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# THE NUMBER OF PARTS OF GIVEN MULTIPLICITY IN A RANDOM INTEGER PARTITION 

Emil Kamenov ${ }^{1}$

Let $X_{m, n}$ denote the number of parts of multiplicity $m$ in a random partition of the positive integer $n$. We study the asymptotic behaviour of the variance of $X_{m, n}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and fixed $m$.

## 1. Introduction

Let $n$ be a positive integer. By a partition $\omega$ of $n$ we mean a representation

$$
\omega: n=\sum_{j} j \mu_{\omega}(j)
$$

where $\mu_{\omega}(j), j=1,2, \ldots$ are nonnegative integers. $\mu_{\omega}(j)$ is called the multiplicity of part $j$.

The generating function $g(x)$ of the number of all partitions of $n$, usually denoted by $p(n)$, is determined by Euler(see [1, Chap.1]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p(n) x^{n}=\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-x^{j}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]We shall introduce the uniform probability measure $P$ on the set of all partitions of $n$, assuming that the probability $1 / p(n)$ is assigned to each partition. Thus, each characteristic of the parts can be regarded as random variable.

Let $X_{m, n}(\omega)$ be the number of parts of multiplicity $m$ in a partition $\omega$ of the positive integer $n$, i.e. $X_{m, n}(\omega)=\left|\left\{j: \mu_{\omega}(j)=m\right\}\right|$. Corteel, Pittel, Savage, Wilf [2] found the generating function $H(x, y)$ of $X_{m, n}(\omega)$ :
(2) $H(x, y)=\sum_{n, j \geq 0} x^{n} y^{j} p(n) P\left(X_{m, n}=j\right)=g(x) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[1+(y-1) x^{m k}\left(1-x^{k}\right)\right]$.

They use this generating function and found the following identity:

$$
\mathbf{E}\left(X_{m, n}\right)=\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{p(n-j m)-p(n-(m+1) j)}{p(n)} .
$$

Then, they applied the asymptotic formula [3] for $p(n)$ and showed that for each fixed $m$ the average number of parts of multiplicity $m$ of a partition of $n$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}\left(X_{m, n}\right) \sim \frac{\sqrt{6 n}}{\pi} \frac{1}{m(m+1)}=\mu_{n}(m), \quad n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our main goal in this paper is to continue their research determining the asymptotic of the variance of $X_{m, n}$. We apply the saddle point method.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 are given three lemmas related to the partition generating function and the number of integer partitions. Section 3 contains the theorem for the variance of $X_{m, n}$ and its proof.

## 2. Preliminary asymptotic

We need some auxiliary facts related to the asymptotic behaviour of generating function $g(x)$ and the numbers of partitions $p(n)$. The results are given in the next three lemmas.

Lemma 1. [4]. If $r_{n}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{n}=1-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6 n}}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{12 n}+O\left(n^{-3 / 2}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(r)=\frac{\pi}{3(1-r)^{3}}, \quad 0<r<1, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the partition generating function determined by (2) satisfies

$$
g\left(r_{n} e^{i \theta}\right) e^{-i \theta n}=g\left(r_{n}\right) \exp \left(\frac{-\theta^{2} b\left(r_{n}\right)}{2}\right)[1+O(1 / \log n)],
$$

uniformly for $|\theta| \leq \delta_{n}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{n}=\frac{n^{-2 / 3}}{\log n} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2. [5]. If $r_{n}$ and $\delta_{n}$ satisfy (4) and (6), respectively, then there exist two constants $c>0$ and $n(c)>0$ such that

$$
\left|g\left(r_{n} e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \leq g\left(r_{n}\right) \exp \left(\frac{-c n^{1 / 6}}{\log ^{2} n}\right)
$$

uniformly for $\delta_{n} \leq|\theta| \leq \pi$ and $n \leq n(c)$.
We shall also essentially use the asymptotic for of the numbers $p(n)$. It is given by Hardy and Ramanujan's formula [3], however, we need this result in a slightly different form as it is given in [5].

Lemma 3. We have

$$
p(n) \sim \frac{g\left(r_{n}\right) r_{n}^{-n}}{\sqrt{2 \pi b\left(r_{n}\right)}}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $r_{n}$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r_{n} g^{\prime}\left(r_{n}\right)}{g\left(r_{n}\right)}=n \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for sufficiently large $n$ and $b(r)$ is defined by (5).

