

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

# Serdica

## Mathematical Journal

### Сердика

### Математическо списание

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.  
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.  
Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on  
Serdica Mathematical Journal  
which is the new series of  
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes  
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>  
or contact: Editorial Office  
Serdica Mathematical Journal  
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics  
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49  
e-mail: [serdica@math.bas.bg](mailto:serdica@math.bas.bg)

## CRITERION OF NORMALITY OF THE COMPLETELY REGULAR TOPOLOGY OF SEPARATE CONTINUITY

Yakov S. Grinshpon

*Communicated by S. P. Gul'ko*

ABSTRACT. For given completely regular topological spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , there is a completely regular space  $X \tilde{\otimes} Y$  such that for any completely regular space  $Z$  a mapping  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  is separately continuous if and only if  $f : X \tilde{\otimes} Y \rightarrow Z$  is continuous. We prove a necessary condition of normality, a sufficient condition of collectionwise normality, and a criterion of normality of the products  $X \tilde{\otimes} Y$  in the case when at least one factor is scattered.

Let  $X$ ,  $Y$  and  $Z$  be arbitrary topological spaces. Then for a mapping  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  there appears double notion of continuity: continuity in all variables jointly (or joint continuity) and continuity in each variable separately (or separate continuity).

It is well known (see e.g. [1, 17.D] or [5]) that we can define a topological space  $X \otimes Y$  on the product set  $X \times Y$  with the property that for any space  $Z$  a mapping  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  is separately continuous if and only if  $f : X \otimes Y \rightarrow Z$  is continuous. However this topology is inconvenient for investigating separately

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 54C10, 54D15, 54G12.

*Key words*: Separate continuity, normality, collectionwise normality, scattered spaces, Cech-complete spaces, zero-dimensional spaces, paracompactness, locally compact spaces.

continuous mappings since in many important cases  $X \otimes Y$  fails to be completely regular. In particular, the results of papers [5] and [4] imply that the spaces  $\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{A}$ ,  $\mathbb{R} \otimes \alpha\Gamma$ ,  $\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{A} \otimes \alpha\Gamma$  are not completely regular. Here  $\mathbb{R}$  denotes the real line,  $\mathbb{A}$  — the double arrow (the product  $[0; 1] \times \{0; 1\}$  ordered lexicographically), and  $\alpha\Gamma$  — the one-point compactification of a discrete infinite space  $\Gamma$ .

In [6] on the set  $X \times Y$ , where  $X$  and  $Y$  are completely regular spaces, a new topological space  $X \tilde{\otimes} Y$  was constructed. This space satisfies the following conditions:  $X \tilde{\otimes} Y$  is completely regular and for any completely regular space  $Z$  a mapping  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  is separately continuous if and only if  $f : X \tilde{\otimes} Y \rightarrow Z$  is continuous.

The problem of normality of the spaces  $X \tilde{\otimes} Y$  comes quite naturally. In [5] and [6] Knight, Moran and Pym obtained sufficient conditions of normality of the products  $X \tilde{\otimes} Y$  only in the case when at least one factor is locally countable. By using these results it is easy to see normality of the spaces  $\mathbb{R} \tilde{\otimes} \alpha\Gamma$  and  $\mathbb{A} \tilde{\otimes} \alpha\Gamma$  for countable  $\Gamma$ . In [3] the author proved a sufficient condition of normality of the spaces  $X \tilde{\otimes} Y$  that have at least one scattered factor. It follows from this condition that the spaces  $\mathbb{R} \tilde{\otimes} \alpha\Gamma$  and  $\mathbb{A} \tilde{\otimes} \alpha\Gamma$  are normal for arbitrary  $\Gamma$ . Moreover, established in [6] and [3] necessary conditions of normality of the products of metrizable spaces indicate that the space  $\mathbb{R} \tilde{\otimes} \mathbb{R}$  is not normal.

In this paper the results of works [6] and [3] are generalized. In particular, it is shown that the spaces  $\mathbb{R} \tilde{\otimes} \alpha\Gamma$  and  $\mathbb{A} \tilde{\otimes} \alpha\Gamma$  are collectionwise normal (Theorem 7), but the space  $\mathbb{R} \tilde{\otimes} \mathbb{A}$  is not normal (Theorem 4, see also [6, 8.4]). The main result of the paper is a criterion of normality of the completely regular topology of separate continuity for a rather large class of spaces (Theorem 9).

