

ИНСТИТУТ ПО МАТЕМАТИКА С ИЗЧИСЛИТЕЛЕН ЦЕНТЪР  
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS WITH COMPUTER CENTER

# Densely Two-Valued Metric Projections in Uniformly Convex Banach Spaces

N. V. Zhivkov

БЪЛГАРСКА  
АКАДЕМИЯ  
НА НАУКИТЕ



BULGARIAN  
ACADEMY  
OF SCIENCES

Preprint

May 1994

No 1

Department of Operations Research

# Densely Two-Valued Metric Projections in Uniformly Convex Banach Spaces

N.V. Zhivkov

## Abstract

For every uniformly convex Banach space  $X$  with  $\dim X \geq 2$  there is a residual set  $\mathcal{U}$  in the Hausdorff metric space  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  of bounded and closed sets in  $X$  such that a metric projection generated by a set from  $\mathcal{U}$  is two-valued and upper semicontinuous on a dense and everywhere continual subset of  $X$ .

For any two closed and separated subsets  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  of  $X$  the points on the equidistant hypersurface which have best approximations both in  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  form a dense  $G_\delta$  set in the induced topology.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space. A non-empty set  $M \subset X$  generates a distance function  $d(x, M) = \inf\{\|x - z\| : z \in M\}$  and a set-valued mapping

$$P(x, M) = \{y \in M : \|x - y\| = d(x, M)\}$$

called metric projection or nearest point mapping.

In [St] Stechkin began the study of generic properties of metric projections, i.e. properties satisfied for the points of residual sets. Among the other results he proved that in a uniformly convex Banach space  $X$  any metric projection generated by a closed and non-empty subset is single-valued and upper semicontinuous (u.s.c.) at the points of a dense and  $G_\delta$  subset of  $X$ . Later a lot of papers investigating generic properties of metric projections, such as existence of a best approximation, uniqueness and well-posedness appeared [La], [Ko], [Bo], [FZ], [BP], [BF]. It has to be mentioned a characterization result due to Lau and Konyagin: A Banach space  $X$  is reflexive

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 41A65, 54E52. Secondary 46B20.  
*Key words and phrases*: metric projection, dense  $G_\delta$  set, uniformly convex, Hausdorff space of sets.

Research partially supported by the National Foundation for Scientific Research at the Bulgarian Ministry of Science and Education.

and satisfies the Kadec-Klee property if and only if arbitrary metric projection generated by a closed subset of  $X$  has non-empty images on a dense  $G_\delta$  subset of  $X$ .

In [Za] Zamfirescu showed that a generically single-valued metric projection might be densely multivalued, as well, and that this is typical for compacta in the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  whenever  $n \geq 2$ : In the Hausdorff metric space of compacta  $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  most compacta (in sense of Baire category) generate metric projections which are multivalued on everywhere continual sets. A set is called everywhere continual in  $X$  if its intersection with any non-empty open subset of  $X$  contains continuum many elements.

The result of Zamfirescu initiated another line of research. For separable strictly convex Banach space  $X$  De Blasi and Myjak proved several analogous theorems: In the Hausdorff space  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  of bounded and closed sets most sets generate densely non-well-posed metric projections [BM1], in the spaces  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ , and  $\mathcal{S}(X)$  of compacta, continua and starshaped continua respectively most projections are densely multivalued [BM2], [BKM] (with Kenderov).

A subsequent extension of a result of this type concerning  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  for arbitrary strictly convex Banach space  $X$  is obtained in [Zh].

In this paper we consider generic properties of metric projections generated by closed subsets of uniformly convex Banach spaces and prove the following results:

**Theorem 4.1** Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be two closed and separated sets in the uniformly convex Banach space  $X$ . Then there is a dense  $G_\delta$  subset  $\Gamma$  of the equidistant hypersurface such that the metric projections  $P(\cdot, M_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$  are single-valued and u.s.c. in  $X$  at all points of  $\Gamma$ .

**Theorem 5.1** For every uniformly convex Banach space  $X$  there is a residual set  $\mathcal{U}$  in  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  such that a metric projection generated by  $M \in \mathcal{U}$  is two-valued and upper semicontinuous on a dense and everywhere continual subset of  $X$ .

The latter result gives a partial extension of De Blasi–Myjak’s theorem from [BM1] in two directions: First, it shows that the separability assumption can be relaxed and second, the most metric projections associated with sets from  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  densely have non-empty multivalued images. In corollary 5.2 we make Zamfirescu’s theorem more precise by showing that the images of the metric projections generated by the most compacta in a finite-dimensional strictly convex spaces contain exactly two different elements.

The paper consists of five sections including the present one. In the next section some preliminaries are given. Section 3 contains all auxiliary results. Section 4 deals with equidistant approximations and the final section contains the main result.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $X$  be a uniformly convex Banach space of dimension  $\dim X \geq 2$ , i.e. there exists a continuous non-decreasing function (modulus of convexity)  $\delta : [0, 2] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  for the closed unit ball  $B$  of  $X$  such that  $0 = \delta(0) < \delta(\varepsilon)$  whenever  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $2^{-1}(x + y) + z \in B$  whenever  $x, y \in B$  and  $\|z\| \leq \delta(\|x - y\|)$ . We note that this definition of modulus of convexity differs from the original (see [LT]), but it is more suitable for our purposes. For reasons of purely technical nature a modulus of convexity  $\delta(\cdot)$  will be referred as a reduced convexity modulus if there is a modulus of convexity  $\delta' : [0, 2] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\delta(2\varepsilon) = \delta'(\varepsilon)$ . A convexity modulus  $\delta$  satisfies the trivial inequality  $\delta(\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon/2$ , and if  $\delta$  is a reduced convexity modulus then  $\delta(\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon/4$ .

