

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

PLISKA

STUDIA MATHEMATICA
BULGARICA

ПЛИСКА

БЪЛГАРСКИ
МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИ
СТУДИИ

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Pliska Studia Mathematica Bulgarica
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~pliska/>
or contact: Editorial Office

Pliska Studia Mathematica Bulgarica
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: pliska@math.bas.bg

APPROXIMATION OF FUNCTIONS BY MEANS OF LINEAR SUMMATION BASKAKOV'S OPERATORS IN L_p

TEODORA A. DJUKANOVA

We obtain an estimation for the approximation in L_p by means of Baskakov's operators using the moduli $\tau_k(f; \delta)_{L_p}$.

The problem of approximation of functions by means of linear summation operators in L_p -metric is considered in [1]. In the case when we approximate the p -integrable function by means of Bernstein's and Szasz-Mirakian's operators the estimations given in [1] are obtained by the following moduli:

$$\tau_k(f; \delta)_{L_p[a, b]} = \|\omega_k(f, x; \delta)\|_{L_p}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

where

$$\omega_k(f, x; \delta) = \sup \{ |\Delta_h^k f(t)|, t, t + kh \in [x - k\delta/2, x + k\delta/2] \cap [a, b] \},$$

$$\Delta_h^k f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^k (-1)^{k+n} \binom{k}{n} f(t + nh).$$

It is well known that the Bernstein's and the Szasz-Mirakian's operators are special case of Baskakov's operators [4]:

$$(1) \quad B_n^*(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \frac{\varphi_n^{(k)}(x)}{k!} (-x)^k,$$

where the sequence of functions $\{\varphi_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies the following conditions:

- a) every function $\varphi_n(x)$ is analytic in $|x - a| \leq a$, $a > 0$;
- b) $\varphi_n(0) = 1$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$;
- c) $(-1)^k \varphi_n^{(k)}(x) \geq 0$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $0 \leq x \leq a$;

- d) $-\varphi_n'(x) = n\varphi_{m_n}$, $m_n/n \rightarrow 1$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$;

Let us add a new condition on the functions $\{\varphi_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$:

- e) there exists a natural number μ such that $\varphi_{n+\mu}'(x) = -n\varphi_n(x)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$

The purpose of this paper is to find an estimation for the approximation in L_p by means of Baskakov's operators. This estimation will make use of the modulus $\tau_2(f; \delta)_{L_p}$, see [2], [3].

The most essential properties of $\tau_k(f; \delta)_{L_p}$ are:

- a) $\omega_k(f; \delta)_{L_p} \leq \tau_k(f; \delta)_{L_p} \leq \omega_k(f; \delta)$,

where $\omega_k(f; \delta)_{L_p} = \sup \{ (\int_a^{b-kh} |\Delta_h^k f(x)|^p dx)^{1/p}$, $0 < h \leq \delta$ \},

- (2) $\omega_k(f; \delta) = \sup \{ \omega_k(f, x; \delta), a \leq x \leq b \};$
 b) $\tau_k(f; \delta)_{L_p} \leq c(k) \delta \tau_{k-1}(f'; \delta)_{L_p};$
 c) $\tau_k(f; m\delta)_{L_p} \leq (2m)^{k+1} \tau_k(f; \delta)_{L_p}, m > 0$ is an integer;
 d) $\tau_k(f; \delta)_{L_p} \leq \|f'\|_{L_p};$
 e) $\tau_1(f; \delta)_{L_p} \leq 2\delta \vee_a^b f',$

where $\vee_a^b f$ denotes the variation of the function f in the interval $[a, b]$.

