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# TAYLOR SPECTRUM AND CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTIONS OF COMMUTING 2-CONTRACTIONS 

Berrabah Bendoukha

Communicated by S. L. Troyanski

Abstract. In this paper, we give a description of Taylor spectrum of commuting 2 -contractions in terms of characteritic functions of such contractions. The case of a single contraction obtained by B. Sz. Nagy and C. Foias is generalied in this work.

1. Introduction. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space. A 2-tuple $A=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ of bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$ is called contractive ( or 2-contraction ) if $\left\|A_{1} h_{1}+A_{2} h_{2}\right\|^{2}$ $\leq\left\|h_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|h_{2}\right\|^{2}$ for all $h_{1}, h_{2}$ in $\mathcal{H}$. It is equivalent [1] to the condition: $A_{1} A_{1}^{\star}+$ $A_{2} A_{2}^{\star} \leq 1_{\mathcal{H}}$. If additionaly, operators $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ commute, then $A$ is called a commuting 2-contraction. To every commuting 2-contraction $A=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ corresponds an analytic operator-valued function $\theta_{A}: I D^{2} \rightarrow B\left(\mathcal{D}_{A}, \mathcal{D}_{A^{*}}\right)$ called characteristic function of $A$ and defined by :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{A}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=-A+D_{A^{*}}\left(1_{\mathcal{H}}-z_{1} A_{1}^{*}-z_{2} A_{2}^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(z_{1} \cdot 1_{\mathcal{H}}, z_{2} \cdot 1_{\mathcal{H}}\right) D_{A} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47A10, 47A13.
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(a) $\mathbb{D}^{2}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}:\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}<1\right\}$,
(b) $B\left(\mathcal{D}_{A}, \mathcal{D}_{A^{*}}\right)$ is the set of all bounded operators from $\mathcal{D}_{A}$ into $\mathcal{D}_{A^{*}}$,
(c) $D_{A^{*}}=\left(I-A_{1} A_{1}^{\star}-A_{2} A_{2}^{\star}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{A^{*}}$ is the closure of the range of $D_{A^{*}}$,
(d) $D_{A}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1_{H}-A_{1}^{*} A_{1} & -A_{1}^{*} A_{2} \\ -A_{2}^{*} A_{1} & 1_{H}-A_{2}^{*} A_{2}\end{array}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}: \mathcal{H}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{2}$ and is the closure of the range of $D_{A}$,
(e) $\left(z_{1} 1_{\mathcal{H}}, z_{2} 1_{\mathcal{H}}\right): \mathcal{H}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} ; \quad\left(z_{1} 1_{\mathcal{H}}, z_{2} 1_{\mathcal{H}}\right)\binom{h_{1}}{h_{2}}=z_{1} h_{1}+z_{2} h_{2}$.

Characteristic function of commuting $n$-contraction has been introduced in [3] as a generalization of characteristic function of a single contraction [9]. A lot of its remarquable properties have been established in ([2], [4],[9]). In particular, it is shown (like in the single case) that the characteristic function is a unitary invariant. It means that characteristic functions of two pure or completely noncoisometric $n$-contractions ([2], [4]) $A=\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}\right)$ and $A^{\prime}=\left(A_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, A_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ coincide if and only if there exists a unitary operator $U: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that $A_{i}^{\prime}=U^{-1} A_{i} U$ for every $i=1, \ldots, n$.

In the case of a completly nonunitary single contraction, the spectrum can be described in terms of the characteristic function (see theoerm 4.1 [9]). The aim of this paper is to give a description of Taylor spectrum in the case of commuting pure 2 -contractions by means of characteristic function (1.1).

In section 2 we briefly remind the definition of Taylor spectrum. Section 3 contains characterizations of different components of Taylor spectrum. In section 4, we investigate the behavior of Taylor spectrum under the action of involutive automorphims of unit ball.
2. Taylor spectrum. Let $A=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}\right)$ be a pure $n$-contraction. According to ([5], [6], [7], [10]), the Taylor spectrum of $A$ can be defined as follows. Let $\Lambda(\mathcal{H})$ be the exterior algebra on $n$ generators $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ with identity $e_{0}=1$ and coefficients in $H$. In other words,

$$
\Lambda(\mathcal{H})=\left\{x \otimes e_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{p}}: x \in \mathcal{H} ; 1 \leq i_{1} \cdots \lessdot i_{p} \leq n ; 1 \leq p \leq n\right\}
$$