For  $x \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the open (respectively closed) ball with center  $x$  and radius  $\varepsilon$  is denoted by  $B(x, \varepsilon)$  (resp.  $B[x, \varepsilon]$ ) and  $S[x, \varepsilon]$  is the sphere with same center and radius. The closed ball  $B[\theta, r]$  centered at the origin is denoted for convenience by  $rB$  or  $B[r]$  and the sphere  $S[\theta, r]$  by  $rS$  or  $S[r]$ . Also, the symbol  $B(M, \varepsilon)$ , where  $M$  is a set, stands for  $\cup_{x \in M} B(x, \varepsilon)$ . The Hausdorff metric we denote by  $H$  and the balls in  $B(X)$  are denoted by the letter  $\mathcal{O}$  instead of  $B$ . For arbitrary  $x, y \in X$  and  $M, N \subset X$  the inequality holds

$$|d(x, M) - d(y, N)| \leq \|x - y\| + H(M, N). \quad (1)$$

A line segment with end-points  $x, y \in X$  is denoted by  $[x, y]$  and  $(x, y)$  means  $[x, y] \setminus \{x, y\}$ . For a subset  $M$  of  $X$  we denote by  $\text{int}M$ ,  $\text{bd}M$ ,  $\text{co}M$ ,  $\text{diam}M$  and  $\text{card}M$  its interior, boundary, convex hull, diameter and cardinality respectively. If  $M_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are sets and  $\lambda$  is a real number then  $M_1 + \lambda M_2$  substitutes the set  $\{x + \lambda y : x \in M_1, y \in M_2\}$ .

Given a non-empty set  $M \subset X$ . A set  $N \subset M$  is a  $\varepsilon$ -net for  $M$  if

- (i)  $\forall x \in M \setminus N \quad \exists y \in N \quad \|x - y\| < \varepsilon$ ,
- (ii)  $\forall y \in N \quad \forall z \in N \quad y \neq z \implies \|y - z\| \geq \varepsilon$ .

It is a consequence of Zorn's lemma that for every nonvoid set  $M$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\varepsilon$ -net  $N$  of  $M$ . To verify it consider the family  $\mathcal{Z}$  of all subsets of  $M$ , ordered by inclusion and satisfying condition (ii). A maximal element  $N$  in  $\mathcal{Z}$  satisfies (i) too, and  $N$  is a  $\varepsilon$ -net.

## 3. Lemmas

Throughout this section  $X$  is supposed to be uniformly convex with  $\dim X \geq 2$  and  $\delta$  stands for a modulus of convexity.

**Lemma 1.** For every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 2)$  and  $x \in X \setminus B$  it follows

$$\text{diam} B[x, d(x, B) + \delta(\varepsilon)] \cap B < \varepsilon.$$

**Proof:** Assume the contrary: There are  $y_n, z_n \in B[x, d(x, B) + \delta(\varepsilon)] \cap B$  such that  $(\|y_n - z_n\|)$  is an increasing sequence and  $\lim \|y_n - z_n\| \geq \varepsilon$ . Obviously,  $B[(y_n + z_n)/2, \delta(\|y_n - z_n\|)] \subset B$ , whence

$$\|(y_n + z_n)/2 - x\| \geq d(x, B) + \delta(\|y_n - z_n\|).$$

On the other hand, the uniform convexity of  $B[x, d(x, B) + \delta(\varepsilon)]$  entails existence of a non-decreasing convergent sequence  $(\alpha_n)$  such that

$$\|(y_n + z_n)/2 - x\| \leq d(x, B) + \delta(\varepsilon) + \alpha_n.$$

Now, having in mind continuity of  $\delta$  [Gu] we conclude  $\delta(\varepsilon) \leq \delta(\varepsilon) - \alpha$  where  $\alpha = \lim \alpha_n$ , but the last inequality contradicts  $\alpha > 0$ .

**Lemma 2.** Suppose  $B[x_1, r_1]$  and  $B[x_2, r_2]$  are two balls (in a strictly convex space) such that  $\max\{r_1, r_2\} \leq \|x_1 - x_2\| < r_1 + r_2$ . Suppose  $\pi$  is a two-dimensional plane through  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  and denote

$$\eta = r_1 + r_2 - \|x_1 - x_2\|, \quad \{y_1, y_2\} = \pi \cap S[x_1, r_1] \cap S[x_2, r_2].$$

Then  $\|y_1 - y_2\| > \eta$ .

**Proof:** Denote

$$\{z\} = [x_1, x_2] \cap [y_1, y_2], \quad \{u_1\} = [x_1, x_2] \cap S[x_2, r_2], \quad \{u_2\} = [x_1, x_2] \cap S[x_1, r_1].$$

It is seen that  $\|u_1 - u_2\| = \eta$  and  $z \in [u_1, u_2]$ . Suppose  $\|u_1 - z\| \geq \|u_2 - z\|$  (the other case is treated analogously). Then  $\|u_1 - z\| \geq \eta/2$ . Let  $l$  be the line passing through  $x_2$  which is parallel to  $[y_1, y_2]$ . Denote by  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  its intersection points with  $S[x_2, r_2]$  such that with respect to the line through  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  the point  $w_1$  is in a halfplane with  $y_1$ , and  $w_2$  is in a halfplane with  $y_2$ . Denote also

$$\{v_1\} = [u_1, w_1] \cap [y_1, z], \quad \{v_2\} = [u_1, w_2] \cap [z, y_2].$$

It follows from the similarity of the triangles  $u_1 z v_1$  and  $u_1 x_2 w_1$  that  $\|v_1 - z\| = \|u_1 - z\| \geq \eta/2$ . Then  $\|y_1 - z\| > \eta/2$ . Analogously,  $\|z - y_2\| > \|z - v_2\| \geq \eta/2$ . Therefore  $\|y_1 - y_2\| > \eta$ .

The next lemma summarizes the previous two and its proof is omitted.

**Lemma 3.** Suppose  $\varepsilon > 0, \tau > 0$  and  $x \in X$  are given and  $\|x\| > \varepsilon$ . Then for  $\eta = \varepsilon \delta(\tau/\varepsilon)$  there are points  $y_1, y_2 \in S[x, d(x, \varepsilon B) + \eta] \cap \varepsilon S$  such that  $x, y_1, y_2$  and the origin  $\theta$  belong to a two-dimensional plane,  $\eta < \|y_1 - y_2\|$  and  $\text{diam} B[x, d(x, \varepsilon B) + \eta] \cap \varepsilon B < \tau$ .