The following interpolation theorem [1] is basic for our considerations:

Theorem 1. Let L_n be a linear operator which satisfies:

- a) if the bounded function $f \in L_p$, then $L_n(f; x) \in L_p, x \in [a, b];$
 b) $\|L_n(f; \cdot)\|_{L_p} \leq K \|f\|_{L_{\Sigma_n}^p},$ where K is an absolute constant and

$$\|f\|_{L_{\Sigma_n}^p} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |f(x_i)|^p \Delta_i \right\}^{1/p}, \Delta_i = x_{i+1} - x_{i-1}, x_0 = a, x_{n+1} = b;$$

- c) if $f \in W_p^r (f \in W_p^r$ if $f^{(r-1)}$ is absolutely continuous and $f^{(r)} \in L_p)$ then

$$\|L_n(f; \cdot) - f\|_{L_p} \leq K_r d_n^s \|f^{(r)}\|_{L_p}, s \leq r,$$

where $d_n = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \Delta_i$ and K_r is an absolute constant which may depend only on r .

Then for every p -integrable bounded function f in $[a, b]$ the following estimation holds true for $d_n \leq 1$:

$$\|L_n(f; \cdot) - f\|_{L_p} \leq C \tau_r(f; d_n^{s/r})_{L_p}.$$

The constant C depends only on r, K, K_r .

It is easy to see that Theorem 1 remains true by the following additional condition on the functions f :

f — is p -integrable bounded function on $[0, a]$ and $f(x) = 0$ for $x \geq a$.

We shall prove that the Baskakov's operators satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1.

Lemma 1. If f is a bounded function in $[0, a], a > 0$, then

$$\|B_n^*(f; \cdot)\|_{L_p[0, a]} \leq \|f\|_{L_{\Sigma_n}^p},$$

$$\Sigma_n = \{i/n, i=0, 1, \dots, k, k/n \leq a < (k+1)/n\}.$$

Proof. We set $f(x) = 0$ for $x \geq a$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|B_n^*(f; \cdot)\|_{L_p[0, a]} = \\ & = \left\{ \int_0^a \left| \sum_{i=0}^k f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) \frac{\Phi_n^{(i)}(x)(-x)^i}{i!} \right|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \leq \left\{ \int_0^a \left| \sum_{i=0}^k \left| f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) \right| \frac{\Phi_n^{(i)}(x)(-x)^i}{i!} \right|^p dx \right\}^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Jensen's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|B_n^*(f; \cdot)\|_{L_p[0, a]} \leq \left\{ \int_0^a \left| \sum_{i=0}^k \left| f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) \right| \frac{\Phi_n^{(i)}(x)(-x)^i}{i!} \right|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \\ & = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^k \int_0^a \left| f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) \right|^p \frac{\Phi_n^{(i)}(x)(-x)^i}{i!} dx \right\}^{1/p} = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^k \left| f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) \right|^p \int_0^a \frac{\Phi_n^{(i)}(x)(-x)^i}{i!} dx \right\}^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Further we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J &= \int_0^a \frac{\Phi_n^{(i)}(x)(-x)^i}{i!} dx = \int_0^a \frac{(-x)^i}{i!} d\Phi_n^{(i-1)}(x) \\
 &= \frac{\Phi_n^{(i-1)}(x)(-x)^i}{i!} \Big|_0^a - \int_0^a \frac{\Phi_n^{(i-1)}(x)}{i!} d(-x)^i = \frac{\Phi_n^{(i-1)}(a)(-a)^i}{i!} + \int_0^a \frac{\Phi_n^{(i-1)}(x)(-x)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} dx \\
 &= \frac{\Phi_n^{(i-1)}(a)(-a)^i}{i!} + \frac{\Phi_n^{(i-2)}(a)(-a)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} + \int_0^a \frac{\Phi_n^{(i-2)}(x)(-x)^{i-2}}{(i-2)!} dx \\
 &= \dots = \sum_{k=1}^i \frac{\Phi_n^{(k-1)}(a)(-a)^k}{k!} + \int_0^a \Phi_n(x) dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