with the collapsing property : $e_{i} \wedge e_{j}+e_{j} \wedge e_{i}=0$. One has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda(\mathcal{H}) & =\oplus_{k=1}^{n} \Lambda^{k}(\mathcal{H}) ; \\
\Lambda^{k}(\mathcal{H}) & =\left\{x \otimes e_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k}}: x \in H, 1 \leq i_{1} \cdots \lessdot i_{k} \leq n\right\} ; \\
\Lambda^{0}(\mathcal{H}) & =\mathcal{H} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider in $\Lambda(\mathcal{H})$ operator:
$B_{A}: \Lambda(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathcal{H}): \quad B_{A}\left(x \otimes e_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{p}}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{k}(x) \otimes e_{k} \wedge e_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{p}}$.
It is not difficult to see that $B_{A}^{2}=0$ and $\operatorname{Ran} B_{A} \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} B_{A}$. Decomposition $\Lambda(\mathcal{H})=\oplus_{k=1}^{n} \Lambda^{k}(\mathcal{H})$ gives a rise to a cochain $K(A, \mathcal{H})$, the so-called Koszul complex $K(A, \mathcal{H})$ associated to $A$ on $\mathcal{H}$ as follows:

$$
K\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \mathcal{H}\right):\{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}=\Lambda^{0}(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{B_{A}^{0}} \ldots \xrightarrow{B_{A}^{n-1}} \Lambda^{n}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow\{0\}
$$

where $B_{A}^{k}$ is the restriction of $B_{A}$ to the subspace $\Lambda^{k}(\mathcal{H})$. Complex $K(A, \mathcal{H})$ is said to be exact (or regular) if:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\{0\}=\operatorname{ker} B_{A}^{0}, & \operatorname{Ran} B_{A}^{0}=\operatorname{ker} B_{A}^{1}, \ldots, \\
\operatorname{Ran} B_{A}^{n-2}=\operatorname{ker} B_{A}^{n-1}, & \operatorname{Ran} B_{A}^{n-1}=\Lambda^{n}(H)
\end{array}
$$

Definition 1. The Taylor spectrum of $n$-contraction is the set:

$$
\sigma_{T}(A)=\left\{z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}: K\left(A_{1}-z_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}-z_{n} ; H\right) \text { is not exact }\right\}
$$

Let us now suppose that $n=2$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda(\mathcal{H}) & =\Lambda^{0}(\mathcal{H}) \oplus \Lambda^{1}(\mathcal{H}) \oplus \Lambda^{2}(\mathcal{H}) \\
& =\left(\mathcal{H} \otimes e_{0}\right) \oplus\left(\left(\mathcal{H} \otimes e_{1}\right) \oplus\left(\mathcal{H} \otimes e_{2}\right)\right) \oplus\left(\mathcal{H} \otimes e_{1} \wedge e_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

According to this direct sum, operator $B_{A}$ admits the matrix representation

$$
B_{A}=\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
A_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
A_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -A_{2} & A_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

and then operators $B_{\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)}^{0}$ and $B_{\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)}^{1}$ have the forms:

$$
\begin{cases}B_{\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)}^{0}(x)=A_{1}(x) \oplus A_{2}(x), & (x, y \in \mathcal{H})  \tag{2.1}\\ B_{\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)}^{1}(x \oplus y)=-A_{2}(x)+A_{1}(y), & (x, y \in \mathcal{H})\end{cases}
$$

According to Definition 1 and formula (2.1), one has

$$
\sigma_{T}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)=\sigma_{T}^{(1)}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right) \cup \sigma_{T}^{(2)}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right) \cup \sigma_{T}^{(3)}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \sigma_{T}^{(1)}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right) & \Leftrightarrow  \tag{2.2}\\
& \exists x \in \mathcal{H}: x \neq 0, \quad\left(A_{1}-z_{1}\right) x=\left(A_{2}-z_{2}\right) x=0
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \sigma_{T}^{(2)}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right) \Leftrightarrow  \tag{2.3}\\
& \quad \exists\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{H}^{2}:\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left(A_{1}-z_{1}\right) x_{1}-\left(A_{2}-z_{2}\right) x_{2}=0 \\
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \neq\left(\left(A_{1}-z_{1}\right) h,\left(A_{2}-z_{2}\right) h\right), \forall h \in \mathcal{H}
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \sigma_{T}^{(3)}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right) \Leftrightarrow  \tag{2.4}\\
& \quad \exists y \in \mathcal{H}: y \neq\left(A_{1}-z_{1}\right) x_{1}-\left(A_{2}-z_{2}\right) x_{2}, \quad \forall\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{H}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 1. $\sigma_{T}^{(1)}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ is called the ponctual joint Taylor spectrum. Taylor joint spectrum generalizes the one variable notion of spectrum. It is a nonempty compact subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. The reader can find an excellent account of the Taylor spectrum and its relations with other multiparameter spectral theories in [6]. Note also that in [2] a description of Harte spectrum by means of characteristic function is given.

