

| |
|--|
| Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use. |
|--|

PLISKA

STUDIA MATHEMATICA
BULGARICA

ПЛИСКА

БЪЛГАРСКИ
МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИ
СТУДИИ

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.

Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Pliska Studia Mathematica Bulgarica
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~pliska/>
or contact: Editorial Office
Pliska Studia Mathematica Bulgarica
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: pliska@math.bas.bg

EXPONENTIAL APPROXIMATION IN THE NORMS AND SEMI-NORMS

PAULINA PYCH-TABERSKA, ROMAN TABERSKI

The deviations of some entire functions of exponential type from real-valued functions and their derivatives are estimated. As approximation metrics we use the L^p -norms and power variations on \mathbb{R} . Theorems presented here correspond to the Ganelius and Popov results concerning the one-sided trigonometric approximation of periodic functions (see [4, 5 and 8]). Some related facts were announced in [2, 3, 6 and 7].

1. Notation. Given a number $p \geq 1$, let $L^p(a, b)$ be the space of all complex-valued functions Lebesgue-integrable with p -th power on the interval (a, b) . Denote by $L^\infty(a, b)$ the space of all measurable functions essentially bounded on (a, b) . As usually, the norm of the function $f \in L^p(a, b)$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_{L^p(a, b)} = \begin{cases} \left(\int_a^b |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} & \text{if } p < \infty. \\ \text{ess sup}_{x \in (a, b)} |f(x)| & \text{if } p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

We write L^p instead of $L^p(-\infty, \infty)$. Moreover, by convention, $L = L^1$.

Let L^p_{loc} be the class of all complex-valued functions belonging to every space $L^p(a, b)$, with finite a, b ($a < b$). Denote by AC^m_{loc} the class of complex-valued functions f having the derivative $f^{(m)}$ absolutely continuous on each finite interval (a, b) .

For any function $f \in L^p_{\text{loc}}$, the limit

$$\lim_{-a, b \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_{L^p(a, b)} = \|f\|_p$$

is finite or infinite. In the case of $f \in L^p$,

$$\|f\|_p = \|f\|_{L^p} < \infty.$$

Consider a (complex-valued) function f defined on the interval $I = (a, b)$. Write

$$V_p(f; I) = \sup_{\pi} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m |f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1})|^p \right\}^{1/p} \quad (0 < p < \infty),$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite systems π of the intervals $\langle x_0, x_1 \rangle, \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle x_{m-1}, x_m \rangle$ ($x_0 = a, x_m = b; m = 1, 2, \dots$). This quantity is often called the p -th power variation of f on I . If f is defined on $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$, we can also introduce the p -th (power) variation

$$V_p(f) = V_p(f; \mathbb{R}) = \sup_I V_p(f; I) \quad (I \subset \mathbb{R}).$$

We assume, additionally, that

$$V_\infty(f) = V_\infty(f; \mathbb{R}) = \sup_{s, t \in \mathbb{R}} |f(s) - f(t)|.$$

As well known, $V_p(f) \geq V_q(f)$, if $0 < p < q \leq \infty$.

Denote by BV^p [resp. BV_{loc}^p] the class of all complex-valued functions φ with finite p -th variation $V_p(\varphi; \mathbb{R})$ [$V_p(\varphi; I)$ for each finite interval I]. Obviously, an arbitrary function $f \in BV^p$ [resp. $f \in BV_{loc}^p$] is bounded on \mathbb{R} [on finite intervals I]. Moreover, any f of class BV_{loc}^p ($0 < p < \infty$) has one at most enumerable set of discontinuity points x at which the one-sided limits $f(x \pm 0)$ exist. The class BV^p ($p \geq 1$) with non-negative functional $V_p(\varphi)$ is a certain semi-normed space.