**Lemma 4.** Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, 2)$  and  $x, y \in S$  be such that  $\|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon$ . Then for any  $t \in (2^{-1}\delta(\varepsilon/2), 1]$  the balls  $B[tx, 1 - t]$  and  $B[y, \delta^2(\varepsilon/2)]$  have empty intersection.

**Proof:** Assume the contrary, i.e. for some  $t \in (2^{-1}\delta(\varepsilon/2), 1)$  the balls have non-empty intersection. Denote  $x_0 = tx$ ,  $\{z\} = [x_0, y] \cap S[x_0, 1 - t]$  and  $r = \|y - z\|$ . It follows from the strict convexity of  $X$  that  $r > 0$ , and by the assumption  $r \leq \delta^2(\varepsilon/2)$ . Besides  $\varepsilon \leq \|x - y\| \leq \|x - z\| + r$  and  $\|z - x\| \geq \varepsilon - r$ . Therefore

$$\|(z - x_0)/(1 - t) - x\| = \|z - x\|/(1 - t) \geq (\varepsilon - r)/(1 - t).$$

Since  $\delta(\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$  for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  then  $r < \varepsilon^2/4 < \varepsilon/2$  whence  $(\varepsilon - r)/(1 - t) > \varepsilon/2$ . The uniform convexity of  $B$  implies

$$2^{-1}\|(z - x_0)/(1 - t) + x\| \leq 1 - \delta((\varepsilon - r)/(1 - t)) \leq 1 - \delta(\varepsilon/2).$$

Consider two cases:

(I)  $t \leq 1/2$ . Estimate  $\|z\|/t =$

$$\|x_0/t + (z - x_0)/t\| \leq \|x_0/t + (z - x_0)/(1 - t)\| + \|(z - x_0)/t - (z - x_0)/(1 - t)\| =$$

$$\|x + (z - x_0)/(1 - t)\| + (1/t - 1/(1 - t))\|z - x_0\| \leq$$

$$2(1 - \delta(\varepsilon/2)) + (1 - t)/t - 1 = 1 - 2\delta(\varepsilon/2) + (1 - t)/t,$$

whence  $\|z\| \leq 1 - 2\delta(\varepsilon/2)t$ .

Now, since  $\|y - z\| \geq \|y\| - \|z\| = 2\delta(\varepsilon/2)t$ , we get a contradiction in the following way:  $\delta^2(\varepsilon/2) < 2\delta(\varepsilon/2)t \leq \|y - z\| = r \leq \delta^2(\varepsilon/2)$ .

(II)  $1/2 < t < 1$ . Estimate  $\|z\|/(1 - t) =$

$$\|x_0/(1 - t) + (z - x_0)/(1 - t)\| \leq \|x_0/(1 - t) - x_0/t\| + \|x + (z - x_0)/(1 - t)\| \leq$$

$$(1/(1 - t) - 1/t)\|x_0\| + 2(1 - \delta(\varepsilon/2)) = 1 - 2\delta(\varepsilon/2) + t/(1 - t),$$

whence  $\|z\| \leq 1 - 2\delta(\varepsilon/2)(1 - t)$ .

For  $t < 1 - \delta(\varepsilon/2)/2$  a contradiction is obtained in a similar way as in case (I), while for  $1 - t \leq \delta(\varepsilon/2)/2$  we have  $\varepsilon \leq \|x - y\| \leq \|x - x_0\| + \|x_0 - y\| = 2(1 - t) + r \leq \delta(\varepsilon/2) + \delta^2(\varepsilon/2) < \varepsilon$ , a contradiction again.

**Lemma 5.** If  $\delta(\cdot)$  is a reduced convexity modulus then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , every two elements  $x$  and  $y$  in  $S$ ,  $\|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon$ , every  $t \in (0, \delta(\varepsilon)]$  and  $s \in [t, 1)$  it follows  $B[sx, 1 - s] \cap B[y, t^2] = \emptyset$ .

**Proof:** It is sufficient to observe that for every  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$  the function  $\lambda\delta'(\cdot)$ , where  $\delta'(\varepsilon) = \delta(2\varepsilon)$ , is a convexity modulus and to apply lemma 4 for  $t = \lambda\delta'(\varepsilon)$ .

**Lemma 6.** Suppose  $\delta(\cdot)$  is a reduced convexity modulus and  $y_1, y_2 \in X$  are two elements such that  $\|y_i\| \geq d > 0, i = 1, 2, \|y_1 - y_2\| \geq c > 0$ . Then for every  $y \in [ty_1, y_1]$ , where  $t \in (0, \delta(c/2d)]$ , it follows

- (i)  $\|y - y_1\| + dt^2 < \|y - y_2\|$  provided  $\|y_1\| = d$ ,
- (ii)  $\|y - y_1\| + dt^2/2 < \|y - y_2\|$  provided  $\|y_1\| < d(1 + t^2/2)$ .

**Proof:** Denote  $\varepsilon = dt^2/2$ . Since  $t \leq 1/2$  and  $2\varepsilon \leq dt\delta(c/2d)$  then  $\varepsilon < \min\{d/3, c/9\}$  and there is a number  $\sigma$  such that  $3\varepsilon < \sigma < c/3$ . Consider two cases:

- (I)  $\|y_2\| \leq d + \sigma$ .

Let  $\bar{y}_2$  be the radial projection of  $y_2$  on the sphere  $\rho S$ , i.e.  $\bar{y}_2 = \rho y_2 / \|y_2\|$ , where  $\rho = \|y_1\|$ . Having in mind that  $\rho < d + \varepsilon$  we estimate

$$\rho^{-1} \|y_1 - \bar{y}_2\| \geq (c - \sigma) / (d + \varepsilon) > c/2d.$$

Then by lemma 5

$$B[ty_1, \rho(1-t)] \cap B[\bar{y}_2, \rho t^2] = \emptyset.$$

If now  $\|y_2\| \geq \|y_1\|$  then  $\|y_2\| \geq \|\bar{y}_2\|$  and from the fact that the origin  $\theta$  belongs to the ball  $B[ty_1, \rho(1-t)]$ , this ball being a convex set does not intersect  $B[y_2, \rho t^2]$ . For arbitrary  $y \in [ty_1, y_1]$  we have  $B[y, \|y - y_1\|] \subset B[ty_1, \rho(1-t)]$ , whence  $B[y, \|y - y_1\|] \cap B[y_2, dt^2] = \emptyset$ .