We use that $\Phi_{n+\mu}'(x) = -n\Phi_n(x)$, $n=1, 2, \dots$ or $\Phi_n(x) = -\Phi_{n+\mu}'(x)/n$. Then $\Phi_n^{(k-1)}(x) = -\Phi_{n+\mu}^{(k)}(x)/n$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 J &= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^i \frac{\Phi_{n+\mu}^{(k)}(a)(-a)^k}{k!} - \frac{1}{n} \int_0^a \Phi_{n+\mu}'(x) dx \\
 &= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^i \frac{\Phi_{n+\mu}^{(k)}(a)(-a)^k}{k!} - \frac{1}{n} \Phi_{n+\mu}(a) + \frac{1}{n} \Phi_{n+\mu}(0) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^i \frac{\Phi_{n+\mu}^{(k)}(a)(-a)^k}{k!} + \frac{1}{n} \Phi_{n+\mu}(0).
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\Phi_{n+\mu}(0) = 1$ and

$$\sum_{k=0}^i \frac{\Phi_{n+\mu}^{(k)}(a)(-a)^k}{k!} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi_{n+\mu}^{(k)}(a)(-a)^k}{k!} = B_n^*(1; x) = 1.$$

We thus obtain $|J| \leq |-1/n| + |1/n| = 2/n$ and

$$\|B_n^*(f; \cdot)\|_{L_p[0, a]} \leq \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^k \left| f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) \right|^p \frac{2}{n} \right\}^{1/p} \leq \|f\|_{L_{\Sigma_n}^p}.$$

Lemma 2. Let f be a bounded function in $[0, \infty)$ and $f \in W_p^2$ in $[0, \infty)$. Let $f(x) = 0$ for $x \geq a$. Then

$$\|B_n^*(f; \cdot) - f\|_{L_p[0, a]} \leq c(a) \|f''\|_{L_p[0, a]} n^{-1}.$$

Proof. The Taylor's formula gives for $t, x \in [0, a]$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(t) &= f(x) + (t-x)f'(x) + \int_x^a (t-\theta)_+ f''(\theta) d\theta + \int_0^x (\theta-t)_+ f''(\theta) d\theta, \\
 B_n^*(f(t); x) &= B_n^*(f(x); x) + B_n^*(tf'(x); x) + B_n^*(-xf'(x); x) \\
 &+ \int_x^a B_n^*((t-\theta)_+; x) f''(\theta) d\theta + \int_0^x B_n^*((\theta-t)_+; x) f''(\theta) d\theta \\
 &= f(x)B_n^*(1; x) + f'(x)B_n^*(t; x) - xf'(x)B_n^*(1; x) + \int_0^a N(x; \theta) f''(\theta) d\theta,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where

$$N(x; \theta) = \begin{cases} B_n^*((t-\theta)_+; x), & \theta \geq x, \\ B_n^*((\theta-t)_+; x), & \theta < x. \end{cases}$$

Using that $B_n^*(1; x) = 1$ and $B_n^*(t; x) = x$ we obtain $B_n^*(f(t); x) - f(x) = \int_0^a N(x; \theta) f'(\theta) d\theta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_n^*(f; \cdot) - f\|_{L[0, a]} &= \int_0^a |B_n^*(f; \cdot) - f| dx \\ (4) \quad &= \int_0^a \int_0^a N(x; \theta) f'(\theta) d\theta | dx \leq \int_0^a |f'(\theta)| \int_0^a N(x; \theta) dx d\theta \\ &\leq \max_{0 \leq \theta \leq a} \int_0^a N(x; \theta) dx \int_0^a |f'(\theta)| d\theta = \|f'\|_{L[0, a]} \max_{\theta} \int_0^a N(x; \theta) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We shall estimate $\int_0^a N(x; \theta) dx$. Setting $q_{n, k}(x) = \varphi_n^{(k)}(x)(-x)^k/k!$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (5) \quad \int_0^a N(x; \theta) dx &= \int_0^\theta B_n^*((t-\theta)_+; x) dx + \int_\theta^a B_n^*((\theta-t)_+; x) dx \\ &= \int_0^\theta \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k}{n} - \theta\right)_+ q_{n, k}(x) \right] dx + \int_\theta^a \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\theta - \frac{k}{n}\right)_+ q_{n, k}(x) \right] dx \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \left(\frac{k}{n} - \theta\right)_+ \int_0^\theta q_{n, k}(x) dx + \left(\theta - \frac{k}{n}\right)_+ \int_\theta^a q_{n, k}(x) dx \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We shall prove that:

$$(6) \quad \int_0^\theta q_{n, k}(x) dx = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} q_{n, i}(\theta), \quad \int_\theta^a q_{n, k}(x) dx \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^k q_{n, i}(\theta).$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\theta q_{n, k}(x) dx &= \int_0^\theta \frac{\varphi_n^{(k)}(x)(-x)^k}{k!} dx = -\frac{1}{(k+1)!} \int_0^\theta \varphi_n^{(k)}(x) d(-x)^{k+1} \\ &= -\frac{\varphi_n^{(k)}(x)(-x)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} \Big|_0^\theta + \int_0^\theta \frac{(-x)^{k+1} \varphi_n^{(k+1)}(x)}{(k+1)!} dx = -\frac{\varphi_n^{(k)}(\theta)(-\theta)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} - \int_0^\theta \frac{\varphi_n^{(k+1)}(x) d(-x)^{k+2}}{(k+2)!} \\ &= -\frac{\varphi_n^{(k)}(\theta)(-\theta)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} - \frac{\varphi_n^{(k+1)}(\theta)(-\theta)^{k+2}}{(k+2)!} + \int_0^\theta \frac{\varphi_n^{(k+2)}(x)(-x)^{k+2}}{(k+2)!} dx \\ &= \dots = -\sum_{v=k}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_n^{(v)}(\theta)(-\theta)^{v+1}}{(v+1)!} = -\sum_{v=k}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{1}{n}\right) \varphi_{n+\mu}^{(v+1)}(\theta)(-\theta)^{v+1}/(v+1)! \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} q_{n, i}(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\theta}^a \frac{\varphi_n^{(k)}(x)(-x)^k}{k!} dx &= \frac{(-x)^k \varphi_n^{(k-1)}(x)}{k!} \Big|_{\theta}^a + \int_{\theta}^a \frac{\varphi_n^{(k-1)}(x)(-x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} dx \\
&= \dots = \sum_{\nu=1}^k \frac{\varphi_n^{(\nu-1)}(x)(-x)^{\nu}}{\nu!} \Big|_{\theta}^a + \int_{\theta}^a \varphi_n(x) dx \\
&= \sum_{\nu=1}^k \left(-\frac{1}{n}\right) \varphi_{n+\mu}^{(\nu)}(x)(-x)^{\nu/\nu!} \Big|_{\theta}^a - \frac{1}{n} \int_{\theta}^a \varphi_{n+\mu}'(x) dx \\
&= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=1}^k \frac{\varphi_{n+\mu}^{(\nu)}(x)(-x)^{\nu}}{\nu!} - \frac{1}{n} [\varphi_{n+\mu}(a) - \varphi_{n+\mu}(\theta)] \\
&= -\frac{1}{n} \left[\sum_{\nu=0}^k \frac{\varphi_{n+\mu}^{(\nu)}(a)(-a)^{\nu}}{\nu!} - \sum_{\nu=0}^k \frac{\varphi_{n+\mu}^{(\nu)}(\theta)(-\theta)^{\nu}}{\nu!} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^k q_{n,i}(\theta) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^k q_{n,i}(a) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^k q_{n,i}(\theta).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\int_{\theta}^a q_{n,k}(x) dx \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^k q_{n,i}(\theta).$$