Throughout this paper, we will suppose that if $A=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ is a commuting 2-contraction, then operator $D_{A^{*}}=\left(I-A_{1} A_{1}^{\star}-A_{2} A_{2}^{\star}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is one to one. Note that pure ( and more generally completely non coisometric) commutig 2contractions ([2], [3], [4]) satisfy this condition. Indeed, if $A=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ is a pure 2-contraction then, the decreasing sequence of positive bounded operators $\left(\left(A_{1} A_{1}^{\star}+A_{2} A_{2}^{\star}\right)^{n}\right)_{n \in I N}$ admits a strong limit $A_{\infty}=0$. Because of that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{A^{*}}(x) & =0 \Rightarrow D_{A^{*}}^{2}(x)=\left(I-A_{1} A_{1}^{\star}-A_{2} A_{2}^{\star}\right) x=0 \\
& \Rightarrow x=\left(A_{1} A_{1}^{\star}+A_{2} A_{2}^{\star}\right) x \Rightarrow x=\left(A_{1} A_{1}^{\star}+A_{2} A_{2}^{\star}\right)^{n} x, \forall n=0,1,2, \ldots \\
& \Rightarrow x=A_{\infty}(x)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using relations $A D_{A}=D_{A^{*}} A$ and $A^{*} D_{A^{*}}=D_{A} A^{*}([3])$, it can be proven that:
$D_{A^{*}}=\left(I-A_{1} A_{1}^{\star}-A_{2} A_{2}^{\star}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is one to one $\Leftrightarrow D_{A}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1_{H}-A_{1}^{*} A_{1} & -A_{1}^{*} A_{2} \\ -A_{2}^{*} A_{1} & 1_{H}-A_{2}^{*} A_{2}\end{array}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is one to one.

## 3. Characterization of Taylor spectrum.

Lemma 1. Let $A=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ be a commuting 2-contraction such that $D_{A^{*}}$ is one to one. Then,
$A(x, y)=z_{1} x+z_{2} y,\left(\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2},(x, y) \in \mathcal{H}^{2}\right) \Leftrightarrow \theta_{A}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\left(D_{A}\binom{x}{y}\right)=0$.

Proof. It follows directly from relation

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta_{A}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) D_{A} & \binom{x}{y}  \tag{3.1}\\
& =D_{A^{*}}\left(1_{\mathcal{H}}-z_{1} A_{1}^{*}-z_{2} A_{2}^{*}\right)^{-1}\left[\left(z_{1} x+z_{2} y\right)-\left(A_{1} x+A_{2} y\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2. Let $A=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ be a commuting pure 2 -contraction such that $D_{A^{*}}$ is one to one, $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$ and $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Then,

$$
A^{*}(x)=\binom{\overline{z_{1}} \cdot x}{\overline{z_{2}} \cdot x} \Leftrightarrow\left(\theta_{A}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)^{*} D_{A^{*}}(x)=0 .
$$