If  $\|y_2\| < \|y_1\|$  (part (ii) of the lemma), then  $\|y_2 - \bar{y}_2\| < \varepsilon$  and for  $y \in [ty_1, y_1]$  we have  $B[y, \|y - y_1\|] \cap B[y_2, \varepsilon] = \emptyset$ . Thus

$$\|y - y_1\| + dt^2/2 < \|y - y_2\| \text{ whenever } y \in [ty_1, y_1].$$

- (II)  $\|y_2\| > d + \sigma$ .

Since  $y_1 \in B[d + \varepsilon]$  and  $y_2 \notin B[d + \sigma]$  then for  $y \in [ty_1, y_1]$  it follows  $\|y - y_2\| - \|y - y_1\| > \sigma - \varepsilon > 2\varepsilon$ . As is seen

$$\|y - y_1\| + dt^2 < \|y - y_2\| \text{ whenever } \|y_1\| = d \text{ and } y \in [ty_1, y_1].$$

**Lemma 7.** Let  $\delta(\cdot)$  be a reduced convexity modulus,  $\eta, \varepsilon, r \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x, y_1, y_2 \in X$  be given such that  $0 < \eta < \varepsilon < r/2, \|x\| = r, y_1, y_2 \in S[x, d(x, \varepsilon B) + \eta] \cap \varepsilon S$  and  $x, y_1, y_2$  and  $\theta$  belong to a two-dimensional plane. Let for  $\sigma, 0 < \sigma < 4^{-1}(r - \varepsilon)\delta^2(\eta/r)$  and for  $i = 1, 2$  the sets  $M_i$  satisfy  $\emptyset \neq M_i \subset B(y_i, \sigma)$ . Then for every  $\rho \in [\varepsilon, r - \sqrt{4\sigma r}]$  there is a point  $x_\rho \in \rho S \cap \text{co}\{y_1, x, y_2\}$  such that  $d(x_\rho, M_1) = d(x_\rho, M_2)$ .

**Proof:** Denote  $d = r - \varepsilon + \eta$ . It follows from lemma 2 that  $\|y_1 - y_2\| > \eta$  and then by lemma 5

$$B[s(y_j - x)/d, (1-s)] \cap B[(y_k - x)/d, t^2] = \emptyset$$

whenever  $t \in (0, \delta(\eta/d)]$ ,  $s \in [t, 1)$  and  $j, k \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $j \neq k$ . Therefore

$$B[sy_j + (1-s)x, d(1-s)] \cap B[y_k, dt^2] = \emptyset.$$

Let  $t_0 = \sqrt{4\sigma/r}$  and  $s \geq t_0$ . Denote  $x_i(s) = sy_i + (1-s)x$  for  $i=1, 2$ . Since  $t_0 < \delta(\eta/d)$  and  $d > r/2$  then the previous formula implies

$$B[x_j(s), d(1-s)] \cap B[y_k, 2\sigma] = \emptyset,$$

whence  $d(x_j(s), M_j) < d(x_j(s), M_k)$  for  $s \geq t_0$  and  $j, k = 1, 2$ . The estimations  $\|x_i(t_0) - x\| = t_0 d < \sqrt{4\sigma r}$  for  $i=1, 2$  imply  $\|x_i(t_0)\| > r - \sqrt{4\sigma r}$  and then for  $\rho \in [\varepsilon, r - \sqrt{4\sigma r}]$  we have  $d(x_j(\rho), M_j) < d(x_k(\rho), M_k)$ , where  $x_i(\rho) = [x, y_i] \cap \rho S$ . Now, the intermediate value theorem guarantees existence of a point  $x_\rho$  on the arc of  $\rho S$  via  $x_1(\rho)$  and  $x_2(\rho)$  such that  $d(x_\rho, M_1) = d(x_\rho, M_2)$ .

**Lemma 8.** Suppose  $\delta(\cdot)$  is a reduced convexity modulus and  $\varepsilon > 0, \tau > 0$ ,  $x' \in X$ ,  $\|x'\| > 2\varepsilon$  and  $y_1, y_2 \in X$ ,  $y_1 \neq y_2$  are given such that  $y_1, y_2 \in S[x', d(x', \varepsilon B) + \eta] \cap \varepsilon S$  for  $\eta = \varepsilon\delta(\tau/4\varepsilon)$ . Suppose also  $0 < \sigma \leq \eta/4$  and  $M_i$  are two non-empty sets,  $M_i \subset B(y_i, \sigma)$ ,  $i=1, 2$ . Denote  $r_1 = \|x'\| - (\varepsilon + \sigma)(1 - \delta(\tau/2(\varepsilon + \sigma))) - \eta/2$  and  $r_2 = r_1 + \eta/2$ . Then for every  $x$  from the relative interior of  $\text{co}\{y_1, x', y_2\}$  such that  $d(x, M_1) = d(x, M_2)$  it follows

- (i)  $B[x, d(x, M_1)] \subset B[x', r_1]$ ,
- (ii)  $\text{diam}(\varepsilon + \sigma)B \cap B[x', r_2] < \tau/2$
- (iii)  $B(y_i, \sigma) \subset (\varepsilon + \sigma)B \cap B[x', r_1]$  for  $i=1, 2$ .