### Necessary condition of normality.

**Lemma 1.** *Any Čech-complete non-scattered space contains a compact that can be mapped irreducibly onto the segment  $[0; 1]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a Čech-complete non-scattered space. Then there exist a non-empty perfect subset  $Z$  in  $X$  ([2], 1.7.10) and open in  $\beta Z$  sets  $G_n$  such that  $Z = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$ . By standard tree arguments for any finite binary sequence we may determine an open in  $\beta Z$  set  $U_{(i_1, \dots, i_n)}$  so that: a)  $\overline{U}_{(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}, 0)} \cap \overline{U}_{(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}, 1)} = \emptyset$ ; b)  $\overline{U}_{(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}, 0)} \cup \overline{U}_{(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}, 1)} \subset U_{(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1})}$ ; c)  $\overline{U}_{(i_1, \dots, i_n)} \subset G_n$ .

Further, we put  $K = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \{0; 1\}^n} \overline{U}_{(i_1, \dots, i_n)}$  and construct the function  $f : K \rightarrow [0; 1]$  transforming points from  $K$  to real numbers from  $[0; 1]$  written in the binary form  $0.i_1 \dots i_n \dots$ . Since  $f$  is continuous and  $K$  is compact, there is

a closed subset  $M \subset K$  such that the restriction  $g$  of  $f$  on  $M$  is irreducible and  $g(M) = [0; 1]$  ([2], 3.1.C).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *For an irreducible closed mapping the image of an isolated point is isolated point and the preimage of a dense set is a dense set.*

**Proof.** Let  $g : M \rightarrow N$  be an irreducible closed mapping, a point  $m$  be isolated in  $M$ , and a set  $P$  be dense in  $N$ . As  $g(M \setminus \{m\}) \neq [0; 1]$  the set  $g(M \setminus \{m\}) = [0; 1] \setminus g(m)$  is closed. Hence  $g(m)$  is an isolated point in  $N$ .

To prove the second claim we denote  $Q = g^{-1}(P)$ . Then the set  $N \setminus g(\overline{Q})$  is open in  $N$  and disjoint with  $P$ . Therefore  $N \setminus g(\overline{Q}) = \emptyset$ , and by virtue of irreducibility of  $g$  we obtain  $\overline{Q} = M$ .  $\square$

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces. A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called an F-refinement if  $f$  is a continuous mapping with the finite preimages of points. A space  $X$  is said to F-refine into a space  $Y$  if there exists an F-refinement  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $X \times Y$  be a hereditarily normal space,  $M$  be a closed subset of  $X$ , and  $f : M \rightarrow Y$  be an F-refinement. Then the set  $D = \{(m, f(m)); m \in M\}$  is discrete in  $X \otimes Y$ .*

**Proof.** Choose a point  $m_0 \in M$ , and let  $U$  be any its neighborhood such that  $\{m \in M; f(m) = f(m_0)\} \cap \overline{U} = \emptyset$ . Since the sets  $D \setminus \{(m_0, f(m_0))\}$  and  $E = (\{m_0\} \times Y) \cup (\overline{U} \times \{f(m_0)\}) \setminus \{(m_0, f(m_0))\}$  are closed in  $X \times Y \setminus \{(m_0, f(m_0))\}$ , there is a continuous function  $h : X \times Y \setminus \{(m_0, f(m_0))\} \rightarrow [0; 1]$  such that  $h(D \setminus \{(m_0, f(m_0))\}) = \{1\}$  and  $h(E) = \{0\}$ . We extend  $h$  to  $X \times Y$  by defining  $h(m_0, f(m_0)) = 0$ . Then  $h$  is separately continuous or, in other words, continuous with respect to the topology of the space  $X \tilde{\otimes} Y$ . Additionally  $h(m_0, f(m_0)) = 0$  and  $h(D \setminus \{(m_0, f(m_0))\}) = \{1\}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *Let a space  $X$  contain a Čech-complete non-scattered subspace that F-refines into a space  $Y$ , and let the space  $X \times Y$  be perfectly normal. Then the space  $X \tilde{\otimes} Y$  is not normal.*

**Proof.** We suppose that  $Z \subset X$  is a Čech-complete non-scattered space. By Lemma 1 there exist a compact  $M \subset Z$  and an irreducible function  $g : M \rightarrow [0; 1]$ , and by Lemma 2 the sets  $T = g^{-1}([0; 1] \cap \mathbb{Q})$  and  $M \setminus T = g^{-1}([0; 1] \setminus \mathbb{Q})$  are dense in  $M$ .

