

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

PLISKA

STUDIA MATHEMATICA
BULGARICA

ПЛИСКА

БЪЛГАРСКИ
МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИ
СТУДИИ

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Pliska Studia Mathematica Bulgarica
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~pliska/>
or contact: Editorial Office

Pliska Studia Mathematica Bulgarica
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: pliska@math.bas.bg

A DECOMPOSITION OF INTEGER VECTORS. II

S. CHALADUS, A. SCHINZEL

In this paper we shall consider integer vectors $\mathbf{n} = [n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k]$ and write for such vectors: $h(\mathbf{n}) = \max |n_i|$, $l(\mathbf{n}) = \sqrt{n_1^2 + n_2^2 + \dots + n_k^2}$. One of us has recently proved [3] that for every non-zero vector $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^k$ ($k > 1$) there is a decomposition: $\mathbf{n} = u\mathbf{p} + v\mathbf{q}$, $u, v \in \mathbf{Z}$, where $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Z}^k$ are linearly independent and

$$h(\mathbf{p})h(\mathbf{q}) \leq 2h(\mathbf{n})^{(k-2)/(k-1)}.$$

The exponent $(k-2)/(k-1)$ cannot be improved (see [2], Remark after Lemma 1). It is natural to ask for the best value of the coefficient. We shall answer this question for $k=3$ by proving the following two theorems.

Theorem 1. For every non-zero vector $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^3$ there exist linearly independent vectors $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Z}^3$, such that $\mathbf{n} = u\mathbf{p} + v\mathbf{q}$, $u, v \in \mathbf{Z}$ and

$$h(\mathbf{p})h(\mathbf{q}) < \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}} h(\mathbf{n}).$$

Theorem 2. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a non-zero vector $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^3$, such that for all non-zero vectors $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Z}^3$ and all $u, v \in \mathbf{Q}$ $\mathbf{n} = u\mathbf{p} + v\mathbf{q}$ implies

$$h(\mathbf{p})h(\mathbf{q}) > \sqrt{\left(\frac{4}{3} - \varepsilon\right)} h(\mathbf{n}).$$

Originally, in the proof of Theorem 1 some computer calculations were used which were kindly performed by Dr. T. Regińska. We thank her for the help.

The proof of Theorem 1 will be based on geometry of numbers. The inner product of two vectors \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m} will be denoted by \mathbf{nm} , their exterior product by $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{m}$, the area of a plane domain \mathbf{D} by $A(\mathbf{D})$.

Lemma 1. Let a_i, b_i be real numbers ($i=1, 2, 3$) and M_1, M_2, M_3 the three minors of order two of the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \end{bmatrix}$ not all equal to 0. The area of the domain $\mathbf{H}: |a_i x + b_i y| \leq 1$ ($i=1, 2, 3$) equals

$$\frac{2|M_1 M_2| + 2|M_1 M_3| + 2|M_2 M_3| - M_1^2 - M_2^2 - M_3^2}{M_1 M_2 M_3},$$

if each of the numbers $|M_1|, |M_2|, |M_3|$ is less than the sum of the two others, and $4/\max\{|M_1|, |M_2|, |M_3|\}$ otherwise.

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that

$$\begin{aligned} |M_1| = \text{abs} \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_3 \\ b_1 & b_2 \end{vmatrix} > 0, & \quad |M_1| \geq |M_2| = \text{abs} \begin{vmatrix} a_2 & a_3 \\ b_2 & b_3 \end{vmatrix}, \\ |M_1| \geq |M_3| = \text{abs} \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_3 \\ b_1 & b_3 \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The affine transformation $a_1x + b_1y = X$, $a_2x + b_2y = Y$ transforms the domain **H** into the domain

$$\mathbf{H}': |X| \leq 1, |Y| \leq 1; \quad \left| \frac{M_2}{M_1} X - \frac{M_3}{M_1} Y \right| \leq 1.$$

If $|M_1| + |M_3| > |M_2|$, the domain **H'** is obtained from the square $|X| \leq 1$, $|Y| \leq 1$ by subtracting two rectangular triangles, symmetric to each other with respect to $(0, 0)$, with the vertices

$$\begin{aligned} & \pm(1, -\operatorname{sgn} \frac{M_2}{M_3} \frac{|M_1| - |M_2|}{|M_3|}), \quad \pm(1, -\operatorname{sgn} \frac{M_2}{M_3}), \\ & \pm(\frac{|M_1| - |M_3|}{|M_2|}, -\operatorname{sgn} \frac{M_2}{M_3}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$A(\mathbf{H}') = 4 - \frac{(|M_2| + |M_3| - |M_1|)^2}{|M_2||M_3|}.$$