Proof. Since in this case $D_{A^{*}}^{2}(x)=\left(1_{\mathcal{H}}-\overline{z_{1}} A_{1}-\overline{z_{2}} A_{2}\right) x$, then the necessary condition is a direct conequence of relation,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\theta_{A}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)^{*} D_{A^{*}}(x)  \tag{3.2}\\
& \quad=D_{A}\left(-\binom{A_{1}^{*} x}{A_{2}^{*} x}+\binom{\overline{z_{1}}}{\overline{z_{2}}}\left(\left(1_{H}-\overline{z_{1}} A_{1}-\overline{z_{2}} A_{2}\right)^{-1}\right) D_{A^{*}}^{2}(x)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof of the suffisant condition. Hence $\left(\theta_{A}(0,0)\right)^{*} D_{A^{*}}(x)=$ $-\binom{A_{1}^{*} x}{A_{2}^{*} x}$, one can whithout loosing the generality suppose that $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \neq$ $(0,0)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\theta_{A}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)^{*} D_{A^{*}}(x)=0 \\
& \quad \Rightarrow \quad D_{A}\left(-\binom{A_{1}^{*} x}{A_{2}^{*} x}+\binom{\overline{z_{1}}}{\overline{z_{2}}}\left(\left(1_{H}-\overline{z_{1}} A_{1}-\overline{z_{2}} A_{2}\right)^{-1}\right) D_{A^{*}}^{2}(x)\right)=0 \\
&
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-A_{1}^{*} x+\overline{z_{1}}\left(\left(1_{H}-\overline{z_{1}} A_{1}-\overline{z_{2}} A_{2}\right)^{-1}\right)\left(I-A_{1} A_{1}^{*}-A_{2} A_{2}^{*}\right) x=0 \\
-A_{2}^{*} x+\overline{z_{2}}\left(\left(1_{H}-\overline{z_{1}} A_{1}-\overline{z_{2}} A_{2}\right)^{-1}\right)\left(I-A_{1} A_{1}^{*}-A_{2} A_{2}^{*}\right) x=0
\end{array}\right. \\
& \Rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-A_{1}^{*} x+\overline{z_{1}}\left(\left(1_{H}-\overline{z_{1}} A_{1}-\overline{z_{2}} A_{2}\right)^{-1}\right)\left(I-A_{1} A_{1}^{*}-A_{2} A_{2}^{*}\right) x=0 \\
-A_{2}^{*} x+\overline{z_{2}}\left(\left(1_{H}-\overline{z_{1}} A_{1}-\overline{z_{2}} A_{2}\right)^{-1}\right)\left(I-A_{1} A_{1}^{*}-A_{2} A_{2}^{*}\right) x=0
\end{array}\right. \\
& \Rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-\overline{z_{2}} A_{1}^{*} x+\overline{z_{2} z_{1}}\left(\left(1_{H}-\overline{z_{1}} A_{1}-\overline{z_{2}} A_{2}\right)^{-1}\right)\left(I-A_{1} A_{1}^{*}-A_{2} A_{2}^{*}\right) x=0 \\
-\overline{z_{1}} A_{2}^{*} x+\overline{z_{1} z_{2}}\left(\left(1_{H}-\overline{z_{1}} A_{1}-\overline{z_{2}} A_{2}\right)^{-1}\right)\left(I-A_{1} A_{1}^{*}-A_{2} A_{2}^{*}\right) x=0
\end{array}\right. \\
& \Rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-\overline{z_{1}} A_{2}^{*} x=\overline{z_{2}} A_{1}^{*} x \\
-\overline{z_{2}} A_{1}^{*} x+\overline{z_{2} z_{1}}\left(\left(1_{H}-\overline{z_{1}} A_{1}-\overline{z_{2}} A_{2}\right)^{-1}\right)\left(I-A_{1} A_{1}^{*}-A_{2} A_{2}^{*}\right) x=0
\end{array}\right. \\
& \Rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-\overline{z_{1}} A_{2}^{*} x=\overline{z_{2}} A_{1}^{*} x+\overline{z_{2}}\left(\left(1_{H}-\overline{z_{1}} A_{1}-\overline{z_{2}} A_{2}\right)^{-1}\right)\left(\overline{z_{1}} I-\overline{z_{1}} A_{1} A_{1}^{*}-\overline{z_{2}} A_{2} A_{1}^{*}\right) x=0 \\
\Rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\overline{z_{1}} A_{2}^{*} x=\overline{z_{2}} A_{1}^{*} x \\
\overline{z_{2}}\left(\left(1_{H}-\overline{z_{1}} A_{1}-\overline{z_{2}} A_{2}\right)^{-1}\right)\left(\left(\overline{z_{1}} I-A_{1}^{*}\right)\right) x=0
\end{array}\right. \\
\Rightarrow\left(\left(\overline{\left.\left.z_{1} I-A_{1}^{*}\right)\right) x=0 \Rightarrow A_{1}^{*} x=\overline{z_{1}} x .}\right.\right.
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand,

1. $\overline{z_{1}} A_{2}^{*} x=\overline{z_{2}} A_{1}^{*} x, A_{1}^{*} x=\overline{z_{1}} x$ and $z_{1} \neq 0 \Rightarrow A_{2}^{*} x=\overline{z_{2}} x$.
2. Putting $A_{1}^{*} x=\overline{z_{1}} x$ and $z_{1}=0$ in the relation

$$
-A_{2}^{*} x+\overline{z_{2}}\left(\left(1_{H}-\overline{z_{1}} A_{1}-\overline{z_{2}} A_{2}\right)^{-1}\right)\left(I-A_{1} A_{1}^{*}-A_{2} A_{2}^{*}\right) x=0
$$

and multiplying by $\left(1_{H}-\overline{z_{2}} A_{2}\right)^{-1}$, one obtains

$$
\left(1_{H}-\overline{z_{2}} A_{2}\right) A_{2}^{*} x=\overline{z_{2}}\left(I-A_{2} A_{2}^{*}\right) x .
$$

This last relation is equivalent to $A_{2}^{*} x=\overline{z_{2}} x$.