Now we consider the mapping  $D : M \rightarrow M \times Y$  given by the rule  $D(m) = (m, f(m))$ , where  $f : M \rightarrow Y$  is an F-refinement, and denote  $F_0 = D(T)$  and  $F_1 = D(M \setminus T)$ . By Lemma 3 the sets  $F_0$  and  $F_1$  are closed in  $X \tilde{\otimes} Y$ . Our goal is to show that it is impossible to separate the sets  $F_0$  and  $F_1$  by neighborhoods in the space  $X \tilde{\otimes} Y$ .

Let  $G_0$  and  $G_1$  be arbitrary neighborhoods of the sets  $F_0$  and  $F_1$  respectively. Since  $X \times Y$  is perfectly normal, we have that  $D(M)$  is equal to intersec-

tion of some decreasing sequence of open sets  $\{U_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Therefore for each point  $m \in M$  we can find a natural number  $j(m)$  such that  $(U_{j(m)} \cap (M \times \{f(m)\})) \cup (U_{j(m)} \cap (\{m\} \times f(M))) \subset G_i$ , where  $i = 0$  or  $i = 1$ .

For a natural number  $j$ , we put  $M_j = \{m \in M; j(m) \leq j\}$ . Clearly  $M = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} M_j$ . Then it follows from the Baire category theorem that for some  $j_0$  the set

$M_{j_0}$  is not nowhere dense, i.e. there is an open in  $M$  set  $W_0$  such that  $W_0 \subset \overline{M}_{i_0}^M$ , and besides we may assume that  $W_0 \times f(W_0) \subset U_{i_0}$ . The three alternatives are possible for the set  $W = W_0 \cap M_{j_0}$ : a)  $W \cap T = \emptyset$ ; b)  $W \cap (M \setminus T) = \emptyset$ ; c)  $W \cap T \neq \emptyset$  and  $W \cap (M \setminus T) \neq \emptyset$ .

We shall consider all these alternatives.

a) Let  $m_1 \in W_0 \cap T$  and  $m_2 \in W \cap \{m \in M; (m, f(m_1)) \in U_{j(m_1)}\}$ . Then  $j(m_2) \leq j_0 < j(m_1)$  and  $(m_2, f(m_1)) \in U_{j(m_1)} \subset U_{j(m_2)}$ . Consequently  $G_0 \cap G_1 \neq \emptyset$ .

b) Let  $m_1 \in W_0 \cap (M \setminus T)$  and  $m_2 \in W \cap \{m \in M; (m, f(m_1)) \in U_{j(m_1)}\}$ . Then  $j(m_2) \leq j_0 < j(m_1)$  and  $(m_2, f(m_1)) \in U_{j(m_1)} \subset U_{j(m_2)}$ . Consequently  $G_0 \cap G_1 \neq \emptyset$ .

c) Let  $m_1 \in W \cap T$  and  $m_2 \in W \cap (M \setminus T)$ . Then  $\max\{j(m_1), j(m_2)\} \leq j_0$  and  $(m_1, f(m_2)) \in W_0 \times f(W_0) \subset U_{j_0} \subset U_{j(m_1)} \cap U_{j(m_2)}$ . Consequently  $G_0 \cap G_1 \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

### Sufficient condition of normality.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $Y$  be a paracompact, and assume that a space  $X$  contains a point  $\infty$  such that  $(X \setminus \{\infty\}) \tilde{\otimes} Y$  is collectionwise normal. Then the space  $X \tilde{\otimes} Y$  is collectionwise normal too.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{F_s\}_{s \in S}$  be a discrete family of closed sets in the space  $X \tilde{\otimes} Y$ . First, we shall prove that one can separate the sets  $F_s$  by neighborhoods in the case when the set  $S$  is divided into subsets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  such that  $A = \bigcup_{s \in S_1} F_s \subset (X \setminus \{\infty\}) \times Y$  and  $B = \bigcup_{s \in S_2} F_s \subset \{\infty\} \times Y$ . Obviously, in this case it suffices to separate the sets  $A$  and  $B$ .

