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## 1. Introduction

Definition 1. The polynomials $\left\{H_{n}(z)\right\}_{n=0}^{+\infty}$ defined by equalities

$$
H_{n}(z)=(-1)^{n} \exp \left(z^{2}\right) \frac{d^{n}}{d z^{n}}\left\{\exp \left(-z^{2}\right)\right\}, n=0,1,2, \ldots ; z \in \mathbb{C},
$$

where $\mathbb{C}$ is the complex plane, are called Hermite polynomials.
Let $\lambda(z)=\sqrt{2} \exp \left(z^{2} / 2\right)$ and $c_{n}(z)=(2 n / e)^{n / 2} \cos \left[(2 n+1)^{1 / 2} z-n \pi / 2\right]$. Then the asymptotic formula for the Hermite polynomials $(n \geq 1)$ [1, Chapter III, (2.2)] yield that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}(z)=\lambda(z) c_{n}(z)\left\{1+h_{n}(z)\right\}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{h_{n}(z)\right\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ are holomorphic functions in the open set $G=\mathbb{C} \backslash(-\infty,+\infty)$ and

$$
h_{n}(z)=O\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right) \quad(n \rightarrow+\infty)
$$

uniformly on every compact subset of $G$.
Definition 2. The series of the kind

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_{n} H_{n}(z), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we shall call Hermite series.
Let $0<\tau<+\infty$ and define $S(\tau)=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|\Im z|<\tau\}$ and $S^{*}(\tau)=$ $\mathbb{C} \backslash \overline{S(\tau)}$. We assume $S(0)=\emptyset, S(\infty)=\mathbb{C}, S^{*}(0)=\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$ and $S^{*}(\infty)=\emptyset$. Then:

Theorem 1. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\max \left\{0,-\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \sup (2 n+1)^{-1 / 2} \log \left|(2 n / e)^{n / 2} a_{n}\right|\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the series (1.2) is absolutely uniformly convergent on every compact subset of the set $S(\tau)$ and diverges in $S^{*}(\tau)$ ([1], Theorem IV.3.1, b)).

Remark 1. The equality (1.3) can be regarded as a formula of CauchyHadamard type for the series of kind (1.2).

Remark 2. In the proof of Theorem 1 it is used the asymptotic formula (1.1).

In [2] we prove the following
Theorem 2. Let $z_{0} \in G$ and $a_{n} H_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)=O\left(n^{p}\right)(n \rightarrow+\infty)$, where $p \geq-1$. Then the series (1.2) is absolutely convergent in the strip $S\left(\left|\Im z_{0}\right|\right)$.

Remark 3. If the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied, then the series (1.2) is absolutely uniformly convergent on every compact subset of the strip $S\left(\left|\Im z_{0}\right|\right)$ and the sum of this series is a complex function holomorphic in $S\left(\left|\Im z_{0}\right|\right)$.

## 2. The main result

The basic result is given by the following
Theorem 3. Let $z_{0} \in G$ and $a_{n} H_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)=o\left(n^{p}\right)(n \rightarrow+\infty)$, where $p$ is a nonnegative integer. If $f(z)$ is the sum of the series (1.2) in the strip $S\left(\tau_{0}\right)$ with $\tau_{0}=\left|\Im z_{0}\right|$ and $f(z)$ has a pole of order $m$ on $\partial S\left(\tau_{0}\right)$, then $m \leq 2 p+1$.

Proof. Suppose that there is a point $\zeta \in \partial S\left(\tau_{0}\right)$ such that the function $f(z)$ has a pole at $\zeta$ of order $m$ and $m>2 p+1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \geq 2 p+2 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the Hermite polynomials have no zeros outside real line, we can write that

$$
g(z)=(z-\zeta)^{m} f(z)=(z-\zeta)^{m} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_{n} H_{n}\left(z_{0}\right) \frac{H_{n}(z)}{H_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)}
$$

where $z \in S\left(\tau_{0}\right) \backslash(-\infty,+\infty)$. Hence,
$|g(z)| \leq\left|(z-\zeta)^{m} \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_{n} H_{n}(z)\right|+\left|(z-\zeta)^{m} \sum_{n=N+1}^{+\infty} a_{n} H_{n}(\zeta) \frac{H_{n}(z)}{H_{n}(\zeta)}\right|=g_{N, 1}(z)+g_{N, 2}(z)$
whatever the non-negative integer $N$ can be.
Let $\varepsilon>0$. Then it follows that exists a positive $N_{0}$ such that
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$$
\left|a_{n} H_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|<\varepsilon n^{p}
$$

