CONSTRUCTIVE NONCOMMMUTATIVE INVARIANT THEORY

MÁTYÁS DOMOKOS*

VESSELIN DRENSKY**

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics Reáltanoda utca 13-15 1053 Budapest, Hungary domokos.matyas@renyi.hu Institute of
Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Acad. G. Bonchev Str., Block 8
1113 Sofia, Bulgaria
drensky@math.bas.bg

Abstract. The problem of finding generators of the subalgebra of invariants under the action of a group of automorphisms of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra on its universal enveloping algebra is reduced to finding homogeneous generators of the same group acting on the symmetric tensor algebra of the Lie algebra. This process is applied to prove a constructive Hilbert–Nagata Theorem (including degree bounds) for the algebra of invariants in a Lie nilpotent relatively free associative algebra endowed with an action induced by a representation of a reductive group.

1. Introduction

Let G be a subgroup of the automorphism group of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra L over a field K of characteristic zero. Then there is a natural induced action of G on the universal enveloping algebra U(L) via associative K-algebra automorphisms. First we describe a process by which one can construct generators of the subalgebra $U(L)^G$ of G-invariants in U(L) starting from a homogeneous generating system of $S(L)^G$, the commutative algebra of invariants in the symmetric tensor algebra S(L) of L.

Namely, there is a well-known K-vector space isomorphism $\omega: S(L) \to U(L)$ called the *canonical bijection* in [5, 2.4.6]. The details of the construction of ω will be given in Section 2, see (3). Note that the map ω is not an algebra homomorphism. However, we have the following:

Theorem 1. Suppose that $\{f_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is a homogeneous generating system of the commutative K-algebra $S(L)^G$, where G is a subgroup of the automorphism

DOI: 10.1007/s00031-021-09643-2

^{*}Partially supported by the exchange program between the Hungarian and Bulgarian Academies of Sciences and by the Hungarian National Research, Development and Innovation Office, NKFIH K 119934.

^{**}Partially supported by the exchange program between the Hungarian and Bulgarian Academies of Sciences and by Grant I02/18 of the Bulgarian National Science Fund.

Received November 30, 2018. Accepted July 19, 2019.

Published online February 24, 2021.

Corresponding Author: Mátyás Domokos, e-mail: domokos.matyas@renyi.hu

group of the finite-dimensional Lie algebra L. Then $\{\omega(f_{\lambda}) \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ generates the K-algebra $U(L)^G$.

Theorem 1 will be applied to noncommutative invariant theory in relatively free associative algebras. In this paper by 'algebra' we mean an associative K-algebra with unity, unless explicitly stated otherwise. The base field K is always assumed to have characteristic zero. For a finite-dimensional K-vector space V denote by T(V) the tensor algebra of V; that is, T(V) is the free algebra generated by a basis of V. Given a variety \mathfrak{R} of algebras we write $F(\mathfrak{R},V)$ for the relatively free algebra in \mathfrak{R} generated by a basis of V. Recall that \mathfrak{R} consists of all algebras that satisfy a given set of polynomial identities, so $F(\mathfrak{R},V)$ is the factor algebra of T(V) modulo a T-ideal (an ideal stable under all algebra endomorphisms of T(V)). Since we deal with algebras with unity and K is infinite, \mathfrak{R} necessarily contains the variety of commutative algebras, and we have the canonical surjections

$$T(V) \twoheadrightarrow F(\mathfrak{R}, V) \twoheadrightarrow S(V)$$
.

where S(V) is the symmetric tensor algebra of V (i.e., the commutative polynomial algebra generated by a basis of V). The above algebra surjections are GL(V)-equivariant. They are homomorphisms of graded algebras, where the algebra $T(V) = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} T^d(V)$ is endowed with the standard grading: the dth tensor power $T^d(V)$ of V is the degree d homogenerous component of T(V). Given a non-zero homogeneous element f in any of T(V), $F(\mathfrak{R}, V)$ or S(V) we shall write $\deg(f)$ for the degree of f. Note that when \mathfrak{R} is the variety of all algebras we have that $F(\mathfrak{R}, V) = T(V)$, and when \mathfrak{R} is the variety of commutative algebras we have $F(\mathfrak{R}, V) = S(V)$.