**Proof:** Lemma 1 implies  $\text{diam}B[x', d(x', \varepsilon B) + \eta] \cap \varepsilon B < \varepsilon\delta(\tau/4\varepsilon)$ . Suppose  $x \in \text{reintco}\{y_1, x', y_2\}$  and  $d(x, M_1) = d(x, M_2)$ . The ray  $\{x' + t(x - x') : t > 1\}$  meets  $(y_1, y_2)$  at a point  $z$ . Let  $\|z - y_1\| = \min\{\|z - y_i\| : i=1, 2\} \leq 2^{-1}\varepsilon\delta(\tau/4\varepsilon)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - y_1\| &\leq \|x - z\| + \|z - y_1\| \leq \|x' - z\| - \|x' - x\| + 2^{-1}\varepsilon\delta(\tau/4\varepsilon) < \\ &d(x', \varepsilon B) + \eta + 2^{-1}\varepsilon\delta(\tau/4\varepsilon) - \|x' - x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $d(x, M_1) \leq \|x - y_1\| + \sigma$  and obviously  $\sigma < \varepsilon$  then

$$d(x', M_1) \leq d(x, M_1) + \|x' - x\| < d(x', \varepsilon B) + \eta + 2^{-1}\varepsilon\delta(\tau/4\varepsilon) + \sigma =$$

$$d(x', (\varepsilon + \sigma)B) + \eta + 2\sigma + 2^{-1}\varepsilon\delta(\tau/4\varepsilon) \leq$$

$$d(x', (\varepsilon + \sigma)B) + 2\eta + 2^{-1}\varepsilon\delta(\tau/4\varepsilon) - \eta/2 \leq$$

$$d(x', (\varepsilon + \sigma)B) + \varepsilon\delta(\tau/4\varepsilon) - \eta/2 <$$

$$d(x, (\varepsilon + \sigma)B) + (\varepsilon + \sigma)\delta(\tau/2(\varepsilon + \sigma)) - \eta/2 = r_1,$$



which implies the inclusion (i).

It follows from lemma 1 that (ii) is true. In order to prove (iii) take a point  $x \in \text{reintco}\{y_1, x', y_2\}$  such that  $\|x - y_1\| = \|x - y_2\|$  and for any  $y \in B(y_1, \sigma)$  assign  $M_1 = \{y\}$  and let  $M_2$  be an arbitrary non-empty closed subset of  $S[x, \|x - y\|] \cap B(y_2, \sigma)$ . The preceding arguments show that  $y \in B[x', r_1]$  and more generally  $B(y_1, \sigma) \subset B[x', r_1] \cap (\varepsilon + \sigma)B$ . Similarly, it is shown that  $B(y_2, \sigma) \subset B[x', r_1] \cap (\varepsilon + \sigma)B$ .

At the end of the section a lemma from [St] is recalled.

**Lemma 9 [St].** Let  $y_0 \in P(x_0, M)$ , where  $x_0, y_0 \in X$  and  $\emptyset \neq M \subset X$ . Then for every  $x \in (x_0, y_0]$  the metric projection  $P(\cdot, M)$  is single-valued and u.s.c. at  $x$ .

## 4. Equidistant Approximations

The problem of generic equidistant approximation in a uniformly convex Banach space  $X$  is considered in this section. Suppose two non-empty closed subsets  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are given and they are separated:

$$\inf\{\|y_1 - y_2\| : y_1 \in M_1, y_2 \in M_2\} > 0$$

The equidistant hypersurface is denoted by

$$\Sigma(M_1, M_2) = \{x \in X : d(x, M_1) = d(x, M_2)\}.$$

Obviously,  $\Sigma(M_1, M_2)$  is a closed set and might be viewed as a complete metric space with respect to the induced from  $X$  topology. It will be seen meanwhile, by the proof of the next result, that  $\Sigma(M_1, M_2)$  has empty interior. What we are concerned is the existence of points on  $\Sigma(M_1, M_2)$  which have best approximations both in  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . It follows from Stechkin's theorem that there is a dense  $G_\delta$  set in  $X$  of points with best approximations in  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , but it is not apparent that this set intersects  $\Sigma(M_1, M_2)$ . The next result gives an affirmative answer.

**Theorem 4.1** Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be two closed and separated sets in the uniformly convex Banach space  $X$ . Then there is a dense  $G_\delta$  subset  $\Gamma$  of  $\Sigma(M_1, M_2)$  such that the metric projections  $P(\cdot, M_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$  are single-valued and upper semicontinuous in  $X$  at all points of  $\Gamma$ .

**Proof:** Denote by  $\Gamma_i$  the sets of continuity of  $P(\cdot, M_i)$ , i.e. where  $P(\cdot, M_i)$  are single-valued and u.s.c.,  $i = 1, 2$ . It is known that  $\Gamma_i$  are  $G_\delta$  sets. Hence  $\Gamma_i \cap \Sigma(M_1, M_2)$  are  $G_\delta$  sets since  $\Sigma(M_1, M_2)$  is a  $G_\delta$  set being closed. What remains to be proved is that for each  $i = 1, 2$  the set  $\Gamma_i$  intersects the hypersurface  $\Sigma(M_1, M_2)$  in a proper dense subset. Then the Baire

category theorem would imply that  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 \cap \Sigma(M_1, M_2)$  is a dense and  $G_\delta$  set in the induced space.

Suppose  $x_0$  is an arbitrary point from  $\Sigma(M_1, M_2)$  and  $\sigma$  is an arbitrary positive number. It has to be shown that  $\Gamma_1$  intersects  $\Sigma(M_1, M_2)$  at a point which is at less than  $\sigma$  distance from  $x_0$ . For the sake of convenience we might assume that  $x_0$  coincides with the origin  $\theta$ . Denote

$$c = \inf \{ \|y_1 - y_2\| : y_1 \in M_1, y_2 \in M_2 \} > 0,$$

$$d(\theta, M_1) = d = d(\theta, M_2).$$

Obviously  $c \leq 2d$ . Assume additionally  $\sigma < c/2$ , whence  $\sigma < d$ . Let  $\delta(\cdot)$  be a reduced convexity modulus. Choose a positive number  $t$  such that

$$t \leq \delta\left(\frac{c}{2d}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad d(t^2/4 + 2t) < \sigma.$$

Put

$$\varepsilon = dt^2/3, \quad r = d + \varepsilon, \quad T_1 = rB \cap M_1,$$

$$U = \bigcup_{y \in T_1} \text{co}(B(\varepsilon/2) \cup \{y\}), \quad D = U \cap B(\sigma).$$

Since  $t < 1$  then obviously  $\varepsilon < d$  and  $\sigma > rt + \varepsilon/2$ . The next aim is to prove

$$\Sigma(M_1, M_2) \cap (U \setminus D) = \emptyset. \quad (2)$$

Apply lemma 6 (ii) for every  $y_1 \in T_1$ , and  $y_2 \in M_2$ :

$$\|y - y_1\| + dt^2/2 < \|y - y_2\|, \quad \text{whenever } y \in [ty_1, y_1],$$

whence

$$d(y, M_1) + \varepsilon \leq d(y, M_2) \quad \text{for } y \in [ty_1, y_1] \text{ where } y_1 \in T_1. \quad (3)$$

Suppose  $z \in U \setminus D$ . There are  $y_1 \in T_1$  and  $y \in [\theta, y_1]$  such that  $y \in B(z, \varepsilon/2)$ . In fact  $y \in [ty_1, y_1]$  since  $B(z, \varepsilon/2) \cap B(rt) = \emptyset$ . Therefore

$$d(z, M_1) + \varepsilon/2 \leq d(z, M_2) \quad \text{whenever } z \in U \setminus D,$$

and (2) is satisfied.