If $|M_2| + |M_3| \leq |M_1|$, then **H'** coincides with the square $|X| \leq 1$, $|Y| \leq 1$ and $A(\mathbf{H}') = 4$. Since $A(\mathbf{H}) = A(\mathbf{H}')/|M_1|$, the lemma follows.

Lemma 2. If $0 \leq a \leq b < 1$, then the domain

$$\mathbf{D}: |x| \leq 1, |y| \leq 1, |ax + by| \leq 1, \quad x^2 + y^2 + (ax + by)^2 \leq \frac{3}{2}$$

contains an ellipse **E** with

$$(1) \quad A(\mathbf{E}) > \pi \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}}.$$

Proof. We take

$$\mathbf{E}: f(x, y) = x^2 + c \left(\frac{ab}{b^2+1} x + y \right)^2 \leq 1,$$

where

$$(2) \quad c = \max \left\{ \frac{2}{3}(b^2+1), \frac{(b^2+1)^2}{(b^2+1)^2 - a^2b^2} \right\}.$$

In order to see that $|x| \leq 1$, $|y| \leq 1$ for $(x, y) \in \mathbf{E}$, we notice that by (2)

$$(3) \quad \min_y f(x, y) = x^2, \quad \min_x f(x, y) = \frac{c}{c \frac{a^2b^2}{b^2+1} + 1} y^2 \geq y^2.$$

Moreover, for $(x, y) \in \mathbf{E}$ we have by (2)

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} x^2 + y^2 + (ax + by)^2 & \leq \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{2}{3} \frac{a^2 + b^2 + 1}{b^2 + 1} x^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{2}{3} (b^2 + 1) \left(\frac{ab}{b^2 + 1} x + y \right)^2 \right) \leq \frac{3}{2} f(x, y) \leq \frac{3}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

If for $(x, y) \in \mathbf{E}$ we had $|ax + by| > 1$, it would follow

$$(5) \quad x^2 + y^2 < \frac{1}{2},$$

hence, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$(6) \quad (ax + by)^2 \leq (a^2 + b^2)(x^2 + y^2) < 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = 1,$$

a contradiction. Thus, for $(x, y) \in E$ we have

$$(7) \quad |ax + by| \leq 1.$$

Finally, $A(E) = \pi/\sqrt{c}$ and since by (2) $c < 4/3$, (1) follows.

Lemma 3. Let $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^3 \setminus \{[0, 0, 0]\}$. The lattice of integer vectors $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{Z}^3$ such that $\mathbf{nm} = 0$ has a basis $\mathbf{a} = [a_1, a_2, a_3]$, $\mathbf{b} = [b_1, b_2, b_3]$, such that

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \begin{matrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ b_1 & b_2 \end{matrix} \right| &= \frac{n_3}{(n_1, n_2, n_3)}, & \left| \begin{matrix} a_2 & a_3 \\ b_2 & b_3 \end{matrix} \right| &= \frac{n_1}{(n_1, n_2, n_3)}, \\ \left| \begin{matrix} a_3 & a_1 \\ b_3 & b_1 \end{matrix} \right| &= \frac{n_2}{(n_1, n_2, n_3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $\mathbf{na} = \mathbf{nb} = 0$ and \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} are linearly independent, we have

$$\mathbf{n} = c(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b})$$

for a certain $c \in \mathbf{Q}$. However, the numbers $\left| \begin{matrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ b_1 & b_2 \end{matrix} \right|$, $\left| \begin{matrix} a_2 & a_3 \\ b_2 & b_3 \end{matrix} \right|$ and $\left| \begin{matrix} a_3 & a_1 \\ b_3 & b_1 \end{matrix} \right|$ are relatively prime (see e. g. [1, p. 53]); hence, the formulae (8) hold with \pm sign on the right-hand side. Changing if necessary the order of \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} , we get the lemma.