Let G be a linear algebraic group and V a finite-dimensional rational G-module; that is, we are given a group homomorphism $\rho: G \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$ that is a morphism of affine algebraic varieties (from now on we shall usually omit the attribute 'rational' and simply say that V is a G-module). The action of G on V induces an action on T(V), $F(\mathfrak{R}, V)$, and S(V) via automorphisms of graded algebras, and the above surjections are G-equivariant. We are interested in the subalgebra

$$F(\mathfrak{R}, V)^G = \{ f \in F(\mathfrak{R}, V) \mid g \cdot f = f \text{ for all } g \in G \}$$

of G-invariants. We refer to [8] and [10] for surveys on results concerning subalgebras of invariants in relatively free algebras.

For an integer $p \geq 1$ denote by \mathfrak{N}_p the variety of *Lie nilpotent algebras of Lie nilpotency index less or equal to p*. In other words, \mathfrak{N}_p is the variety of algebras satisfying the polynomial identity $[x_1, \ldots, x_{p+1}] = 0$. Here $[x_1, x_2] = x_1x_2 - x_2x_1$, and for $i \geq 3$ we have $[x_1, \ldots, x_i] = [[x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}], x_i]$.

Remark 1. For a (nonunitary) associative algebra nilpotence of index $\leq p$ means that the algebra satisfies the polynomial identity $x_1 \cdots x_p = 0$. By analogy with group theory a Lie algebra is nilpotent of index $\leq p$, if it satisfies the polynomial identity $[x_1, \ldots, x_{p+1}] = 0$.

Our starting point is the following non-commutative generalization of the Hilbert–Nagata theorem (see for example [11, Thm. A, p. 3]):

Theorem 2. ([6, Thm. 3.1]) Suppose that G is reductive, and $\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}_p$ for some $p \geq 1$. Then $F(\mathfrak{R}, V)^G$ is a finitely generated algebra for any G-module V.

Remark 2. The assumption $\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}_p$ for some $p \geq 1$ above is necessary, as the following converse of Theorem 2 is also shown in [6]: If $\dim_K(V) \geq 2$ and $F(\mathfrak{R}, V)^G$ is finitely generated for all reductive subgroups G of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$, then $\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}_p$ for some $p \geq 1$.

The proof of Theorem 2 in [6] is non-constructive, since it uses the Noetherian property of $F(\mathfrak{R},V)$ for $\mathfrak{R}\subset\mathfrak{N}_p$ (proved in [17]) similarly to the fundamental paper of Hilbert [13] on the commutative case p=1, where the Hilbert Basis Theorem was proved. Hilbert gave a more constructive proof of the commutative case in [14] (explicit degree bounds for the generators were first proved by Popov [20], [21], and stronger bounds more recently by Derksen [2]). For constructive (commutative) invariant theory of reductive groups see also [1], [4].

In the present paper we make Theorem 2 constructive. In algorithms for computing generators of algebras of invariants a crucial role is played by degree bounds. For example, an a priori degree bound for the generators of the algebra $F(\mathfrak{R},V)^G$ implies an algorithm to find explicit generators (by solving systems of linear equations). From a different perspective, sometimes there is a qualitatively known process that yields generators of the algebra of invariants, and the aim is to derive from it a degree bound for a minimal generating system, to have some quantitative information on the algebra of invariants.

In order to discuss degree bounds we need to introduce some notation. Given a graded algebra $A = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} A_d$ we denote by $\beta(A)$ the minimal non-negative integer d such that A is generated by homogeneous elements of degree at most d (and write $\beta(A) = \infty$ if there is no such d). Recall that $S(V) = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} S^d(V)$ is graded, where the degree d homogeneous component $S^d(V)$ is the dth symmetric tensor power of V. Set

$$\beta(G) := \sup \{ \beta(S(V)^G) \mid V \text{ is a finite-dimensional } G\text{-module} \}.$$

It is a classical theorem of E. Noether [19] that for G finite we have $\beta(G) \leq |G|$. On the other hand Derksen and Kemper [3, Thm. 2.1] proved that $\beta(G) = \infty$ for any infinite group G. So for an infinite group G, finite degree bounds may hold only for restricted classes of G-modules.

Given a set τ of isomorphism classes of simple G-modules, denote by $\operatorname{add}(\tau)$ the class of all G-modules that are finite direct sums of simple modules whose isomorphism class belongs to τ . This definition is particularly natural when the group G is reductive, because in that case any G-module decomposes as a direct sum of simple G-modules. By slight abuse of notation we write $V \in \tau$ if the isomorphism class of the G-module V belongs to τ . Moreover, write $\tau^{\otimes p}$ for the isomorphism classes of simple summands of all G-modules $V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_q$, where $V_i \in \tau$ and $q \leq p$. Set

$$\beta_{\tau} := \sup \{ \beta(S(V)^G) \mid V \in \operatorname{add}(\tau) \}.$$

Weyl's theorem [24] on polarizations implies the following:

Proposition 3. Let G be a reductive group and let τ be a finite set of isomorphism classes of simple G-modules. Then the number β_{τ} is finite.