It should be mentioned that (3) implies  $\text{int}\Sigma(M_1, M_2) = \emptyset$ . Indeed, we showed  $B(x_0, \sigma) \not\subset \Sigma(M_1, M_2)$  since  $\sigma > rt$ , but  $x_0$  is an arbitrary point and  $\sigma$  might be arbitrarily small. Thus no open ball is contained in the equidistant hypersurface. Since, in the reasonings, the places of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  can be changed we conclude that any neighborhood of  $x_0 \in \Sigma(M_1, M_2)$

contains points which are closer to  $M_1$  and points which are closer to  $M_2$ . This argument will be employed.

There is a point  $x_1 \in B(\varepsilon/2)$  such that  $x_1 \in \Gamma_1$  and  $d(x_1, M_2) < d(x_1, M_1)$ . Let  $\{y_1\} = P(x_1, M_1)$ . According to lemma 9 the entire segment  $(x_1, y_1)$  is contained in  $\Gamma_1$ . On the other hand  $(x_1, y_1)$  intersects  $\Sigma(M_1, M_2)$  at some point  $x$ . It is easily checked, by means of the triangle inequality, that  $y_1 \in T_1$ . Therefore  $x \in [x_1, y_1] \subset U$  and (2) implies  $x \in B(\sigma)$ .

It is proved that  $\Gamma_1 \cap \Sigma(M_1, M_2)$  is dense in the hypersurface, what is required. Q.E.D.

**Corollary 4.2** Let  $M_i \subset X$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  be as in theorem 4.1. Then every open set  $U$  which has non-empty intersection with the equidistant hypersurface  $\Sigma(M_1, M_2)$  contains at least continuum many points at which the metric projection  $P(\cdot, M_1 \cup M_2)$  is two-valued and u.s.c.

**Proof:** According to a classical theorem of Alexandroff and Uryson every non-empty compact set without isolated points has a power greater or equal to the continuum [AU]. Their argument works quite analogously in this case too, since by theorem 4.1 the set of points at which  $P(\cdot, M_1 \cup M_2)$  is two-valued and u.s.c. does not have isolated points.

## 5. Main Result

**Theorem 5.1** For every uniformly convex Banach space  $X$ ,  $\dim X \geq 2$ , a residual subset  $\mathcal{U}$  of the Hausdorff metric space  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  exists such that for every  $M \in \mathcal{U}$  the metric projection  $P(\cdot, M)$  is two-valued and upper semicontinuous on a dense and everywhere continual subset of  $X$ .

**Proof:** Define for any  $M \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  and  $n \geq 2$

$$T_M = \{x \in X : P(x, M) \text{ is two-valued and u.s.c. at } x\},$$

$$\mathcal{U}_n = \{M \in \mathcal{B}(X) : \forall x \in X \quad B(x, n^{-1}) \subset B(M, n) \implies$$

$$\text{card } T_M \cap B(x, n^{-1}) \geq \mathfrak{c}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{U} = \bigcap_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}_n.$$

It will be established that all  $\mathcal{U}_n$  contain open and dense in  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  sets, which will imply that  $\mathcal{U}$  contains a dense  $G_\delta$  subset of  $\mathcal{B}(X)$ . Obviously, for any  $M \in \mathcal{U}$  the set  $T_M$  is everywhere continual in  $X$ .

Fix a reduced convexity modulus  $\delta : [0, 2] \rightarrow [0, 2^{-1}]$ , then  $\delta(s) \leq s/4$  whenever  $s \geq 0$ . Let  $M_0 \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ ,  $n \geq 2$  be an integer and  $\varepsilon \in (0, n^{-1})$  be given. We have to show that there exist  $N \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  and  $\sigma > 0$  such that

$$\mathcal{O}(N, \sigma) \subset \mathcal{O}(M_0, \varepsilon) \cap \mathcal{U}_n.$$

Assign

$$\tau = \frac{1}{2n} \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{16} \right)^2 \delta^2 \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{4n} \right), \quad \eta = \frac{\varepsilon}{16} \delta \left( \delta \left( \frac{4\tau}{\varepsilon} \right) \right), \quad \sigma = \frac{n-1}{4} \delta^2 \left( \frac{\eta}{n} \right)$$

The following comparative inequalities are a simple consequence of the property of  $\delta$ :  $\tau \leq 2^{-17} n^{-3} \varepsilon^4$ ,  $\eta \leq 2^{-6} \tau$ ,  $\sigma \leq 2^{-6} n^{-1} \eta^2$ .