Proposition 1. Let $A=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ be a commuting pure 2-contraction such that $D_{A^{*}}$ is one to one. Then, $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \sigma_{T}^{(1)}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ if and only if equation $\theta_{A}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) X=0$ admits at least two nontrivial solutions $D_{A}\left(X_{1}\right)$ and $D_{A}\left(X_{2}\right)$ such that, $X_{1}=\binom{x}{0}, \quad X_{2}=\binom{0}{x}, x \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. One has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) & \in \sigma_{T}^{(1)}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists x \in \mathcal{H}: x \neq 0,\left(A_{1}-z_{1}\right) x=\left(A_{2}-z_{2}\right) x=0 \\
& \Leftrightarrow \exists x \in \mathcal{H}: x \neq 0, A_{1}(x)=z_{1} \cdot x \text { and } A_{2}(x)=z_{2} \cdot x \\
& \Leftrightarrow \exists x \in \mathcal{H}: x \neq 0, A\binom{x}{0}=z_{1} \cdot x+z_{2} \cdot 0 \text { and } A\binom{0}{x}=z_{1} \cdot 0+z_{2} \cdot x \\
& \Leftrightarrow \theta_{A}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\left(D_{A}\binom{x}{0}\right)=0 \quad \text { and } \theta_{A}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\left(D_{A}\binom{0}{x}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

To end the proof, it is sufficient to remark that

$$
x \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow D_{A}\binom{x}{0} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow D_{A}\binom{0}{x} \neq 0
$$

Proposition 2. Let $A=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ be a commuting pure 2-contraction such that $D_{A^{*}}$ is one to one. Then, $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \sigma_{T}^{(2)}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ if and only if the equation $\theta_{A}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) Y=0$ admits at least one non trivial solution $Y=D_{A}(X)$ such that $X \neq\binom{\left(A_{1}-z_{1}\right) h}{\left(z_{2}-A_{2}\right) h}, \forall h \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. One has,

$$
\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \sigma_{T}^{(2)}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right) \Leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\exists\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{H}^{2}: \quad\left(A_{1}-z_{1}\right) x_{1}-\left(A_{2}-z_{2}\right) x_{2}=0 \\
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \neq\left(\left(A_{1}-z_{1}\right) h,\left(A_{2}-z_{2}\right) h\right) \forall h \in \mathcal{H} \\
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \neq(0,0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

It means that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \sigma_{T}^{(2)} & \left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right) \Leftrightarrow \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
\exists\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{H}^{2}: \quad A_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+A_{2}\left(-x_{2}\right)=z_{1} \cdot x_{1}+z_{2} \cdot\left(-x_{2}\right) \\
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \neq\left(\left(A_{1}-z_{1}\right) h,\left(A_{2}-z_{2}\right) h\right) ; \forall h \in \mathcal{H} \\
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \neq(0,0)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

According to Lemma 1, one has finally

$$
\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \sigma_{T}^{(2)}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right) \Leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\exists\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{H}^{2}: \theta_{A}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\left(D_{A}\binom{x_{1}}{-x_{2}}\right)=0 \\
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \neq\left(\left(A_{1}-z_{1}\right) h,\left(A_{2}-z_{2}\right) h\right) ; \forall h \in \mathcal{H} \\
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \neq(0,0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proposition 3. Let $A=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ be a commuting 2-contraction such that $D_{A^{*}}$ is one to one and $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$. Assume that equation $\left(\theta_{A}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)^{*} D_{A^{*}}(y)$ $=0$ admits at least one non trivial solution. Then,

$$
\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \sigma_{T}^{(3)}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)
$$

Proof. Suppose that $\left(\theta_{A}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)^{*} D_{A^{*}}(y)=0$ admits at least one non trivial solution $y$. According to Lemma 2, it means that

$$
A^{*}(y)=\binom{\overline{z_{1}} \cdot y}{\overline{z_{2}} \cdot y}
$$

Thus, for every $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{H}^{2}$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left\langle A^{*}(y)-\binom{\overline{z_{1}} \cdot y}{\overline{z_{2}} \cdot y},\binom{x_{2}}{-x_{1}}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{2}} \\
& =\left\langle\binom{\left(A_{1}^{*}-\overline{z_{1}}\right) \cdot y}{\left(A_{2}^{*}-\overline{z_{2}}\right) \cdot y},\binom{x_{2}}{-x_{1}}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{2}} \\
& =\left\langle\left(A_{1}^{*}-\overline{z_{1}}\right) \cdot y, x_{2}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}+\left\langle\left(A_{2}^{*}-\overline{z_{2}}\right) \cdot y,-x_{1}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\
& =\left\langle y,\left(A_{1}-z_{1}\right) x_{2}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}-\left\langle\cdot y,\left(A_{2}-z_{2}\right) x_{1}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\
& =\left\langle y,\left(A_{1}-z_{1}\right) x_{2}-\left(A_{2}-z_{2}\right) x_{1}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
y \notin \operatorname{Ran} D_{\left(A_{1}-z_{1}, A_{2}-z_{2}\right)}^{1}
$$

and finally

$$
\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \sigma_{T}^{(3)}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)
$$