If we denote

$$r_k(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^k \left(\frac{i}{n} - \theta\right)_+, \quad r_{-1}(\theta) = 0, \quad t_k(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \left(\theta - \frac{i}{n}\right)_+$$

and use (5) and (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(7) \quad \int_0^a N(x; \theta) dx &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \left(\frac{k}{n} - \theta\right)_+ \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} q_{n,i}(\theta) + \left(\theta - \frac{k}{n}\right)_+ \sum_{i=0}^k q_{n,i}(\theta) \right\} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ (r_k(\theta) - r_{k-1}(\theta)) \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} q_{n,i}(\theta) + (t_k(\theta) - t_{k+1}(\theta)) \sum_{i=0}^k q_{n,i}(\theta) \right\} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r_k(\theta) q_{n,k+1}(\theta) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_k(\theta) q_{n,k}(\theta).
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see, that

$$\begin{aligned}
(8) \quad r_k(\theta) &= 0 \text{ for } k \leq n\theta, \\
r_k(\theta) &\leq [(k+1)/n - \theta]^2/2 + 3a/2n \text{ for } k > n\theta, \\
t_k(\theta) &= 0 \text{ for } k \geq n\theta, \\
t_k(\theta) &\leq (k/n - \theta)^2/2 + 3a/2n \text{ for } k < n\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_k(\theta) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{i}{n} - \theta \right)_+ = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=[n\theta]+1}^k \left(\frac{i}{n} - \theta \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \left(\frac{k(k+1)}{2} - \frac{[n\theta]([n\theta]+1)}{2} \right) - \frac{\theta}{n} (k - [n\theta]) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k+1}{n} - \theta \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k+1}{n} - \theta \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k+1}{n} - \theta \right)^2 + \frac{3a}{2n}, \\ t_k(\theta) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \left(\theta - \frac{i}{n} \right)_+ = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=k}^{[n\theta]} \left(\theta - \frac{i}{n} \right) \\ &= \frac{\theta}{n} ([n\theta] - k + 1) - \frac{1}{n^2} \left(\frac{[n\theta]([n\theta]+1)}{2} - \frac{k(k-1)}{2} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{n} - \theta \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{n} - \theta \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{n} - \theta \right)^2 + \frac{3a}{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

From (7) and (8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^a N(x; \theta) dx &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (r_{k-1}(\theta) + t_k(\theta)) q_{n,k}(\theta) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{n} - \theta \right)^2 + \frac{3a}{2n} \right) q_{n,k}(\theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^2}{n^2} q_{n,k}(\theta) - \theta \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k}{n} q_{n,k}(\theta) + \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_{n,k}(\theta) + \frac{3a}{2n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_{n,k}(\theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(x^2 \frac{m_n}{n} + \frac{x}{n} - 2\theta x + \theta^2 \right) + \frac{3a}{2n} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (x - \theta)^2 + \frac{x}{n} + \frac{3a}{2n} < \frac{a}{2n} + \frac{3a}{2n} < \frac{2a}{n}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used, that

$$B_n^*(1; x) = 1, B_n^*(t; x) = x, B_n^*(t^2; x) = x^2 m_n/n + x/n, m_n/n \rightarrow 1.$$

We thus have

$$\max_{0 \leq \theta \leq a} \int_0^a N(x; \theta) dx = \frac{2a}{n}$$

and

$$(9) \quad \|B_n^*(f; \cdot) - f\|_{L[0, a]} \leq C(a) \|f''\|_{L[0, a]}/n.$$

Using (3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |B_n^*(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq B_n^* \left(\left(\int_x^a (t - \theta)_+ f''(\theta) d\theta + \int_0^x (\theta - t)_+ f''(\theta) d\theta \right); x \right) \\ &\leq \|f''\|_C B_n^* \left(\left(\int_x^a (t - \theta)_+ d\theta + \int_0^x (\theta - t)_+ d\theta \right); x \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \|f''\|_C B_n^* \left(\int_x^t (t-\theta) d\theta + \int_t^x (\theta-t) d\theta \right); x \\
&= \|f''\|_C B_n^* \left((t-x)^2; x \right) = \|f''\|_C \{ B_n^*(t^2; x) - 2xB_n^*(t; x) + x^2 B_n^*(1; x) \} \\
&= \|f''\|_C \left(x^2 \frac{m_n}{n} + \frac{x}{n} - 2x^2 + x^2 \right) = \frac{x}{n} \|f''\|_{C[0, a]} \leq \frac{a}{n} \|f''\|_{C[0, a]}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(10) \quad |B_n^*(f; x) - f(x)| \leq a \|f''\|_{C[0, a]} / n.$$