## 3. Variance of $X_{m, n}$

Theorem 1. Let $X_{m, n}$ is the number of parts of multiplicity $m$ in a random integer partition. Then, for every fixed $m$,

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{m, n}\right) \sim \frac{\sqrt{6 n}}{\pi} \frac{4 m+1}{2 m(2 m+1)(m+1)},
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. First, we point out that when taking logarithms we will consider the main branch of the logarithmic function assuming that $\log z<0$ for $0<z<1$. It is easy to see that (2) yields

$$
\frac{d}{d y} \log H(x, y)=\frac{\frac{d}{d y} H(x, y)}{H(x, y)}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{m k}\left(1-x^{k}\right)}{1+(y-1) x^{m k}\left(1-x^{k}\right)}
$$

Then

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d y} H(x, y)\right|_{y=1}=H(x, 1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{m k}\left(1-x^{k}\right)=g(x) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{m k}\left(1-x^{k}\right)
$$

Therefore, again by (2)

$$
\sum_{n \geq 0} x^{n} p(n) \mathbf{E}\left(X_{m, n}\right)=g(x) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{m k}\left(1-x^{k}\right)
$$

In the same way one can calculate

$$
\left.\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} H(x, y)\right|_{y=1}=g(x)\left\{\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{m k}\left(1-x^{k}\right)\right]^{2}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[x^{m k}\left(1-x^{k}\right)\right]^{2}\right\}
$$

For the sake of convenience we denote the function in the curly brackets with $F(x)$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
F(x)=\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{m k}\left(1-x^{k}\right)\right]^{2}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[x^{m k}\left(1-x^{k}\right)\right]^{2}  \tag{8}\\
=\left[\frac{x^{m}}{1-x^{m}}-\frac{x^{m+1}}{1-x^{m+1}}\right]^{2}-\frac{x^{2 m}}{1-x^{2 m}}+\frac{2 x^{2 m+1}}{1-x^{2 m+1}}-\frac{x^{2 m+2}}{1-x^{2 m+2}}
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore (2) again implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geq 0} x^{n} p(n) \mathbf{E}\left[X_{m, n}\left(X_{m, n}-1\right)\right]=g(x) F(x) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We apply Cauchy's coefficient formula to (9) on the circle $x=r_{n} e^{i \theta}$ with $r_{n}$ determined by (4). Thus we obtain
(10) $\mathbf{E}\left[X_{m, n}\left(X_{m, n}-1\right)\right]=\frac{r_{n}^{-n}}{2 \pi p(n)} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g\left(r_{n} e^{i \theta}\right) F\left(r_{n} e^{i \theta}\right) e^{-i \theta n} d \theta=I_{1}+I_{2}$.

We break integral into two parts as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{1}=\frac{r_{n}^{-n}}{2 \pi p(n)} \int_{-\delta_{n}}^{\delta_{n}} g\left(r_{n} e^{i \theta}\right) F\left(r_{n} e^{i \theta}\right) e^{-i \theta n} d \theta  \tag{11}\\
I_{2}=\frac{r_{n}^{-n}}{2 \pi p(n)} \int_{\delta_{n} \leq|\theta| \leq \pi} g\left(r_{n} e^{i \theta}\right) F\left(r_{n} e^{i \theta}\right) e^{-i \theta n} d \theta \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

The asymptotic analysis of these integrals follows in the next two subsections.

### 3.1. An asymptotic estimate for $I_{1}(n)$

First, we will show that $r_{n}$ defined by (4) satisfies condition (7). From (1) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r g^{\prime}(r)}{g(r)}=r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log g(r)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j r^{j}}{1-r^{j}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We interpret this sum by a Riemann's one with the step size $y_{n}=-\log r_{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j r^{j}}{1-r^{j}} \sim \frac{1}{y_{n}^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{y}{e^{y}-1} d y \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we use a well-known properties of Riemann zeta function (see e.g. [6, 1.7.8(II)])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{y}{e^{y}-1} d y=\zeta(2) \Gamma(2)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (13), (14), (15) and (4), we find that