## 4. Taylor spectrum and involutive automorphisms of unit

ball. In this section we invetigate the Taylor spectrum under action of involutive automorphisms of unit ball $\mathbb{D}^{2}$. Such automorphisms are defined in [8] by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{\lambda}(z)=\lambda-\frac{\sqrt{1-\|\lambda\|^{2}}}{1-\langle z, \lambda\rangle}\left(z-\left(1-\sqrt{1-\|\lambda\|^{2}}\right) \frac{\langle z, \lambda\rangle}{\|\lambda\|^{2}} \cdot \lambda\right) \\
& \lambda \in \mathbb{D}^{2}, \lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Connection between automorphisms of unit ball and multicontractions has been made in [2] and [4] where some very interesting properties have been established. In particular, if $\Phi_{\lambda}$ is an involutive automorphism of unit ball and $A=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ is a commutative 2-contraction then, (see [2], sections 4 and 5) one can define operator

$$
\Phi_{\lambda}(A)=\Lambda-D_{\Lambda^{*}}\left(1_{H}-A \Lambda^{*}\right)^{-1} A D_{\Lambda}
$$

where the operator $\Lambda=\left(\lambda_{1} \cdot 1_{H}, \lambda_{2} \cdot 1_{H}\right)$ is defined from $H^{2}$ into $\mathcal{H}$ by :

$$
\Lambda\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\lambda_{1} \cdot x_{1}+\lambda_{2} \cdot x_{2}
$$

Proposition 4 and Theorem 1 below summarise important for us results obtained in [2] and [4].

Proposition 4. Let $\Phi_{\lambda}$ an involutive automorphism of unit ball and $A=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ a commutative 2-contraction. Then, $\Phi_{\lambda}(A)$ is a commutative 2contraction such that,
(4.1) $I-\Phi_{\lambda}(A)^{*} \Phi_{\lambda}(A)=D_{\Lambda}\left(1_{\mathcal{H}}-A^{*} \Lambda\right)^{-1}\left(I-A^{*} A\right)\left(1_{\mathcal{H}}-\Lambda^{*} A\right)^{-1} D_{\Lambda}$,
(4.2) $I-\Phi_{\lambda}(A) \Phi_{\lambda}(A)^{*}=D_{\Lambda^{*}}\left(1_{\mathcal{H}}-A \Lambda^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(I-A A^{*}\right)\left(1_{\mathcal{H}}-\Lambda A^{*}\right)^{-1} D_{\Lambda^{*}}$.

Theorem 1. Let $\Phi_{\lambda}$ be an involutive automorphism of unit ball and $A=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ a commutative 2-contraction. Then,

1. Operators $\Omega: Ð_{\Phi_{\lambda}(A)} \rightarrow D_{A}$ and $\Omega_{*}: Đ_{\Phi_{\lambda}(A)^{*}} \rightarrow Ð_{A^{*}}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega\left(D_{\Phi_{\lambda}(A)}(X)\right) & =D_{A}\left(1_{\mathcal{H}}-\Lambda^{*} A\right)^{-1} D_{\Lambda}(X) \\
\Omega_{*}\left(D_{\Phi_{\lambda}(A)^{*}}(X)\right) & =D_{A^{*}}\left(1_{\mathcal{H}}-\Lambda A^{*}\right)^{-1} D_{\Lambda^{*}}(X)
\end{aligned}
$$

are unitaries.
2. $\theta_{\Phi_{\lambda}(A)}$ and $\theta_{A}$ are connected by the relation

$$
\Omega_{*} \theta_{\Phi_{\lambda}(A)}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\theta_{A}\left(\Phi_{\lambda}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right) \Omega
$$