for $n>N_{0}$. Then for $N>N_{0}$ we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{N, 2}(z) \leq \varepsilon|z-\zeta|^{m} \sum_{n=N+1}^{+\infty} n^{p}\left|\frac{H_{n}(z)}{H_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)}\right| \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\max \left(0, \tau_{0}-1\right)<\delta<\tau_{0}$ and $D(\zeta ; \delta)=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \Re z=\Re \zeta\} \cap\{z \in$ $\left.S\left(\tau_{0}\right)-(-\infty,+\infty):|\Im z| \geq \delta\right\}$. Since $\overline{D(\zeta ; \delta)}$ is a compact subset of $G$, the asymptotic formula (1.1) yields that

$$
\frac{H_{n}(z)}{H_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)}=O\left\{\exp \left(-\sqrt{2 n+1}\left(\tau_{0}-|\Im z|\right)\right\}, \quad n \rightarrow+\infty\right.
$$

uniformly on $D(\zeta ; \delta)$. Then,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{n=N+1}^{+\infty} n^{p}\left|\frac{H_{n}(z)}{H_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)}\right|=O\left\{\sum_{n=N+1}^{+\infty} n^{p} \exp \left[-\sqrt{2 n+1}\left(\tau_{0}-|\Im z|\right)\right]\right\} \\
=O\left(\int_{1}^{+\infty} t^{p} \exp \left[-\left(\tau_{0}-|\Im z|\right) \sqrt{2 t+1}\right] \mathrm{d} t\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

It is not difficult to prove that

$$
\int_{1}^{+\infty} t^{p} \exp \left[-\left(\tau_{0}-|\Im z|\right) \sqrt{2 t+1}\right] \mathrm{d} t \leq M\left(\tau_{o}-|\Im z|\right)^{-2 p-2}
$$

where $M$ is a constant not depending of $N$. Hence,

$$
\sum_{n=N+1}^{+\infty} n^{p}\left|\frac{H_{n}(z)}{H_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)}\right| \leq K\left(\tau_{o}-|\Im z|\right)^{-2 p-2}, \quad z \in D(\zeta ; \delta)
$$

where $K$ is a constant not depending of $N$. Then from (2.2) it follows that

$$
g_{N, 2}(z) \leq \varepsilon K|z-\zeta|^{m}\left(\tau_{0}-|\Im z|\right)^{-2 p-2} .
$$

Obviously, $|z-\zeta|^{m}=\left(\tau_{0}-|\Im z|\right)^{m}$ for $z \in D(\zeta ; \delta)$. Using (2.1) and the inequality $\tau_{0}-|\Im z|<1$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{N, 2}(z) \leq \varepsilon K \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $N>N_{0}$ and $z \in D(\zeta ; \delta)$. Let such $N$ be fixed, then there exists a positive constant $L$ such that

$$
g_{N, 1}(z) \leq L\left(\tau_{0}-|\Im z|\right)^{m}
$$

for $z \in D(\zeta ; \delta)$. Moreover let $\left(\tau_{0}-|\Im z|\right)^{m}<\varepsilon$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{N, 1}(z) \leq \varepsilon L \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the inequalities (2.3) and (2.4) it follows that

$$
|g(z)| \leq \varepsilon(K+L)
$$

for $z \in D(\zeta ; \delta)$ and sufficiently closed to $\zeta$. This means that

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow \zeta}(z-\zeta)^{m} f(z)=0, \quad z \in D(\zeta ; \delta)
$$

However, this contradicts the assumption that the function $f(z)$ has a pole of order $m$ at the point $\zeta$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3 .

Corollary. Let $z_{0} \in G, \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} a_{n} H_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)=0$ and $f(z)$ is the sum of (1.2) in the strip $S\left(\tau_{0}\right)$, where $\tau_{0}=\left|\Im z_{0}\right|$. If the function $f(z)$ has a pole on $\partial S\left(\tau_{0}\right)$, then it is a simple pole.

Finally we shall note that the following assertion holds:
Theorem 4. Let $z_{0} \in G$ and $a_{n} H_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)=O\left(n^{p}\right)(n \rightarrow+\infty)$, where $p$ is a nonnegative integer. If $f(z)$ is the sum of the series (1.2) in the strip $S\left(\tau_{0}\right)$ with $\tau_{0}=\left|\Im z_{0}\right|$ and $f(z)$ has a pole of order $m$ on $\partial S\left(\tau_{0}\right)$, then $m \leq 2 p+2$.
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