Using interpolation theory arguments [8] and noticing, that the space L_p is an intermediate space between L and C , we obtain from (9) and (10): $\|B_n^*(f; \cdot) - f\|_{L_p[0, a]} \leq C(a) \|f''\|_{L_p[0, a]} / n$, where the constant $C(a)$ depends only on a .

Lemma 1, Lemma 2 and Theorem 1 imply the following

Theorem 2. *If the bounded function f is p -integrable on $[0, \infty)$, and $f(x) = 0$ for $x \geq a$, then*

$$\|B_n^*(f; \cdot) - f\|_{L_p[0, a]} \leq C(a) \tau_2(f; n^{-1/2}),$$

where the constant $C(a)$ depends only on a .

Corollary 1. *If f is a function of bounded variation on $[0, \infty)$ and $f(x) = 0$ for $x \geq a$, then*

$$\|B_n^*(f; \cdot) - f\|_{L[0, a]} \leq C_1(a) \bigvee_0^a f \cdot n^{-1/2}.$$

Corollary 2. *If f' is a function of bounded variation on $[0, \infty)$ and $f(x) = 0$ for $x \geq a$, then*

$$\|B_n^*(f; \cdot) - f\|_{L[0, a]} \leq C_2(a) \bigvee_0^a f' \cdot n^{-1}.$$

These corollaries follow from Theorem 2 and the properties b) and e) of the moduli $\tau_k(f; \delta)_{L_p}$.

In the periodical case the following property of $\tau_2(f; \delta)_{L_p}$ is satisfied [6]: $\tau_2(f; \delta)_{L_p} \leq 16\delta\omega(f'; \delta)_{L_p}$.

In the general case it is proved [7], that: $\tau_k(f; \delta)_{L_p} \leq C(k)\delta\omega_{k-1}(f'; \delta)_{L_p}$. From this and Theorem 2 we obtain:

Corollary 3. *If the function f has a derivative $f' \in L_p[0, \infty)$ and $f(x) = 0$ for $x \geq a$, then*

$$\|B_n^*(f; \cdot) - f\|_{L_p[0, a]} \leq C_3(a) \omega(f'; n^{-1/2})_{L_p[0, a]}.$$

REFERENCES

1. A. Andreev, V. A. Попов. Approximation of functions by means of linear summation operators in L_p . — In: *Colloq. math. soc. J. Bolyai*, 35. Budapest, 1980 (in print).
2. А. Андреев, В. А. Попов, Б. Л. Сендов. Теоремы типа Джексона для наилучших односторонних приближений тригонометрическими многочленами. *Мат. заметки*, 26, 1979, 791—804.

3. V. Попов, A. S. Andreev. Steckin's type theorems for on-sided trigonometrial and spline approximation. *C. R. Acad. bulg. Sci.*, **31**, 1978, 151—154.
4. П. П. Коровкин. Линейные операторы и теория приближений. Москва, 1959.
5. В. А. Брудный. Аппроксимация функции n -переменных квази-многочленами. *Известия АН СССР, серия матем.*, **34**, 1970, 564—583.
6. В. А. Попов. Заметка об односторонних приближениях функций. *Доклады БАН*, **32**, 1979, 1319—1322.
7. K. Ivanov. On a new characteristic of functions, 1. *Serdica*, **8**, 1982, 262—279.
8. P. Butzer, H. Berens. Semi-Groups of operators and approximatic. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1967.

Centre for Mathematics and Mechanics
1090 Sofia

P. O. Box 373

Received 29. 5. 1981