It can be shown that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1_{\mathcal{H}}-A \Lambda^{*}\right)^{-1}=\left(1_{\mathcal{H}}-\overline{z_{1}} A_{1}-\overline{z_{2}} A_{2}\right)^{-1} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.4) $\quad\left(1_{\mathcal{H}^{2}}-\Lambda^{*} A\right)^{-1}=$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\left(1_{\mathcal{H}}-\overline{\lambda_{1}} A_{1}-\overline{\lambda_{2}} A_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(1_{\mathcal{H}}-\overline{\lambda_{2}} A_{2}\right) & \overline{\lambda_{2}} A_{1} \cdot\left(1_{\mathcal{H}}-\overline{\lambda_{1}} A_{1}-\overline{\lambda_{2}} A_{2}\right)^{-1} \\
\overline{\lambda_{1}} A_{2} \cdot\left(1_{\mathcal{H}}-\overline{\lambda_{1}} A_{1}-\overline{\lambda_{2}} A_{2}\right)^{-1} & \left(1_{\mathcal{H}}-\overline{\lambda_{1}} A_{1}-\overline{\lambda_{2}} A_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(1_{\mathcal{H}}-\overline{\lambda_{1}} A_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{\Lambda}=\frac{1}{\left|\lambda_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|\lambda_{2}\right|^{2}}  \tag{4.5}\\
& \times\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\left|\lambda_{2}\right|^{2}+\left|\lambda_{1}\right|^{2} \sqrt{1-\left|\lambda_{2}\right|^{2}-\left|\lambda_{1}\right|^{2}} & \overline{\lambda_{1}} \lambda_{2}\left(\sqrt{1-\left|\lambda_{2}\right|^{2}-\left|\lambda_{1}\right|^{2}}-1\right) \\
\lambda_{1} \overline{\lambda_{2}}\left(\sqrt{1-\left|\lambda_{2}\right|^{2}-\left|\lambda_{1}\right|^{2}}-1\right) & \left|\lambda_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|\lambda_{2}\right|^{2} \sqrt{1-\left|\lambda_{2}\right|^{2}-\left|\lambda_{1}\right|^{2}}
\end{array}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Using (4.3), one can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\lambda}(A)=\left(B_{1}(\lambda), B_{2}(\lambda)\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{1}(\lambda)= & \lambda_{1} \cdot 1_{\mathcal{H}}-\sqrt{1-\left|\lambda_{2}\right|^{2}-\left|\lambda_{1}\right|^{2}}\left(1_{H}-\overline{\lambda_{1}} A_{1}-\overline{\lambda_{2}} A_{2}\right)^{-1} \\
& \times\left\{A_{1}\left(\left|\lambda_{2}\right|^{2}+\left|\lambda_{1}\right|^{2} \sqrt{1-\left|\lambda_{2}\right|^{2}-\left|\lambda_{1}\right|^{2}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\lambda_{1} \overline{\lambda_{2}} A_{2}\left(\sqrt{1-\left|\lambda_{2}\right|^{2}-\left|\lambda_{1}\right|^{2}}-1\right)\right\} \\
B_{2}(\lambda)= & \lambda_{2} \cdot 1_{\mathcal{H}}-\sqrt{1-\left|\lambda_{2}\right|^{2}-\left|\lambda_{1}\right|^{2}}\left(1_{H}-\overline{\lambda_{1}} A_{1}-\overline{\lambda_{2}} A_{2}\right)^{-1} \\
& \times\left\{\overline{\lambda_{1}} \lambda_{2} A_{1}\left(\sqrt{1-\left|\lambda_{2}\right|^{2}-\left|\lambda_{1}\right|^{2}}-1\right)\right. \\
& \left.+A_{2}\left(\left|\lambda_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|\lambda_{2}\right|^{2} \sqrt{1-\left|\lambda_{2}\right|^{2}-\left|\lambda_{1}\right|^{2}}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Formulas (4.3), (4.4), and (4.5) allow us to find the explicit forms of operators $\Phi_{\lambda}(A), \Omega$ and $\Omega_{*}$. On the other hand, from (4.2) follows that if $D_{A^{*}}$ is one to one, then $D_{\Phi_{\lambda}(A)^{*}}$ is also one to one. Using Theorem 1, one can obtain the following caracterization for Taylor spectrum of $\Phi_{\lambda}(A)$ in terms of solutions of equations

$$
\theta_{A}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) D_{A}(X)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\theta_{A}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)^{*} D_{A^{*}}(y)=0
$$

Proposition 5. $\Phi_{\lambda}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \sigma_{T}^{(1)}\left(\Phi_{\lambda}(A)\right)$ if and only if equation $\theta_{A}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) D_{A}(X)=0$ admits at least two nontrivial solutions $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ such that,

$$
X_{1}=\left(1_{\mathcal{H}}-\Lambda^{*} A\right)^{-1} D_{\Lambda}\binom{y}{0}, \quad X_{2}=\left(1_{\mathcal{H}}-\Lambda^{*} A\right)^{-1} D_{\Lambda}\binom{0}{y}, \quad y \in \mathcal{H}
$$

Proof. According to Proposition $3, \Phi_{\lambda}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \sigma_{T}^{(1)}\left(\Phi_{\lambda}(A)\right)$ if and only if there exists a nonnul vector $y \in \mathcal{H}$ such that,

$$
Y_{1}=\binom{y}{0}, \quad Y_{2}=\binom{0}{y}
$$

are solutions of equation

$$
\theta_{\Phi_{\lambda}(A)}\left(\Phi_{\lambda}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right) D_{\Phi_{\lambda}(A)}(Y)=0
$$