Lemma 4. For every vector $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^3$ different from $[0, 0, 0]$ and $[\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1]$ for any choice of signs, there exists a vector $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{Z}^3$ such that

$$(9) \quad \mathbf{mn} = 0,$$

$$(10) \quad 0 < h(\mathbf{m}) < \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}} h(\mathbf{n})$$

and

$$(11) \quad l(\mathbf{m}) < \sqrt{2h(\mathbf{n})}.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$(12) \quad 0 \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq n_3 > 0.$$

If $n_2 = n_3$ we take

$$\mathbf{m} = \begin{cases} [1, 0, 0] & \text{if } n_1 = 0, \\ [0, 1, -1] & \text{if } n_1 \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

and we find (9)-(11) satisfied, unless $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = 1$. Therefore, we may assume besides (12) that $n_2 < n_3$.

In virtue of Lemma 2 the domain

$$\mathbf{D}: \quad |X| \leq 1, \quad |Y| \leq 1, \quad \left| \frac{n_1}{n_3} X + \frac{n_2}{n_3} Y \right| \leq 1, \quad X^2 + Y^2 + \left(\frac{n_1}{n_3} X + \frac{n_2}{n_3} Y \right)^2 \leq \frac{3}{2}$$

contains an ellipse E with $A(E) > \pi\sqrt{3/4}$.

Let \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} be a basis, the existence of which is asserted by Lemma 3. The substitution

$$X = \frac{a_1 x + b_1 y}{\sqrt{\frac{4}{3} n_3}}, \quad Y = \frac{a_2 x + b_2 y}{\sqrt{\frac{4}{3} n_3}}$$

transforms \mathbf{D} into the domain

$$\mathbf{D}': \quad |a_i x + b_i y| \leq \sqrt{\frac{4}{3} n_3} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \quad \sum_{i=1}^3 (a_i x + b_i y)^2 \leq 2n_3.$$

Hence, D' contains an ellipse E' with

$$A(E') = \frac{4}{3} n_3 \left| \begin{array}{cc} a_1 & b_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 \end{array} \right|^{-1} A(E) > \pi \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}} (n_1, n_2, n_3) \geq \pi \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}},$$

by (8). Since the packing constant for ellipses is $\pi/\sqrt{12}$, it follows that E' and, hence, D' contains in its interior a point $(x_0, y_0) \in Z^2$ different from $(0, 0)$. Putting $\mathbf{m} = x_0 \mathbf{a} + y_0 \mathbf{b}$, we get the assertion of the Lemma.

Lemma 5. If $0 \leq a \leq 1$, $0 \leq b \leq 1$ and $a + b > 1$, the area of the hexagon $|x| \leq 1, |y| \leq 1, |ax + by| \leq 1$ is greater than $[24/(a^2 + b^2 + 1)]^{1/2}$.

Proof. In virtue of Lemma 1 the area in question equals

$$(2ab + 2a + 2b - a^2 - b^2 - 1)/ab,$$

thus, it remains to prove that for (a, b) in the domain

$$G: 0 \leq a \leq 1, 0 \leq b \leq 1, a + b > 1$$

the following inequality holds

$$f(a, b) = (2ab + 2a + 2b - a^2 - b^2 - 1)^2 (a^2 + b^2 + 1) - 24a^2 b^2 > 0.$$

We have $\partial G = L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$, where

$$L_1 = \{(a, 1) : 0 \leq a \leq 1\}, L_2 = \{(1, b) : 0 \leq b \leq 1\}, L_3 = \{(a, 1-a) : 0 \leq a \leq 1\}.$$

We find $f(a, 1) = a^2(a-1)^3(a-5) + 3a^3$, but for $a \leq 1$ $a^2(a-1)^3(a-5) \geq 0$, hence $f(a, 1) \geq 3a^2 \geq 0$. In view of symmetry between a and b , $f(1, b) \geq 3b^2 \geq 0$.

Moreover, $f(a, 1-a) = 8a^2(1-a)^3(2a-1)^2 \geq 0$. Hence, for $(a, b) \in \partial G$ we have $f(a, b) \geq 0$ with the equality attained only if $(a, b) \notin G$. It suffices to show that in the interior of G the function $f(a, b)$ has no local extremum.