Let E_σ be the class of all entire functions of exponential type, of order σ at most. Denote by $B_{\sigma,p}$ ($0 < \sigma < \infty$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$) the set of functions $F \in E_\sigma$ which belong to L^p (on \mathbb{R}). Write $B_\sigma \equiv B_{\sigma,\infty}$. As well known [10, p. 248], $B_{\sigma,p} \subset B_{\sigma,q}$ if $1 \leq p < q \leq \infty$.

Suppose that f is a fixed function of class L_{loc}^p [resp. BV_{loc}^p] ($p \geq 1$). Denote by $H_{\sigma,p}(f)$ [resp. $D_{\sigma,p}(f)$] the set of all functions $G \in E_\sigma$ such that $f - G \in L^p$ [$f - G \in BV^p$]. Introduce the quantities

$$A_\sigma(f)_p \equiv \begin{cases} \inf_{s \in H_{\sigma,p}(f)} \|f - s\|_p, & \text{if } H_{\sigma,p}(f) \text{ is not empty} \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\nabla_\sigma(f)_p \equiv \begin{cases} \inf_{s \in D_{\sigma,p}(f)} V_p(f - s), & \text{if } D_{\sigma,p}(f) \text{ is not empty} \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The first [resp. the second] of them is called the best exponential approximation of f by entire functions of class E_σ , in L^p -norm [in BV^p -semi-norm].

We will write $W'BV^p$ for the class consisting of all functions $\varphi \in AC_{loc}^{r-1}$ such that $\varphi^{(r)} \in BV^p$ ($r \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \geq 1$). The symbols c_k [resp. $c_l(r, \dots)$] ($k, l \in \mathbb{N}$) will mean some positive absolute constants [positive numbers depending only on the indicated parameters r, \dots].

2. Fundamental lemmas. Let us begin with an analogue of the well-known Bernstein inequality.

Lemma 1. If $G \in B_\sigma$ ($0 < \sigma < \infty$), then

$$(1) \quad V_p(G') \leq \sigma V_p(G) \text{ for each } p \geq 1.$$

Proof. Putting

$$u_k \equiv \frac{2k+1}{2\sigma} \pi \quad (k=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots),$$

we have

$$(2) \quad G'(t) = \frac{1}{\sigma} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{u_k^2} G(t + u_k)$$

for all real t (see e. g. [10, p. 216]).

Consider an arbitrary partition

$$\{a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_{m-1} < x_m = b\}$$

of a finite interval $\langle a, b \rangle$. By the identity (2) and Minkowski's inequality, for every finite $p \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m |G'(x_j) - G'(x_{j-1})|^p \right\}^{1/p} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\sigma} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u_k^2} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m |G(t_j + u_k) - G(t_{j-1} + u_k)|^p \right\}^{1/p} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\sigma} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u_k^2} V_p(G; R) = \frac{8\sigma}{\pi^2} V_p(G; R) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This gives (1) for finite $p \geq 1$. If $p = \infty$, the proof is trivial.

Consider now functions φ belonging to the space L^q ($1 \leq q \leq \infty$). Introduce the singular integral

$$(3) \quad W[\varphi](z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(t) K_{\sigma}(z-t) dt \quad (z = x + iy),$$

with

$$K_{\sigma}(\zeta) = (\cos \sigma \zeta - \cos 2\sigma \zeta) / (\sigma \zeta^2) \quad (0 < \sigma < \infty).$$

Clearly, $K_{\sigma} \in B_{2\sigma, 1}$.

As well known, $W[\varphi] \in B_{2\sigma}$ and in the case of $\varphi \in B_{\sigma, q}$

$$W[\varphi](x) = \varphi(x) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Further, $\|K_{\sigma}\|_1 \leq c_1 \pi$ ($c_1 \leq 2 + 4\pi^{-2} \log 3$). Consequently, $\|W[\varphi]\|_q \leq c_1 \|\varphi\|_q$, i. e., $W[\varphi] \in L^q$ (see [1, Sect. 106]).