Let  $N_0$  be a  $\varepsilon/2$ -net for  $M_0$  and  $N_1$  be a  $\tau$ -net for the sphere  $\varepsilon' S$ , where  $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon/16$ . Define the maps  $f_1, f_2 : N_1 \rightarrow \varepsilon' S$  such that for  $e \in N_1$  the images  $f_j(e)$  are chosen from  $S[n\varepsilon/\varepsilon', n - \varepsilon' + \eta] \cap \varepsilon' S$  according to lemma 3 and the points  $\theta, f_1(e), n\varepsilon/\varepsilon'$  and  $f_2(e)$  belong to a two-dimensional plane and

$$\eta < \|f_1(e) - f_2(e)\|, \quad \text{diam} B[n\varepsilon/\varepsilon', n - \varepsilon' + \eta] \cap \varepsilon' B < \varepsilon' \delta(\tau/4\varepsilon'). \quad (4)$$

Let

$$N = \{z + f_j(e) : z \in N_0, e \in N_1, j = 1, 2\}.$$

For every  $M \in \mathcal{O}(N, \sigma)$  and all  $v \in N$  the sets  $M(v) = M \cap B(v, \sigma)$  are closed and non-empty. The claim is proved by showing that the balls  $B(v, \sigma)$  are uniformly separated one from another. Suppose  $w_i \in B(v_i, \sigma)$ , where  $v_i = z_i + f_{j_i}(e_i)$ ,  $z_i \in N_0$ ,  $e_i \in N_1$ ,  $j_i \in \{1, 2\}$  for  $i=1, 2$  and distinguish between the following cases:

(I)  $z_1 \neq z_2$ . Since  $\|w_i - v_i\| < \sigma$  and  $\|v_i - z_i\| = \varepsilon/16$  then

$$\varepsilon/2 \leq \|z_1 - z_2\| \leq \varepsilon/8 + 2\sigma + \|w_1 - w_2\|,$$

whence  $\|w_1 - w_2\| > 3\varepsilon/8 - 2\sigma$ .

(II)  $z_1 = z_2$  and  $e_1 \neq e_2$ . Having in mind (4) we estimate

$$\tau \leq \|e_1 - e_2\| < 2\varepsilon' \delta(\tau/4\varepsilon') + \|f_{j_1}(e_1) - f_{j_2}(e_2)\| \leq \tau/8 + \|v_1 - v_2\|.$$

Then

$$7\tau/8 < \|v_1 - v_2\| \leq 2\sigma + \|w_1 - w_2\|,$$

whence  $\|w_1 - w_2\| > 7\tau/8 - 2\sigma$ .

(III)  $z_1 = z_2$  and  $e_1 = e_2$ . Since

$$\eta < \|f_1(e_1) - f_2(e_1)\| = \|v_1 - v_2\| \leq 2\sigma + \|w_1 - w_2\|$$

then  $\|w_1 - w_2\| > \eta - 2\sigma$ .

It is a routine calculation to verify that  $\eta = \min\{\eta, 7\tau/8, 3\varepsilon/8\}$  and  $\eta - 2\sigma > 0$ . Hence  $M(v)$  are closed and non-empty sets for all  $v \in N$ .

Suppose  $M \in \mathcal{O}(N, \sigma)$  is a fixed set and  $x_0$  satisfies  $B(x_0, n^{-1}) \subset B(M, n)$ . We are going to find consecutively points  $x_1, x_2, x_3$ , as indicated in the sketch below, all in the ball  $B(x_0, n^{-1})$  such that the metric projection  $P(\cdot, M)$  is two-valued and u.s.c. at continuum many points from a neighborhood of the last point  $x_3$ .

If  $d(x_0, N_0) \leq 3\varepsilon'$ , then there is  $x_1$  such that  $\|x_0 - x_1\| < 1/4n$  and  $d(x_1, N_0) > 3\varepsilon'$ . Indeed, let  $\{z_1\} = P(x_0, N_0)$  and take arbitrary  $x_1$  from the set

$$\{z_1 + s(x_0 - z_1) : s > 1\} \cap B(z_1, 4\varepsilon') \setminus B[z_1, 3\varepsilon'].$$

In the other case:  $d(x_0, N_0) > 3\varepsilon'$ , we make use of Stechkin's theorem to ensure existence of a point  $x_1$  such that for some  $z_1 \in N_0$

$$\begin{aligned} P(x_1, N_0) &= \{z_1\}, \quad d(x_1, N_0 + \varepsilon' B) > 2\varepsilon', \\ \|x_0 - x_1\| &< 1/4n. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

It is not difficult to observe that

$$3\varepsilon' < \|x_1 - z_1\| < n. \tag{6}$$

To prove the right-hand side inequality make use of (1)

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_1 - z_1\| &= d(x_1, N_0) \leq d(x_0, M) + \|x_0 - x_1\| + H(M, N_0) < \\ &n - 3/4n + \varepsilon' + \sigma < n, \end{aligned}$$

since  $d(x_0, M) \leq n - n^{-1}$ .

Find now a point  $x_2 \in [x_1, z_1]$  such that  $x_2 = (1 - t)x_1 + tz_1$  where  $t = \delta(\varepsilon/4n)$  and for arbitrary  $z \in N_0 \setminus \{z_1\}$  make use of lemma 6 (i) with respect to  $z_1 - x_1$ ,  $z - x_1$  and  $d = \|x_1 - z_1\|$ . Then  $\|x_2 - z_1\| + dt^2 < \|x_2 - z\|$ , whence

$$\|x_2 - z_1\| + 3\varepsilon' t^2 < \|x_2 - z\| \text{ whenever } z \in N_0 \setminus \{z_1\}. \tag{7}$$

and

$$\|x_1 - x_2\| = t\|x_1 - z_1\| < n\delta(\varepsilon/4n) \leq \varepsilon' < 1/16n. \tag{8}$$

Let  $[x_2, z_1] \cap S[z_1, \varepsilon'] = \{u\}$ . Since  $N_1$  is a  $\tau$ -net in  $\varepsilon' S$  there is  $e_1 \in N_1$  such that  $\|z_1 + e_1 - u\| < \tau$ . Denote

$$y_i = z_1 + f_i(e_1), \quad M_i = M \cap B(y_i, \sigma), \quad i = 1, 2$$

and  $x'_3 = z_1 + ne_1/\varepsilon'$ . It is possible to apply lemma 7 for  $x'_3$  and the sets  $M_i$ , because  $\sigma < 4^{-1}(n - \varepsilon')\delta^2(\eta/n)$ . Then for arbitrary  $\rho \in [\varepsilon', n - \sqrt{4\sigma n}]$



where  $t = \delta(\varepsilon/4n)$ .