Denote  $Z = \{y \in Y; (\infty, y) \in B\}$ . For each point  $z \in Z$  there is an open in  $X \tilde{\otimes} Y$  set  $U_z$  such that  $(\infty, z) \in U_z \subset \overline{U}_z \subset (X \times Y) \setminus A$ . Then the paracompact set  $B$  has a locally finite open cover  $\{\{\infty\} \times V_t\}_{t \in T}$  inscribed in the cover  $\{U_z \cap B\}_{z \in Z}$ . For each index  $t \in T$  we fix a point  $z(t)$  such that  $\{\infty\} \times V_t \subset U_{z(t)}$  and put  $W_t = (X \times V_t) \cap U_{z(t)}$ . The family  $\{W_t\}_{t \in T}$  is locally finite in  $X \tilde{\otimes} Y$ . Hence  $B \subset \bigcup_{t \in T} W_t \subset \overline{\bigcup_{t \in T} W_t} = \bigcup_{t \in T} \overline{W}_t \subset \bigcup_{t \in T} \overline{U}_{z(t)} \subset (X \times Y) \setminus A$ .

Now we are ready to prove the statement of the lemma in general case. In view of collectionwise normality of  $Y$  we can find disjoint open in  $Y$  sets  $U_s$  such

that  $F_s \cap (\{\infty\} \times Y) \subset U_s$ . By using the above considered case we obtain that  $F_s \setminus (X \times U_s) \subset V_s^1$  and  $F_s \cap (\{\infty\} \times Y) \subset V_s^2$  for some disjoint open in  $X \otimes Y$  sets  $V_s^1$  and  $V_s^2$ . Since  $(X \setminus \{\infty\}) \otimes Y$  is collectionwise normal, there are disjoint open in  $X \otimes Y$  sets  $W_s^1$  and  $W_s^2$  such that  $F_s \setminus (V_s^1 \cup V_s^2) \subset W_s^1$  and  $F_s \setminus (X \times U_s) \subset W_s^2$ . Thus it is easy to check that the sets  $((W_s^1 \cup V_s^1 \cup V_s^2) \cap (X \times U_s)) \cup (W_s^2 \cap V_s^1)$  are disjoint neighborhoods of the sets  $F_s$ .  $\square$

We recall that a normal space  $X$  is called strongly zero-dimensional if for any closed set  $F \subset X$  and for any its neighborhood  $U$  there exists a clopen set  $H$  such that  $F \subset H \subset U$  ([2, 6.2]).

**Lemma 6.** *In any open cover of a strongly zero-dimensional paracompact one can inscribe a disjoint open cover.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a strongly zero-dimensional paracompact, and let  $\{U_t\}_{t \in T}$  be an open cover of the space  $X$ . Regularity and paracompactness of  $X$  enable us, in an obvious way, to inscribe combinatorially with closure an open cover  $\{V_t\}_{t \in T}$  in the cover  $\{U_t\}_{t \in T}$ .

By the definition of strong zero-dimensionality, for each  $t \in T$  there is a clopen set  $H_t$  such that  $\bar{V}_t \subset H_t \subset U_t$ . We may assume that the set  $T$  is well ordered and put  $W_t = H_t \setminus \bigcup_{t' < t} H_{t'}$ . Then  $\{W_t\}_{t \in T}$  is the required cover.  $\square$

We recall that an ordinal  $\text{ht}(X) = \min\{\alpha; X^{(\alpha)} = \emptyset\}$  is called scattered height of the space  $X$ . Here  $X^{(\alpha)}$  is the  $\alpha$ -th Cantor-Bendixson derivative of  $X$ .