$$
\frac{r_{n} g^{\prime}\left(r_{n}\right)}{g\left(r_{n}\right)} \sim \frac{\pi^{2}}{6 \log ^{2} r_{n}}=\frac{1}{n^{-1}+O\left(n^{-3 / 2}\right)}
$$

which completes the proof of (7).
We apply lemmas 1 and 3 to (11) and find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1} \sim \frac{b^{1 / 2}\left(r_{n}\right)}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\delta_{n}}^{\delta_{n}} e^{-\frac{\theta^{2} b\left(r_{n}\right)}{2}} F\left(r_{n} e^{i \theta}\right) d \theta \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we expand $F\left(r_{n} e^{i \theta}\right)$ around the point $r_{n}$ by Taylor's formula:

$$
\begin{align*}
F\left(r_{n} e^{i \theta}\right)=F\left(r_{n}\right)+r_{n}\left(e^{i \theta}-1\right) & F^{\prime}\left(r_{n}\right)+O\left(\left|\theta^{2}\right| F^{\prime \prime}\left(r_{n}\right)\right)  \tag{17}\\
& =F\left(r_{n}\right)\left[1+O\left(|\theta| \frac{F^{\prime}\left(r_{n}\right)}{F\left(r_{n}\right)}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

We will first estimate the error term in (17). From definition (4) of $r_{n}$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r_{n}^{m}}{1-r_{n}^{m}}=\frac{\left(1+O\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)\right)^{m}}{1-\left(1-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6 n}}+O\left(n^{-1}\right)\right)^{m}}=\frac{1+O\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)}{\frac{\pi m}{\sqrt{6 n}}+O\left(n^{-1}\right)} \sim \frac{\sqrt{6 n}}{\pi} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use this asymptotic equivalence and equation (8) to estimate $F\left(r_{n}\right)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
F\left(r_{n}\right)=\frac{6 n}{\pi^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{m}-\frac{1}{m+1}\right)^{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{6 n}}{\pi}\left(\frac{1}{2 m}-\frac{2}{2 m+1}+\frac{1}{2 m+2}\right)  \tag{19}\\
& \sim \frac{6 n}{\pi^{2}} \frac{1}{m^{2}(m+1)^{2}}-\frac{\sqrt{6 n}}{\pi} \frac{1}{2 m(2 m+1)(m+1)}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we use (8) and (18) again to calculate $F^{\prime}\left(r_{n}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
F^{\prime}\left(r_{n}\right)=2\left[\frac{r_{n}^{m}}{1-r_{n}^{m}}-\frac{r_{n}^{m+1}}{1-r_{n}^{m+1}}\right]\left[\frac{m r_{n}^{m-1}}{\left(1-r_{n}^{m}\right)^{2}}-\frac{(m+1) r_{n}^{m}}{\left(1-r_{n}^{m+1}\right)^{2}}\right]  \tag{20}\\
-\frac{2 m r_{n}^{2 m-1}}{\left(1-r_{n}^{2 m}\right)^{2}}+\frac{2(2 m+1) r_{n}^{2 m}}{\left(1-r_{n}^{2 m+1}\right)^{2}}-\frac{(2 m+2) r_{n}^{2 m+1}}{\left(1-r_{n}^{2 m+2}\right)^{2}} \\
\sim 2 \frac{(6 n)^{3 / 2}}{\pi^{3}}\left(\frac{1}{m}-\frac{1}{m+1}\right)^{2}-\frac{6 n}{\pi^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2 m}-\frac{2}{2 m+1}+\frac{1}{2 m+2}\right)=O\left(n^{3 / 2}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