Indeed, putting $g(a, b) = 2ab + 2a - a^2 - b^2 - 1$, we find

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial a} = 2ag^2 + 2(2b + 2 - 2a)(a^2 + b^2 + 1)g - 48ab^2,$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial b} = 2bg^2 + 2(2a + 2 - 2b)(a^2 + b^2 + 1)g - 48a^2b,$$

hence,

$$a \frac{\partial f}{\partial a} - b \frac{\partial f}{\partial b} = 2(a-b)[(a+b)g + (a^2 + b^2 + 1)(2-2a-2b)],$$

$$b \frac{\partial f}{\partial a} - a \frac{\partial f}{\partial b} = 4(b-a)[(a+b+1)(a^2 + b^2 + 1)g - 12ab(a+b)].$$

The equations $\partial f/\partial a = \partial f/\partial b = 0$ imply $a = b$ or

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} (a+b)g + (a^2 + b^2 + 1)(2-2a-2b) &= 0, \\ (a+b+1)(a^2 + b^2 + 1)g - 12ab(a+b) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Eliminating g from the above equations we obtain

$$(14) \quad 2(a^2 + b^2 + 1)[(a+b)^2 - 1] - 12ab(a+b)^2 = 0.$$

The left-hand sides of the equations (13) and (14) are symmetric functions of a, b . Expressing them in terms of $s = a + b$ and $p = ab$, then eliminating p , we get

$$s(s-1)(2s-1)(4s^2 - s + 1) = 0.$$

For $s = x + y > 1$ this is clearly impossible, there remains the possibility $a = b$. However, in that case

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial a} = 16a^3 - 24a^2 + 18a - 4 = 2(2a-1)^3 + 3(2a-1) + 1 > 1.$$

Lemma 6. For every nonzero vector $\mathbf{n} = [n_1, n_2, n_3] \in \mathbf{Z}^3$ there exist linearly independent vectors $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Z}^3$ such that $\mathbf{pn} = \mathbf{qn} = 0$, and

$h(\mathbf{p})h(\mathbf{q}) < \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}l(\mathbf{n})$, if each of the numbers $|n_1|, |n_2|, |n_3|$ is less than the sum of the two others;

$h(\mathbf{p})h(\mathbf{q}) \leq h(\mathbf{n})$, otherwise.

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that $0 \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq n_3 > 0$. In virtue of Lemmata 1 and 5 the area $A(\mathbf{K})$ of the domain

$$\mathbf{K}: |X| \leq 1, |Y| \leq 1, \left| \frac{n_1}{n_3}X - \frac{n_2}{n_3}Y \right| \leq 1$$

satisfies

$$(15) \quad \begin{cases} A(\mathbf{K}) > \sqrt{\frac{24}{n_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_3^2}} n_3, & \text{if } n_1 + n_2 > n_3, \\ A(\mathbf{K}) = 4, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let a, b be a basis, the existence of which is asserted in Lemma 3. The affine transformation $X = a_1x + b_1y, Y = a_2x + b_2y$ transforms the domain \mathbf{K} into the domain

$$\mathbf{K}': |a_i x + b_i y| \leq 1 \quad (i = 1, 2, 3)$$

satisfying

$$(16) \quad A(\mathbf{K}') = A(\mathbf{K}) \frac{(n_1, n_2, n_3)}{n_3}.$$

In virtue of Minkowski's second theorem there exist two linearly independent integer vectors $[x_1, y_1]$ and $[x_2, y_2]$ such that

$$(17) \quad |a_i x_j + b_i y_j| \leq \lambda_j \quad (i = 1, 2, 3; j = 1, 2)$$

and

$$(18) \quad \lambda_1 \lambda_2 A(\mathbf{K}') \leq 4.$$

Putting $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{a}x_1 + \mathbf{b}y_1, \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{a}x_2 + \mathbf{b}y_2$, we infer that \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} are linearly independent, satisfy $\mathbf{pn} = \mathbf{qn} = 0$ and in virtue of (15), (18)

$$h(\mathbf{p})h(\mathbf{q}) \leq \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \begin{cases} < \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}l(\mathbf{n}), & \text{if } n_1 + n_2 > n_3, \\ \leq n_3, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof of Theorem 1. If $\mathbf{n} = [\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3]$, where $\varepsilon_i \in \{1, -1\}$, it suffices to take $\mathbf{p} = [\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, 0], \mathbf{q} = [0, 0, \varepsilon_3]$. If $\mathbf{n} \neq [\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3]$ for every choice of $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3$, then by Lemma 4 there exists a vector $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{Z}^3$ satisfying the conditions

$$(19) \quad \mathbf{mn} = 0,$$

$$(20) \quad 0 < h(\mathbf{m}) < \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}}h(\mathbf{n}), \quad 0 < l(\mathbf{m}) < \sqrt{2h(\mathbf{n})}.$$