An easy calculation leads to

Lemma 2. *Let $\varphi \in BV^p$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$). Then*

$$V_p(W[\varphi]) \leq c_1 V_p(\varphi).$$

Given a positive number c and a positive integer r , let ρ be an even real-valued function continuous with its derivatives ρ' , ρ'' on \mathbb{R} , satisfying the conditions

$$1^0 \quad \rho(0) = \rho'(0) = 0,$$

$$2^0 \quad \rho'(t) = o(t^{r+1}) \text{ and } \rho''(t) = O(t^r) \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0+,$$

$$3^0 \quad \rho(t) = 1 \text{ for all } t \geq c.$$

Consider the Bernoulli type function

$$\Phi_r(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\alpha}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho(t)}{(it)^r} e^{itx} dt \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}).$$

As well known, Φ_r is real-valued bounded and Lebesgue-integrable on \mathbb{R} . In the case of $r \geq 2$, it is continuous everywhere ([1, Sect. 101]).

Lemma 3. *If $\sigma \geq c$, then there exist entire functions $P_{\sigma, r}$, $Q_{\sigma, r} \in B_{\sigma, 1}$ such that*

$$1^0 \quad P_{\sigma, r}(x) \geq \Phi_r(x), \quad Q_{\sigma, r}(x) \leq \Phi_r(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$2^0 \quad \|P_{\sigma, r}^{(v)} - \Phi_r^{(v)}\|_1 \leq \frac{c_2(r, v)}{\sigma^{r-v}}, \quad \|\Phi_r^{(v)} - Q_{\sigma, r}^{(v)}\|_1 \leq \frac{c_2(r, v)}{\sigma^{r-v}} \text{ for } v = 0, 1, \dots, r.$$

The proof is given in [9] (see also [6, Sect. 2]).

Finally, we will present the following supplementary

Lemma 4. *Let $f \in BV_{loc}^p$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) and let $\nabla_{\sigma}(f)_p = 0$ for some finite $\sigma > 0$. Then there exists an entire function $F \in E_{\sigma}$ such that $F(x) = f(x)$ for all real x .*

Proof. Consider a function $f \in BV^p$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$). By the assumption for every $v \in \mathbb{N}$, there are entire functions $F_v \in E_\sigma$ satisfying the condition

$$(4) \quad \sup_{u, v \in \mathbb{R}} |f(u) - F_v(u) - f(v) + F_v(v)| \leq \frac{1}{v}.$$

Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $f(0) = F_v(0) = 0$.

From (4) it follows that $|f(u) - F_v(u)| \leq v^{-1}$ ($v = 1, 2, \dots$), uniformly in $u \in \mathbb{R}$. Consequently, $\lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} F_v(u) = f(u)$, uniformly on \mathbb{R} , and $\sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} |F_v(u)| \leq M$ for $v = 1, 2, \dots$, where $M = 1 + \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} |f(u)| < \infty$.

Further, if $z = x + iy$ is an arbitrary complex number, the Bernstein inequality leads to

$$|F_v(z)| \leq M e^{\sigma|y|} \quad (v = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and

$$|F_v(z) - F_\mu(z)| \leq M e^{\sigma|y|} \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} |F_v(u) - F_\mu(u)| \quad (\mu, v \in \mathbb{N})$$

(see [1, Sect. 83]). Hence, in view of the well-known Weierstrass Theorem, the limit $\lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} F_v(z) = F(z)$ is finite for every complex z , $F \in E_\sigma$ and $F(x) = f(x)$ on \mathbb{R} .

In the general case, when $f \in BV_{loc}^p$, the starting point is similar to that of Theorem 1 in Sect. 107 of [1].