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $X$  be a scattered strongly zero-dimensional paracompact, and  $Y$  be a paracompact. Then the space  $X \otimes Y$  is collectionwise normal.*

*Proof.* A) Let  $\text{ht}(X) = \alpha + 1$  be an isolated ordinal. We suppose that for all spaces  $\tilde{X}$  with the property  $\text{ht}(\tilde{X}) \leq \alpha$  the statement of the theorem is true. Since the space  $X^{(\alpha)}$  is discrete for each point  $x \in X^{(\alpha)}$  there is an open in  $X$  set  $U_x$  such that  $U_x \cap X^{(\alpha)} = \{x\}$ . And also choose arbitrary neighborhoods  $U_x$  in the space  $X \setminus X^{(\alpha)}$  for all remaining points  $x \in X \setminus X^{(\alpha)}$ . By Lemma 6, in the open cover  $\{U_x\}_{x \in X}$  we can inscribe an open disjoint cover  $\{V_t\}_{t \in T}$ . Then  $X \otimes Y = \bigoplus_{t \in T} (V_t \otimes Y)$ . By Lemma 5 and the inductive assumption, all the spaces

$V_t \otimes Y$  are collectionwise normal. Hence the space  $X \otimes Y$  is collectionwise normal too.

B) Let  $\text{ht}(X) = \alpha$  be a limit ordinal. We suppose that for all spaces  $\tilde{X}$  with the property  $\text{ht}(\tilde{X}) < \alpha$  the statement of the theorem is true. For each point  $x \in X$  we fix an ordinal  $\beta_x < \alpha$  such that  $x \notin X^{(\beta_x)}$  and take an arbitrary neighborhood  $U_x$  of the point  $x$  in the space  $X \setminus X^{(\beta_x)}$ . By Lemma 6 in open cover  $\{U_x\}_{x \in X}$  we can inscribe an open disjoint cover  $\{V_t\}_{t \in T}$ . Then by inductive assumption the space  $V_t \otimes Y$  is collectionwise normal for any  $t \in T$ . Hence the

space  $X \tilde{\otimes} Y = \bigoplus_{t \in T} (V_t \tilde{\otimes} Y)$  is collectionwise normal too.  $\square$

### Criterion of normality.

**Lemma 8.** *Any locally compact paracompact scattered space is strongly zero-dimensional.*

**Proof.** Indeed a scattered space is hereditarily disconnected, and in the class of locally compact paracompact spaces hereditary disconnectedness is equivalent to strong zero-dimensionality ([2], 6.2.9).  $\square$

**Theorem 9.** *Let a locally compact paracompact space  $X$   $F$ -refine into a paracompact space  $Y$ , and let the space  $X \times Y$  be perfectly normal. Then the space  $X \tilde{\otimes} Y$  is normal if and only if  $X$  is scattered.*

**Proof.** Theorem 4 and Čech-completeness of the locally compact space  $X$  imply necessity, and Lemma 8 and Theorem 7 imply sufficiency.  $\square$

**Corollary 10.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact paracompact space, and let the space  $X \times X$  be perfectly normal. Then the space  $X \tilde{\otimes} X$  is normal if and only if  $X$  is scattered.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. ČECH. Topological spaces. Prague, Czechoslovak academy of sciences, 1966.
- [2] R. ENGELKING. General topology. Warszawa, PWN, 1977.
- [3] YA. S. GRINSHPON. Normality of the completely regular topology of separate continuity. *Vestnik of Tomsk State University* **280** (2003), 27–30 (in Russian).
- [4] J. E. HART, K. KUNEN. On the regularity of the topology of separate continuity. *Topology and its Applications* **123** (2002), 103–123.
- [5] C. J. KNIGHT, W. MORAN, J. S. PYM. The topologies of separate continuity. I. *Proceedings of Cambridge Philosophy Society* **68** (1970), 663–671.
- [6] C. J. KNIGHT, W. MORAN, J. S. PYM. The topologies of separate continuity. II. *Proceedings of Cambridge Philosophy Society* **71** (1972), 307–319.

Tomsk State University  
 Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics  
 Prospekt Lenina 36/2, Tomsk, 634050, Russia  
 e-mail: grinshpon@mail.ru

Received September 15, 2005