Now, by Lemma 6 applied with \mathbf{n} replaced by \mathbf{m} there exist vectors $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Z}^3$ such that

$$(21) \quad \mathbf{pm} = \mathbf{qm} = 0, \quad \dim(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = 2$$

and

$$(22) \quad h(\mathbf{p})h(\mathbf{q}) < \max \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} l(\mathbf{m}), h(\mathbf{m}) \right\}.$$

The equations (20) and (22) imply that $\mathbf{n} = u\mathbf{p} + v\mathbf{q}$; $u, v \in \mathbf{Q}$, while the inequalities (20) and (22) imply that $h(\mathbf{p})h(\mathbf{q}) < [(4/3)h(\mathbf{n})]^{1/2}$.

It follows that the number $c_0(3)$ defined in [5] by the formula

$$c_0(k) = \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^k \\ \mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{0}}} \inf_{\substack{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Z}^k \\ \dim(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = 2 \\ \mathbf{n} = u\mathbf{p} + v\mathbf{q}, u, v \in \mathbf{Q}}} h(\mathbf{p})h(\mathbf{q})h(\mathbf{n})^{\frac{k-2}{k-1}}$$

satisfies $c_0(3) \leq \sqrt{4/3}$ and if $c_0(3) = \sqrt{4/3}$, the supremum occurring in the definition of $c_0(k)$ is not attained. By Theorem 2 of [5] there exist vectors $\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{q}_0 \in \mathbf{Z}^3$ linearly independent and such that $\mathbf{n} = u_0\mathbf{p}_0 + v_0\mathbf{q}_0$, $u_0, v_0 \in \mathbf{Z}$, and $h(\mathbf{p}_0)h(\mathbf{q}_0) < [(4/3)h(\mathbf{n})]^{1/2}$. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

The proof of Theorem 2 is again based on several lemmata. We shall set for $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$\mathbf{n}_t = [(2t^2 + 2t)(6t^2 + 4t - 1), (2t^2 + 2t)(6t^2 + 6t - 1), \\ (4t^2 + 4t)^2 - (2t^2 - 1)(2t^2 + 2t - 1)],$$

and for vectors $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}, \dots$ we shall denote the v -th coordinate by m_v, p_v respectively.

Lemma 7. If $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{Z}^3$, $0 < h(\mathbf{m}) \leq 8t^2 + 8t - 2$, then we have $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}_i$ for an $i \leq 6$, where

$$\mathbf{m}_1 = [6t^2 + 6t - 1, -(6t^2 + 4t - 1), 0], \mathbf{m}_2 = [2t^2 + 2t - 1, -(4t^2 + 4t), 2t^2 + 2t], \\ \mathbf{m}_3 = [4t^2 + 4t, -(2t^2 - 1), -(2t^2 + 2t)], \mathbf{m}_4 = [2t^2 + 2t + 1, 2t^2 + 4t + 1, -(4t^2 + 4t)], \\ \mathbf{m}_5 = [2, 6t^2 + 8t + 1, -(6t^2 + 6t)] \quad (t \neq 1), \mathbf{m}_6 = [6t^2 + 6t + 1, 4t + 2, -(6t^2 + 6t)].$$

Proof. The vectors \mathbf{m}_i ($1 \leq i \leq 6$) all satisfy the equation $\mathbf{n}\mathbf{m}_i = \mathbf{0}$. Since the vectors \mathbf{m}_1 and \mathbf{m}_2 are linearly independent, every vector $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{Z}^3$ satisfying $\mathbf{n}\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{0}$ is of the form $u\mathbf{m}_1 + v\mathbf{m}_2$, $u, v \in \mathbf{Q}$.