From (19), (20) and (6) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
O\left(|\theta| \frac{F^{\prime}\left(r_{n}\right)}{F\left(r_{n}\right)}\right)=O\left(\left|\delta_{n}\right| \frac{n^{3 / 2}}{n}\right)=O\left(n^{-1 / 6}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (17) and (21) in (16) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1} \sim \frac{b^{1 / 2}\left(r_{n}\right) F\left(r_{n}\right)}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\delta_{n}}^{\delta_{n}} e^{-\frac{\theta^{2} b\left(r_{n}\right)}{2}} d \theta=\frac{F\left(r_{n}\right)}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\delta_{n} b^{1 / 2}\left(r_{n}\right)}^{\delta_{n} b^{1 / 2}\left(r_{n}\right)} e^{-\frac{t^{2}}{2}} d t \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In last integral we changed the variable of integration into $t=\theta b^{1 / 2}\left(r_{n}\right)$.Finally,
(4) and (5) imply that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
b\left(r_{n}\right)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{3\left[\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6 n}}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{12 n}+O\left(n^{-3 / 2}\right)\right]^{3}}=\frac{(6 n)^{3 / 2} \pi^{2}}{3 \pi^{3}\left[1+O\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)\right]} \\
=\frac{(6 n)^{3 / 2}}{3 \pi}\left[1+O\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)\right]=\frac{2 \sqrt{6}}{\pi} n^{3 / 2}+O(n) \tag{23}
\end{array}
$$

If we combine this equation with the definition of $\delta_{n}$ given by (6), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{n} b^{1 / 2}\left(r_{n}\right) \sim d n^{1 / 12} / \log n, \quad d=(2 / \pi)^{1 / 2} 6^{1 / 4} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

To complete the asymptotic analysis of $I_{1}$ we apply a well known property of Gaussian density to (22). So we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1} \sim F\left(r_{n}\right) . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2. An asymptotic estimate for $I_{2}(n)$

Now we will show that the integral $I_{2}$ is negligible. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\frac{r_{n}^{m} e^{i \theta m}}{1-r_{n}^{m} e^{i \theta m}}\right|=\frac{r^{m}}{\sqrt{1-2 r^{m} \cos \theta+r^{2 m}}} \leq \frac{r^{m}}{\sqrt{1-2 r^{m}+r^{2 m}}} \leq \frac{1}{1-r^{m}} \\
=\frac{1}{1-\left(1-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6 n}}+O\left(n^{-1}\right)^{m}\right.}=\frac{1}{\frac{m \pi}{\sqrt{6 n}}+O\left(n^{-1}\right)}=O\left(n^{1 / 2}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

From (8) and (26) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F\left(r_{n} e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \leq\left[O\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)+O\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)\right]^{2}+O\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)=O(n) . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

We apply lemmas 2 and 3 and inequality (27) to (12). Then, for $n \geq n(c)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|I_{2}(n)\right| \sim\left|\frac{b^{1 / 2}\left(r_{n}\right)}{\sqrt{2 \pi} g\left(r_{n}\right)} \int_{\delta_{n} \leq|\theta| \leq \pi} g\left(r_{n} e^{i \theta}\right) F\left(r_{n} e^{i \theta}\right) e^{-i \theta n} d \theta\right| \\
& \leq \frac{b^{1 / 2}\left(r_{n}\right) \exp \left\{\frac{-n^{1 / 6}}{\log ^{2} n}\right\}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{\delta_{n} \leq|\theta| \leq \pi}\left|F\left(r_{n} e^{i \theta}\right) e^{-i \theta n}\right| d \theta \\
& \quad \leq b^{1 / 2}\left(r_{n}\right) \exp \left\{\frac{-c 1^{1 / 6}}{\log ^{2} n}\right\} O(n) \sqrt{2 \pi} . \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

If we combine (23) and (28), we find that

$$
\left|I_{2}(n)\right| \leq \exp \left\{\frac{-c n^{1 / 6}}{\log ^{2} n}\right\} O\left(n^{5 / 2}\right)=o(1)
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

### 3.3. Formula about variance of $X_{m, n}$

Equations (10), (25) and (29) imply that

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[X_{m, n}\left(X_{m, n}-1\right)\right] \sim F\left(r_{n}\right) .
$$

Substituting this, (19) and (3) in the well known formula

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{m, n}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left[X_{m, n}\left(X_{m, n}-1\right)\right]+\mathrm{E}\left(X_{m, n}\right)-\left[\mathrm{E}\left(X_{m, n}\right)\right]^{2}
$$

after simple manipulations we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{m, n}\right) \sim \frac{\sqrt{6 n}}{\pi}\left(\frac{1}{m(m+1)}-\frac{1}{2 m(2 m+1)(m+1)}\right),
$$

which completes the proof.
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