac{1}{2 p}}, \beta(c)=p\left(\frac{c-1}{c}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
Hence

$$
d^{\prime \prime}(c)=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \frac{1}{2 p}\left[\left(p^{2}+4 p+6\right) c^{2}-\left(2 p^{2}+3 p+2\right) c-p\right]
$$

Denote by $h(c)=\left(p^{2}+4 p+6\right) c^{2}-\left(2 p^{2}+3 p+2\right) c-p$, which is an increasing function of $c$. Since $h(1)=(2-p)(2+p)$, then if $p \leq 2, d^{\prime \prime}(c)>0$ for all $c>1$. If $p>2$, there is $c_{0}>1$, such that $d^{\prime \prime}(c)>0$ for $c>c_{0}$ and $d^{\prime \prime}(c)<0$ for $1<c<c_{0}$.

Theorem 4.5. Let $f(u, v)=u^{p} v^{p+1}, g(u, v)=u^{p+1} v^{p}, \Phi_{c}=\left(\phi_{c}, \phi_{c}\right), c>$ 0 . The $\Phi_{c}$ is $X^{1}$-stable for all $p \geq 1$ and $c>0$.

Proof. Let $\Phi_{c}=c^{\frac{1}{2 p}} \Psi$. Then $\Psi$ is independent of $c$ and solve the equation

$$
-M \Psi+\Psi^{2 p+1}=0
$$

But

$$
d^{\prime}(c)=Q\left(\Phi_{c}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle M \Phi_{c} \Phi_{c}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2} c^{\frac{1}{p}}\langle M \Psi, \Psi\rangle
$$

and

$$
d^{\prime \prime}(c)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{p} c^{\frac{1-p}{p}}\langle M \Psi, \Psi\rangle>0
$$
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