Let $u = a/c, v = b/c, a, b, c \in \mathbf{Z}, (a, b, c) = 1, c > 0$. It follows from $c|am_{1i} + bm_{2i}$, $c|am_{1j} + bm_{2j}$ that $c|(a, b)(m_{1i}m_{2j} - m_{2i}m_{1j})$, hence, $c|m_{1i}m_{2j} - m_{2i}m_{1j}$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq 3$).

But $(m_{11}m_{23} - m_{21}m_{13}, m_{12}m_{23} - m_{22}m_{13}) = m_{23}(m_{11}, m_{12}) = m_{23}$ and $(m_{23}, m_{11}, m_{22} - m_{21}, m_{12}) = (m_{23}, m_{21}, m_{12}) = 1$, hence, $c = 1$ and we get $\mathbf{m} = a\mathbf{m}_1 + b\mathbf{m}_2$.

Considering the third coordinate, we find $|b|(2t^2 + 2t) \leq 8t^2 + 8t - 2$, hence, $|b| \leq 3$.

Considering the first coordinate, we get

$$|a(6t^2 + 6t - 1) + b(2t^2 + 2t - 1)| \leq 8t^2 + 8t - 2;$$

$$|a|(6t^2 + 6t - 1) \leq 8t^2 + 8t - 2 + |b|(2t^2 + 2t - 1) \leq 14t^2 + 14t - 15,$$

hence, $|a| \leq 1$ or $a = \pm 2, b = 3$. For $a = 0$ we get $\mathbf{m} = b[2t^2 + 2t - 1, -(4t^2 + 4t), 2t^2 + 2t] = \pm \mathbf{m}_2$. For $|a| = 1$ the inequality for the second coordinate

$$|a(6t^2 + 4t - 1) + b(4t^2 + 4t)| \leq 8t^2 + 8t - 2$$

gives $b = 0$ or $ab < 0$. For $a = \pm 1, b = 0$ we get $\mathbf{m} = \pm \mathbf{m}_1$; for $a = \pm 1, b = \mp 1$ we get $\mathbf{m} = \pm \mathbf{m}_3$; for $a = \pm 1, b = \mp 2$ we get $\mathbf{m} = \pm \mathbf{m}_4$; for $a = \pm 1, b = \mp 3$ we get $\mathbf{m} = \pm \mathbf{m}_5$; for $a = \pm 2, b = \mp 3$ we get $\mathbf{m} = \pm \mathbf{m}_6$.

Lemma 8. If $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Z}^3$ are linearly independent and $\mathbf{p}\mathbf{m}_1 = \mathbf{q}\mathbf{m}_1 = \mathbf{0}$, then $h(\mathbf{p})h(\mathbf{q}) > 4t^2 + 4t$.

P r o o f. $\mathbf{pm}_1=0$ implies $p_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{6t^2+4t-1}$, $p_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{6t^2+6t-1}$. Hence, $p_1=p_2=0$ or $|p_2| \geq 6t^2+6t-1$. Similarly, $q_1=q_2=0$ or $|q_2| \geq 6t^2+6t-1$. Since \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} are linearly independent, $h(\mathbf{p})h(\mathbf{q}) \geq 6t^2+6t-1 > 4t^2+4t$.

L e m m a 9. If $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Z}^3$ are linearly independent and

$$\mathbf{pm}_2 = \mathbf{qm}_2 = 0,$$

then

$$h(\mathbf{p})h(\mathbf{q}) \geq 4t^2 + 4t.$$

P r o o f. The equation

$$\mathbf{pm}_2 = (2t^2+2t-1)p_1 - (4t^2+4t)p_2 + (2t^2+2t)p_3 = 0$$

gives $p_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2t^2+2t-1}$, hence, $p_1=0$ or $|p_1| \geq 2t^2+2t$. The former possibility gives $|p_3| \geq 2$. Similarly, $q_1=0$, $|q_3| \geq 2$ or $|q_1| \geq 2t^2+2t$. Since \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} are linearly independent, $p_1=q_1=0$ is excluded, hence,

$$h(\mathbf{p})h(\mathbf{q}) \geq \min\{2(2t^2+2t), (2t^2+2t)^2\} \geq 4t^2+4t.$$

L e m m a 10. If $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Z}^3$ are linearly independent and $\mathbf{pm}_3 = \mathbf{qm}_3 = 0$, then

$$h(\mathbf{p})h(\mathbf{q}) \geq 4t^2 + 4t.$$

P r o o f. The equation

$$\mathbf{pm}_3 = (4t^2+4t)p_1 - (2t^2-1)p_2 - (2t^2+2t)p_3 = 0$$

gives $p_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2t^2+2t}$, hence $p_2=0$ or $|p_2| \geq 2t^2+2t$. The further proof is similar to that of Lemma 9.