3. Main results. Now, some approximation theorems will be given.

Theorem 1. Let f be a real-valued function of class AC_{loc}^{r-1} ($r \in \mathbb{N}$), having the derivative $f^{(r)} \in BV_{loc}^p$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$), and let $\nabla_c(f^{(r)})_p < \infty$ for some positive number c . Then for every $\sigma \geq c$, there exists an entire function $T_\sigma \in E_\sigma$ such that

$$1^\circ \quad T_\sigma(x) \geq f(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$2^\circ \quad V_p(T_\sigma - f) \leq c_3(r) \sigma^{-r} \nabla_\sigma(f^{(r)})_p.$$

Proof. Given any $\lambda > 1$, let us choose an entire function $g_\sigma \in E_\sigma$, real-valued on \mathbb{R} such that

$$(5) \quad V_p(f^{(r)} - g_\sigma^{(r)}) \leq \lambda \nabla_\sigma(f^{(r)})_p \quad (\sigma \geq c).$$

Retain the symbols Φ_r , $P_{\sigma,r}$, $Q_{\sigma,r}$ used in Lemma 3.

By the well-known theorem ([1, Sect. 101]), for all real x ,

$$f(x) - g_\sigma(x) = \Omega_c(x) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{f^{(r)}(t) - g_\sigma^{(r)}(t)\} \Phi_r(x-t) dt,$$

where Ω_c denotes some entire function of class E_c , real-valued on \mathbb{R} . Therefore, putting

$$\Lambda(z) \equiv g_\sigma(z) + \Omega_c(z) \quad (z = x + iy)$$

and

$$h^+(t) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \{ |f^{(r)}(t) - g_\sigma^{(r)}(t)| + f^{(r)}(t) - g_\sigma^{(r)}(t) \},$$

$$h^-(t) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \{ |f^{(r)}(t) - g_\sigma^{(r)}(t)| - f^{(r)}(t) + g_\sigma^{(r)}(t) \},$$

we can write

$$f(x) = \Lambda(x) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^+(t) \Phi_r(x-t) dt - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^-(t) \Phi_r(x-t) dt \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Introduce the function of a complex variable z :

$$T_\sigma(z) = \Lambda(z) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^+(t) P_{\sigma,r}(z-t) dt - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^-(t) Q_{\sigma,r}(z-t) dt.$$

It is easy to show that $T_\sigma \in E_\sigma$ (see the proof of Lemma 4).

The identity

$$T_\sigma(x) - f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^+(t) \{P_{\sigma,r}(x-t) - \Phi_r(x-t)\} dt + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^-(t) \{\Phi_r(x-t) - Q_{\sigma,r}(x-t)\} dt$$

ensures that $T_\sigma(x) \geq f(x)$ for all real x . Furthermore, by Minkowski's inequality, (5) and Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned} V_p(T_\sigma - f) &\leq V_p(h^+) \|P_{\sigma,r} - \Phi_r\|_1 + V_p(h^-) \|\Phi_r - Q_{\sigma,r}\|_1 \\ &\leq V_p(f^{(r)} - g_\sigma^{(r)}) \{ \|P_{\sigma,r} - \Phi_r\|_1 + \|\Phi_r - Q_{\sigma,r}\|_1 \} \\ &\leq \lambda \nabla_\sigma(f^{(r)})_p \cdot 2c_2(r, 0) \sigma^{-r}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the proof is completed.

The following related result can be obtained parallelly (cf. Ths 3.2, 3.3 of [6], Th. 4.5 of [7] and Ths 3, 4 of [3]).

Theorem 1'. Let f be a real-valued function of class AC_{loc}^{r-1} , with $f^{(r)} \in L_{loc}^p$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$), and let $A_c(f^{(r)})_p < \infty$ for some positive number c . Then for every $\sigma \geq c$, there exists an entire function $\tilde{T}_\sigma \in E_\sigma$ such that

- 1° $\tilde{T}_\sigma(x) \geq f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,
- 2° $\|\tilde{T}_\sigma - f\|_p \leq c_4(r) \sigma^{-r} A_\sigma(f^{(r)})_p$.

Remark. Theorems 1, 1' in which the conditions 1° are dropped remain also valid for complex-valued functions f .