Using Theorema 1 and the fact that $\Phi_{\lambda}$ is involutive, it is equivalent to the existence of a nonnul vector $y \in \mathcal{H}$ such that,

$$
Y_{1}=\binom{y}{0}, \quad Y_{2}=\binom{0}{y}
$$

are solutions of equation

$$
\Omega_{*}^{-1} \theta_{A}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \Omega D_{\Phi_{\lambda}(A)}(Y)=\Omega_{*}^{-1} \theta_{A}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) D_{A}\left(\left(1_{\mathcal{H}}-\Lambda^{*} A\right)^{-1} D_{\Lambda}(Y)\right)=0
$$

which is equivalent to the equation

$$
\theta_{A}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) D_{A}\left(\left(1_{\mathcal{H}}-\Lambda^{*} A\right)^{-1} D_{\Lambda}(Y)\right)=0
$$

Corollary 1. Let $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$. Then, $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \sigma_{T}^{(1)}(A)$ and $\Phi_{\lambda}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ $\in \sigma_{T}^{(1)}\left(\Phi_{\lambda}(A)\right)$ if and only if there exists two nonnul vectors $x$ and $y$ in $\mathcal{H}$ such that vectors:

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{1}=\binom{x}{0}, \quad X_{2}=\binom{0}{x} \\
Y_{1}=\left(1_{\mathcal{H}}-\Lambda^{*} A\right)^{-1} D_{\Lambda}\binom{y}{0} \quad \text { and } \quad Y_{2}=\left(1_{\mathcal{H}}-\Lambda^{*} A\right)^{-1} D_{\Lambda}\binom{0}{y}
\end{gathered}
$$

are both solutions of equation

$$
\theta_{A}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) D_{A}(X)=0
$$

Proposition 6. Let $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$. Assume that equation $\left(\theta_{A}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)^{*} D_{A^{*}}(y)$ $=0$ admits at least one non trivial solution. Then,

$$
\Phi_{\lambda}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \sigma_{T}^{(3)}\left(\Phi_{\lambda}(A)\right)
$$

Proof. Note at first that operator $D_{\Lambda^{*}}$ is invertible. Since $\Phi_{\lambda}$ is involutive then, according Theorem 1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\theta_{A}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)^{*} D_{A^{*}}(y)=0 \\
& \quad \Leftrightarrow\left(\theta_{A}\left(\Phi_{\lambda}\left(\Phi_{\lambda}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)\right)\right)^{*} D_{A^{*}}(y)=0 \\
& \quad \Leftrightarrow\left(\Omega_{*} \theta_{\Phi_{\lambda}(A)}\left(\Phi_{\lambda}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right) \Omega^{-1}\right)^{*} D_{A^{*}}(y)=0 \\
& \quad \Leftrightarrow \Omega\left(\theta_{\Phi_{\lambda}(A)} \Phi_{\lambda}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)^{*} \Omega_{*}^{-1} D_{A^{*}}(y)=0 \\
& \quad \Leftrightarrow\left(\theta_{\Phi_{\lambda}(A)} \Phi_{\lambda}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)^{*} \Omega_{*}^{-1} D_{A^{*}}(y)=0 \\
& \quad \Leftrightarrow\left(\theta_{\Phi_{\lambda}(A)} \Phi_{\lambda}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)^{*} \Omega_{*}^{-1} D_{A^{*}}\left(\left(1_{\mathcal{H}}-\Lambda A^{*}\right)^{-1} D_{\Lambda^{*}} D_{\Lambda^{*}}^{-1}\left(1_{\mathcal{H}}-\Lambda A^{*}\right) y\right)=0 \\
& \quad \Leftrightarrow\left(\theta_{\Phi_{\lambda}(A)} \Phi_{\lambda}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)^{*} D_{\Phi_{\lambda}(A)^{*}}\left(D_{\Lambda^{*}}^{-1}\left(1_{\mathcal{H}}-\Lambda A^{*}\right) y\right)=0 \\
& \quad \Leftrightarrow\left(\theta_{\Phi_{\lambda}(A)} \Phi_{\lambda}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)^{*} D_{\Phi_{\lambda}(A)^{*}}(X)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
X=D_{\Lambda^{*}}^{-1}\left(1_{\mathcal{H}}-\Lambda A^{*}\right) y
$$

Since $y$ is nonnul then, $X=D_{\Lambda^{*}}^{-1}\left(1_{H}-\Lambda A^{*}\right) y$ is also nonnul and according Proposition 3, it follows that

$$
\Phi_{\lambda}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \sigma_{T}^{(3)}\left(\Phi_{\lambda}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)\right)
$$

Proposition 7. $\Phi_{\lambda}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \sigma_{T}^{(2)}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ if and only if the equation $\theta_{A}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) D_{A}(X)=0$ admits at least one solution $X$ such that

$$
X \neq\binom{ B_{1}(\lambda) h-w_{1} \cdot h}{B_{2}(\lambda) h-w_{2} . h}, \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}
$$

where $\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=\Phi_{\lambda}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$.

Proof. It follows immeditely from Proposition 2.
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