L e m m a 11. If $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{Z}^3$, $\mathbf{pm}_4=0$, then either $\mathbf{p}=0$ or $h(\mathbf{p}) \geq 2t+1$.

P r o o f. The equation

$$\mathbf{pm}_4 = (2t^2+2t+1)p_1 + (2t^2+4t+1)p_2 - (4t^2+4t)p_3 = 0$$

gives

$$(24) \quad (2t^2+2t)(p_1+p_2-2p_3) + p_1 + (2t+1)p_2 = 0.$$

If $p_1+p_2-2p_3=0$, then $p_1+(2t+1)p_2=0$ and either $p_1=0$ or $|p_1| \geq 2t+1$.
If $p_1+p_2-2p_3 \neq 0$, then since by (24) $p_1 \equiv p_2 \pmod{2}$, we obtain

$$p_1+p_2-2p_3=2s, s \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}, \quad p_1+(2t+1)p_2 = -(4t^2+4t)s.$$

Hence, $p_3+tp_2 = -(2t^2+2t+1)s$ and

$$\max\{|p_2|, |p_3|\} \geq \frac{2t^2+2t+1}{t+1} > 2t,$$

thus $h(\mathbf{p}) \geq 2t+1$.

L e m m a 12. If $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Z}^3$ are linearly independent and $\mathbf{pm}_5 = \mathbf{qm}_5 = 0$, then

$$h(\mathbf{p})h(\mathbf{q}) > 4t^2+4t \quad (t \neq 1).$$

P r o o f. The equation

$$\mathbf{pm}_5 = 2p_1 + (6t^2+8t+1)p_2 - (6t^2+6t)p_3 = 0$$

gives

$$2p_1 + (2t+1)p_2 + (6t^2+6t)(p_2-p_3) = 0.$$

If $p_2=p_3$, we get $p_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2t+1}$, hence, $|p_1| \geq 2t+1$. If $p_2 \neq p_3$, we get $(2t+3)\max\{|p_1|, |p_2|\} \geq 6t^2+6t$, hence,

$$\max\{|p_1|, |p_2|\} \geq \frac{6t^2+6t}{2t+3} > 3t-2$$

and $h(\mathbf{p}) \geq 3t - 1$. Similarly, $q_3 = q_3$ and $|q_1| \geq 2t + 1$ or $h(\mathbf{q}) \geq 3t - 1$. Since \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} are linearly independent, $p_2 = p_3, q_2 = q_3$ is excluded and we get for $t \neq 1$

$$h(\mathbf{p})h(\mathbf{q}) \geq \min\{(2t+1)(3t-1), (3t-1)^2\} \geq (2t+1)(3t-1).$$

Lemma 13. If $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Z}^3$ are linearly independent and $\mathbf{pm}_6 = \mathbf{qm}_6 = 0$, then $h(\mathbf{p})h(\mathbf{q}) \geq 4t^2 + 4t$.

Proof. The equation

$$\mathbf{pm}_6 = (6t^2 + 6t + 1)p_1 + (4t + 2)p_2 - (6t^2 + 6t)p_3 = 0$$

gives

$$(6t^2 + 6t)(p_1 - p_3) + p_1 + (4t + 2)p_2 = 0.$$

If $p_1 - p_3 = 0$, we get $p_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4t + 2}$, hence, $|p_1| \geq 4t + 2$.