Proposition 1. Let $\psi \in L$ and let $\psi' \in BV^p$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$). Suppose that for some entire function G of class E_σ ($0 < \sigma < \infty$) the estimate

$$(6) \quad V_p(\psi - G) \leq c_5 \sigma^{-1} V_p(\psi')$$

holds. Then

$$(7) \quad V_p(\psi' - G') \leq c_\sigma V_p(\psi').$$

Proof. It can easily be observed that the function ψ is uniformly continuous and bounded on \mathbb{R} ; whence $\psi \in L^a$ for each $a \geq 1$. From (6) it follows that $G \in B_\sigma$.

Consider the operator W defined by (3). Since $W[\psi] \in B_{2\sigma,1}$, we have $W'[\psi] \in B_{2\sigma,1}$. Therefore, $W[\psi] \in BV^p$ and in view of Lemma 2,

$$\nabla_\sigma(W[\psi])_p \leq V_p(W[\psi] - G) = V_p(W[\psi - G]) \leq c_1 V_p(\psi - G),$$

i. e.

$$(8) \quad \nabla_\sigma(W[\psi])_p \leq c_1 c_5 \sigma^{-1} V_p(\psi').$$

Given any $\lambda > 1$, let $S[W[\psi]]$ be an entire function of class B_σ such that

$$(9) \quad V_p(W[\psi] - S[W[\psi]]) \leq \lambda \nabla_\sigma(W[\psi])_p.$$

By subadditivity of p -th variation,

$$\begin{aligned} V_p(\psi' - G') &\leq V_p(\psi' - W[\psi']) + V_p(S'[W[\psi]] - G') \\ &\quad + V_p(W[\psi'] - S'[W[\psi]]) = N_1 + N_2 + N_3, \end{aligned}$$

and (see Lemma 2)

$$N_1 \leq V_p(\psi') + V_p(W[\psi']) \leq (1 + c_1) V_p(\psi').$$

From Lemma 1, (9), (8) and (6) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} N_2 &\leq \sigma V_p(S[W[\psi]] - G) \leq \sigma \{V_p(S[W[\psi]] - W[\psi]) \\ &\quad + V_p(W[\psi] - G)\} \leq \sigma \{\lambda \nabla_\sigma(W[\psi])_p + c_1 V_p(\psi - G)\} \\ &\leq \sigma \{\lambda c_1 c_5 \sigma^{-1} V_p(\psi') + c_1 c_5 \sigma^{-1} V_p(\psi')\} = (\lambda + 1) c_1 c_5 V_p(\psi'). \end{aligned}$$

Since $W[\psi'] = W'[\psi]$ ($W[\psi] \in B_{2\sigma}$), we have

$$N_3 = V_p(W'[\psi] - S'[W[\psi]])$$

$$\leq 2\sigma V_p(W[\psi] - S[W[\psi]]) \leq 2\sigma \lambda \nabla_\sigma(W[\psi])_p,$$

by Lemma 1 and (9). Applying (8), we get $N_3 \leq 2\lambda c_1 c_5 V_p(\psi')$.

Thus,

$$V_p(\psi' - G') \leq (1 + c_1) V_p(\psi') + (\lambda + 1) c_1 c_5 V_p(\psi') + 2\lambda c_1 c_5 V_p(\psi'),$$

and passing to limit as $\lambda \rightarrow 1+$, we conclude that $V_p(\psi' - G') \leq (1 + c_1 + 4c_1 c_5) V_p(\psi')$.

This gives (7). Analogously, the following implication can also be proved (see the estimates (1.1), (2.3) and propos. 2.7 of [7]; cf. propos. of [9]).

Proposition 1'. Let ψ be as in Proposition 1 with a finite $p \geq 1$. Suppose that for some entire function G of class E_σ ($0 < \sigma < \infty$),

$$\|\psi - G\|_p \leq c_7 \sigma^{-1-1/p} V_p(\psi').$$

Then

$$\|\psi' - G'\|_p \leq c_8 \sigma^{-1/p} V_p(\psi').$$

Theorem 2. Suppose that f is a real-valued function of class BV^p ($1 \leq p < \infty$). Then for every finite $\sigma > 0$ there exists an entire function $T_\sigma^* \in B_\sigma$ satisfying the conditions:

$$1^0 \quad T_\sigma^*(x) \geq f(x) \text{ for all real } x,$$

$$2^0 \quad \|T_\sigma^* - f\|_p \leq c_9 \sigma^{-1/p} V_p(f),$$

$$3^0 \quad V_p(T_\sigma^* - f) \leq c_{10} V_p(f).$$

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3 in [8].