Our next aim is to prove that  $d(x_3, M_i) = d(x_3, M)$  and more precisely:

$$d(x_3, M_i) + \varepsilon't^2 \leq d(x_3, M \setminus \bigcup_{i=1,2} M_i). \quad (11)$$

Take arbitrary  $w \in M \setminus \bigcup_{i=1,2} B(y_i, \sigma)$ . There are  $z \in N_0, e \in N_1$  and  $y \in N$  such that  $w \in B(y, \sigma)$  and  $y = z + f_j(e)$  for  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ . Consider two cases:

(I)  $z = z_1$ . Denote  $x' = z_1 + ne/\varepsilon'$ . According to lemma 8 (ii) for  $r_1 = n - (\varepsilon' + \sigma)(1 - \delta(\tau/2(\varepsilon' + \sigma))) - \eta/2$  and  $r_2 = r_1 + \eta/2$  the sets  $C = B[x', r_2] \cap (z_1 + (\varepsilon' + \sigma)B)$  and  $C_1 = B[x'_3, r_2] \cap (z_1 + (\varepsilon' + \sigma)B)$  have diameters less than  $\tau/2$ . Hence, their intersection is empty since the former set contains  $z_1 + e$  and the latter set contains  $z_1 + e_1$  but  $\|e - e_1\| > \tau$ . Thus  $w \in C$  by lemma 8 (iii) and  $w \notin B[x'_3, r_2]$  but  $B[x_3, d(x_3, M_1)] \subset B[x'_3, r_1]$  by lemma 8 (i). This means  $d(x_3, M_1) + r_2 - r_1 \leq \|x_3 - w\|$  and (11) holds because  $r_2 - r_1 = \eta/2 < \tau < \varepsilon'\delta^2(\varepsilon/4n)$ .

(II)  $z \neq z_1$ . Denote  $\{v\} = [x_3, z_1] \cap S[z_1, \varepsilon']$ . It follows by (10) and the inequality  $d(x_3, z + \varepsilon'B) \leq \|x_3 - w\| + \sigma$  that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_3, M_i) &\leq \|x_3 - v\| + d(v, M_i) \leq d(x_3, z_1 + \varepsilon'B) + \varepsilon'\delta(\tau/4\varepsilon') + \sigma < \\ &d(x_3, z + \varepsilon'B) - 3\varepsilon't^2 + 2n\tau/\varepsilon' + 3\varepsilon'\delta(\tau/4\varepsilon') + \sigma \leq \\ &\|x_3 - w\| - 3\varepsilon't^2 + 2n\tau/\varepsilon' + 3\varepsilon'\delta(\tau/4\varepsilon') + 2\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $2n\tau/\varepsilon' = \varepsilon't^2$  and obviously  $3\tau/16 + 2\sigma < \tau < \varepsilon't^2$  then the inequality (11) holds in this case too.

At the finish we estimate  $\|x_0 - x_3\|$  having in mind (5), (8) and (9):

$$\|x_0 - x_3\| < 1/4n + 1/16n + \varepsilon't^2/2 + \tau/16 < 1/2n.$$

Since  $\varepsilon't^2 < n^{-1}$  then for  $\gamma \in (0, \varepsilon't^2/2)$  the ball  $B(x_3, \gamma)$  is contained in  $B(x_0, n^{-1})$  and by (11)  $d(x, M) = d(x, M_1 \cup M_2)$  whenever  $x \in B(x_3, \gamma)$ . It remains to apply the corollary from the preceding section to conclude that there are continuum many points from  $B(x_0, n^{-1}) \cap \Sigma(M_1, M_2)$  at which the metric projection  $P(\cdot, M)$  is two-valued and upper semicontinuous. Q.E.D.

The following precised version of Zamfirescu's theorem is an immediate consequence of theorem 5.1:

**Corollary 5.2** Let  $X$  be strictly convex and finite-dimensional with  $\dim X \geq 2$ . Then there exists a dense  $G_\delta$  subset  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  such that every compact from  $\mathcal{U}$  generates two-valued on an everywhere continual subset of  $X$  metric projection.

## References

- [AU] P.S. Alexandroff, P.S. Urysohn, *Memoir on Compact Topological Spaces*. "Nauka" 1971, Moscow (in russian).
- [Bo] J.M. Borwein, *Weak local supportability and applications to approximation*. Pacific J. Math. **82** (1979), 323-338.
- [BF] J.M. Borwein and S. Fitzpatrick, *Existence of nearest points in Banach spaces*. Canad. J. Math. **41** (1989), 702-720.
- [BP] J.M. Borwein and D. Preiss, *A smooth variational principle with applications to subdifferentiability and to differentiability of convex functions*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **303** (1987), 517-527.
- [BKM] F.S. De Blasi, P.S. Kenderov and J. Myjak, *Ambiguous loci of the metric projection onto compact starshaped sets in a Banach space*. to appear in Monatsh. Math.
- [BM1] F.S. De Blasi and J. Myjak, *Ambiguous loci of the nearest point mapping in Banach spaces*. to appear in Arch. Math.
- [BM2] F.S. De Blasi and J. Myjak, *On compact connected sets in Banach spaces*. to appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [FZ] M. Fabian and N.V. Zhivkov, *A characterization of Asplund spaces with the help of local  $\varepsilon$ -supports of Ekeland and Lebourg*. C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci. **38** (1985), 671-674.
- [Gu] V.I. Gurarii, *Differential properties of the moduli of convexity of Banach spaces*. Mat. Issled. **2** (1967), 141-148 (in russian).
- [Ko] S.V. Konyagin, *On approximation of closed sets in Banach spaces and the characterization of strongly convex spaces*. Soviet Math. Dokl. **21** (1980), 418-422.
- [La] Ka-Sing Lau, *Almost Chebyshev subsets in reflexive Banach spaces*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **27** (1978), 791-795.
- [LT] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces II*. Springer-Verlag 1979.
- [St] S.B. Stechkin, *Approximative properties of subsets of Banach spaces*. Rev. Roum. Pures Appl. **8** (1963), 5-8.
- [Za] T. Zamfirescu, *The nearest point mapping is single-valued nearly everywhere*. Arch. Math. **54** (1990), 563-566.
- [Zh] N.V. Zhivkov, *Compacta with dense ambiguous loci of metric projections and antiprojections*. prep. Inst. Math., Bulg. Acad. Sci. No 3, 1993 (submitted).

Institute of Mathematics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
Sofia 1113, Bulgaria  
e-mail address: nickor@bgearn.bitnet