If $|p_1 - p_3| \geq 2$, we get

$$(4t + 3) \max\{|p_1|, |p_2|\} \geq 2(6t^2 + 6t),$$

hence,

$$\max\{|p_1|, |p_2|\} \geq \frac{12t^2 + 12t}{4t + 3} > 3t$$

and $h(\mathbf{p}) \geq 3t + 1$. If $p_1 - p_3 = \pm 1$, we get $p_1 + (4t + 2)p_2 = (6t^2 + 6t)$, hence either

$$|p_1| \geq 4t + 2 \text{ or } p_2 = \left[\mp \frac{(6t^2 + 6t)}{4t + 2} \right] \text{ or } p_2 = \left[\mp \frac{(6t^2 + 6t)}{4t + 2} \right] + 1.$$

The last two formulae give the following possible values for $\mp[p_1, p_2]$:

$$\left[3t, \frac{3t}{2} \right], \left[t - 1, \frac{3t + 1}{2} \right], \left[-t - 2, \frac{3t + 2}{2} \right], \left[-3t - 3, \frac{3t + 3}{2} \right].$$

Hence, either $h(\mathbf{p}) \geq 3t + 2\{t/2\}$ or $p_1 - p_3 = \pm 1$ and $p_2 = [(3t + 2)/2]$. Similarly, either $h(\mathbf{q}) \geq 3t + 2\{t/2\}$ or $q_2 - q_3 = \pm 1$ and $q_2 = [(3t + 2)/2]$. Since \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} are linearly independent it follows that

$$h(\mathbf{p})h(\mathbf{q}) \geq (3t + 2\{t/2\}) \left[\frac{3t + 2}{2} \right] \geq 4t^2 + 4t.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4t^2 + 4t}{\sqrt{(4t^2 + 4t)^2 - (2t^2 - 1)(2t^2 + 2t - 1)}} = \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}},$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist t , such that

$$(2) \quad 4t^2 + 4t > \sqrt{\left(\frac{4}{3} - \varepsilon\right) h(\mathbf{n}_t)}$$

and we fix such a value of t .

If $\mathbf{n}_t = u\mathbf{p} + v\mathbf{q}$, $u, v \in \mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Z}^3$ are linearly dependent, then since $(n_{t1}, n_{t2}, n_{t3}) = 1$, we have either $\mathbf{p} = 0$ or $\mathbf{p} = s\mathbf{n}_t$, $s \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, thus $h(\mathbf{p}) \geq h(\mathbf{n}_t)$, and similarly for \mathbf{q} . It follows that for $\mathbf{p} \neq 0, \mathbf{q} \neq 0$.

$$h(\mathbf{p})h(\mathbf{q}) \geq h(\mathbf{n}_t)^2 > \sqrt{\left(\frac{4}{3} - \varepsilon\right) h(\mathbf{n}_t)}.$$

If \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} are linearly independent, then $\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q} \neq 0$ and $(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q})\mathbf{n}_t = 0$. On the other hand, either $h(\mathbf{p})h(\mathbf{q}) \geq 4t^2 + 4t$ or $h(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q}) \leq 2h(\mathbf{p})h(\mathbf{q}) \leq 2(4t^2 + 4t - 14) = 8t^2 + 8t - 2$. In the latter case in virtue of Lemma 7 we have $\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{m}_i$, for $n_i \leq 6$. Hence, $\mathbf{pm}_i = \mathbf{qm}_i = 0$ and from Lemmata 8-13 we obtain $h(\mathbf{p})h(\mathbf{q}) \geq 4t^2 + 4t$.

In view of (25) the theorem follows.

Remark. There exist decompositions $\mathbf{n}_t = u\mathbf{p} + v\mathbf{q}$ with $h(\mathbf{p})h(\mathbf{q}) = 4t^2 + 4t$, namely

$$\mathbf{n}_t = (6t^2 + 4t - 1)[2t^2 + 2t, 0, -(2t^2 + 2t - 1)] + (2t^2 + 2t)(6t^2 + 6t - 1)[0, 1, 2]$$

or

$$\mathbf{n}_t = (2t^2 + 2t)(6t^2 + 4t - 1)[1, 0, 2] + (6t^2 + 6t - 1)[0, 2t^2 + 2t, 1 - 2t^2].$$

REFERENCES

1. A. Châtelet. Leçons sur la théorie des nombres. Paris, 1913.
2. A. Schinzel. Reducibility of lacunary polynomials. VII. *Mh. Math.*, **102**, 1986, 309-337.
3. A. Schinzel. A decomposition of integer vectors. I. *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math.*, **35**, 1987, 155-159.

*Institute of Mathematics
Polish Academy of Sciences
ul. Sniadeckich 8
00-950 Warszawa, Poland*

*Received 14. 11. 1986
Revised 13. 03. 1988*