Theorem 3. Let f be a real-valued function of class $W^r BV^p$ ($r \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$). Then for every finite $\sigma > 0$ there exists an entire function $T_\sigma \in E_\sigma$ such that

$$1^0 \quad T_\sigma(x) \geq f(x) \text{ for all real } x,$$

$$2^0 \quad \|T_\sigma^{(v)} - f^{(v)}\|_p \leq \frac{c_{11}(r, v)}{\sigma^{r-v+1/p}} V_p(f^{(r)}),$$

$$3^0 \quad V_p(T_\sigma^{(v)} - f^{(v)}) \leq \frac{c_{12}(r, v)}{\sigma^{r-v}} V_p(f^{(r)}),$$

where $v = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$. Moreover, in the case when $f^{(r-1)} \in L$, the estimates in 2^0 and 3^0 also hold for $v = r$.

Proof. In view of Theorem 2, there is an entire function $T_{\sigma,r}^* \in B_{\sigma}$ ($\sigma > 0$), real-valued on \mathbb{R} , satisfying the inequalities

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} \|T_{\sigma,r}^* - f^{(r)}\|_p \leq c_9 \sigma^{-1/p} V_p(f^{(r)}), \\ V_p(T_{\sigma,r}^* - f^{(r)}) \leq c_{10} V_p(f^{(r)}). \end{cases}$$

Suppose further that $\sigma \geq c > 0$. Retain the symbols $\Phi_r, P_{\sigma,r}, Q_{\sigma,r}$ defined in Section 2, and start with the identities

$$f(x) = F_c(x) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^{(r)}(t) \Phi_r(x-t) dt$$

$$= F_c(x) + J_{\sigma}(x) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{f^{(r)}(t) - T_{\sigma,r}^*(t)\} \Phi_r(x-t) dt \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}),$$

where F_c means some entire function of class E_{σ} and

$$J_{\sigma}(z) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi_r(u) T_{\sigma,r}^*(z-u) du \quad (z = x + iy, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R})$$

(see [1, Sect. 101]). It is easily seen that $J_{\sigma} \in B_{\sigma}$.

Introduce the auxiliary function

$$g(x) \equiv f(x) - F_c(x) - J_{\sigma}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{f^{(r)}(t) - T_{\sigma,r}^*(t)\} \Phi_r(x-t) dt;$$

write

$$h^+(t) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \{ |f^{(r)}(t) - T_{\sigma,r}^*(t)| + f^{(r)}(t) - T_{\sigma,r}^*(t) \},$$

$$h^-(t) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \{ |f^{(r)}(t) - T_{\sigma,r}^*(t)| - f^{(r)}(t) + T_{\sigma,r}^*(t) \}.$$

Then

$$g(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^+(t) \Phi_r(x-t) dt - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^-(t) \Phi_r(x-t) dt \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Putting

$$Y_{\sigma}(z) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^+(t) P_{\sigma,r}(z-t) dt - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^-(t) Q_{\sigma,r}(z-t) dt \quad (z = x + iy),$$

we have

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} Y_{\sigma}(x) - g(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^+(t) \{P_{\sigma,r}(x-t) - \Phi_r(x-t)\} dt \\ &\quad + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^-(t) \{\Phi_r(x-t) - Q_{\sigma,r}(x-t)\} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $Y_{\sigma} \in B_{\sigma,p}$ and $Y_{\sigma}(x) \geq g(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Taking the entire function T_{σ} with values

$$(12) \quad T_{\sigma}(z) \equiv F_c(z) + J_{\sigma}(z) + Y_{\sigma}(z),$$

we observe that

$$(13) \quad T_{\sigma}(x) - f(x) = Y_{\sigma}(x) - g(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence,

$$T_\sigma \in E_\sigma \text{ and } T_\sigma(x) \geq f(x) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}.$$

From the identity (11) it follows that for each non-negative integer $v \leq r-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_\sigma^{(v)}(x) - g^{(v)}(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^+(t) \{P_{\sigma,r}^{(v)}(x-t) - \Phi_r^{(v)}(x-t)\} dt \\ &+ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^-(t) \{\Phi_r^{(v)}(x-t) - Q_{\sigma,r}^{(v)}(x-t)\} dt \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Minkowski's inequalities and Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Y_\sigma^{(v)} - g^{(v)}\|_p &\leq \|h^+\|_p \|P_{\sigma,r}^{(v)} - \Phi_r^{(v)}\|_1 + \|h^-\|_p \|\Phi_r^{(v)} - Q_{\sigma,r}^{(v)}\|_1 \\ &\leq 2 c_2(r, v) \sigma^{v-r} \|f^{(r)} - T_{\sigma,r}^*\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently (see (13) and (10)),

$$\|T_\sigma^{(v)} - f^{(v)}\|_p = \|Y_\sigma^{(v)} - g^{(v)}\|_p \leq 2 c_2(r, v) c_9 \sigma^{v-r-1/p} V_p(f^{(r)}).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} V_p(Y_\sigma^{(v)} - g^{(v)}) &\leq V_p(h^+) \|P_{\sigma,r}^{(v)} - \Phi_r^{(v)}\|_1 \\ &+ V_p(h^-) \|\Phi_r^{(v)} - Q_{\sigma,r}^{(v)}\|_1 \leq 2 c_2(r, v) \sigma^{v-r} V_p(f^{(r)} - T_{\sigma,r}^*), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$V_p(T_\sigma^{(v)} - f^{(v)}) = V_p(Y_\sigma^{(v)} - g^{(v)}) \leq 2 c_2(r, v) c_{10} \sigma^{v-r} V_p(f^{(r)}).$$

Thus, for T_σ defined by (12), the inequalities occurring in 1° and $2^\circ-3^\circ$ (with non-negative $v \leq r-1$) are proved.

Assuming that $f^{(r-1)} \in L$ and applying propositions 1 and 1', we get at once the desired assertion for $v=r$.

REFERENCES

1. Н. Ц. Ахизер. Лекции по теории аппроксимации. Москва, 1965.
2. D. P. Dryanov. One-sided approximation with entire functions of exponential type. *Serdica*, 10, 1984, No 3, 276-286.
3. D. P. Dryanov. Direct and converse theorems for one-sided approximation by entire functions. *C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci.*, 39, 1986, No 2, 23-25.
4. T. Ganelius. On one-sided approximation by trigonometrical polynomials. *Math. Scand.*, 4, 1956, 247-258.
5. В. А. Попов. Заметка об одностороннем приближении функций. *C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci.*, 32, 1979, No 10, 1319-1322.
6. R. Taberski. One-sided approximation in metrics of the Banach spaces $L^p(-\infty, \infty)$. *Functiones et Approx.*, 12, 1982, 113-125.
7. R. Taberski. One-sided approximation by entire functions. *Demonstratio Math.*, 15, 1982, No 2, 477-505.
8. R. Taberski. Trigonometric approximation in the norms and seminorms. *Studia Math.*, 80, 1984, No 3, 197-217.
9. R. Taberski. Exponential approximation on the real line. Approximation and function spaces. Banach Center Publications. PWN, Warszawa (in print).
10. А. Ф. Тиман. Теория приближения функций действительного переменного. Москва, 1960.

Institute of Mathematics
Adam Mickiewicz University,
ul. Matejki 48/49, 60-769 Poznań, Poland

Received 29. 10. 1986
Revised 12. 05. 1987