Proof. Let V_1, \ldots, V_q be simple G-modules representing the isomorphism classes

in τ . Let V be an arbitrary G-module in $\operatorname{add}(\tau)$. Then $V \cong \sum_{i=1}^q m_i V_i$, where the

 m_i are non-negative integers and m_iV_i stands for the direct sum of m_i copies of V_i . Weyl's theorem on polarizations (the special case h=1 of Theorem 9 below) implies that

$$\beta(S(V)^G) \le \beta\left(S\left(\sum_{i=1}^q \min\{m_i, \dim(V_i)\}V_i\right)^G\right) \le \beta\left(S\left(\sum_{i=1}^q \dim(V_i)V_i\right)^G\right). \tag{1}$$

(The second inequality above follows from the fact that if A is a submodule of the G-module B, then there is a G-equivariant graded K-algebra surjection $S(B) \to S(A)$ mapping some of the variables to zero.) Since (1) holds for any $V \in \operatorname{add}(\tau)$, we conclude the equality

$$\beta_{\tau} = \beta \left(S \left(\sum_{i=1}^{q} \dim(V_i) V_i \right)^G \right).$$

The assumption on G guarantees that the number on the right-hand side above is finite. \Box

Turning to a variety \Re of associative algebras, we write

$$\beta_{\tau,\mathfrak{R}} := \sup \{ \beta(F(\mathfrak{R}, V)^G) \mid V \in \operatorname{add}(\tau) \},$$

where $\beta(F(\mathfrak{R},V)^G)$ is the supremum of the degrees of the elements in a minimal homogeneous generating system of the algebra $F(\mathfrak{R},V)^G$. Having established this notation we are in position to state the following corollary of the results of the present paper:

Theorem 4. Suppose that the group G is reductive and the variety \Re is contained in \Re_p for some $p \geq 1$. Let τ be a finite set of isomorphism classes of simple G-modules. Then we have the inequality

$$\beta_{\tau,\mathfrak{R}} \leq p\beta_{\tau^{\otimes p}}.$$

In fact the results of this paper give more: for any (not necessarily reductive) group $G \leq \operatorname{GL}(V)$ the construction of an explicit generating system of $F(\mathfrak{R},V)^G$ (where \mathfrak{R} is contained in \mathfrak{N}_p for some $p \geq 1$) is reduced to finding a generating system of a commutative algebra of G-invariants $S(W)^G$ for a G-module W associated canonically to V. For the precise statement see Theorem 7.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we prove Theorem 1, which is then applied in Section 3 to prove Theorem 7. Finally, Theorem 4 is obtained as a consequence of Theorem 7.

Since the technique of polarization in commutative invariant theory is fundamental for Proposition 3, in Section 4 we investigate to what extent it works in our noncommutative setup. Theorem 9 is a noncommutative generalization of Weyl's theorem on polarization; however, it applies for a class of varieties different from those appearing in Theorem 2, Theorem 4, or Theorem 7. Section 4 is logically independent from the previous sections.

Acknowledgements. We are very grateful to the referees for their suggestions. In particular, it was the referee's insight that our results – originally dealing only with relatively free algebras – should be treated as a consequence of a statement on universal enveloping algebras.

2. Universal enveloping algebras

Returning to the setup of the first paragraph of the Introduction, denote by $\pi_{U(L)}: T(L) \to U(L)$ the defining surjection onto U(L) from the tensor algebra $T(L) = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} T^d(L)$ (cf. [5, 2.1.1]), and denote by $\pi_{S(L)}: T(L) \to S(L)$ the natural surjection onto the symmetric tensor algebra. For $d = 1, 2, \ldots$, define the linear map

$$\iota_d: S^d(L) \to T^d(L), \quad \ell^d \mapsto \ell \otimes \dots \otimes \ell \quad (\ell \in L)$$
 (2)

(or more explicitly, $\iota_d(\ell_1 \cdots \ell_d) \mapsto \frac{1}{d!} \sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}_d} \ell_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \ell_{\sigma(d)}$). Write ι_0 for the identity map of $S^0(V) = K = T^0(V)$.

Introduce the notation $U(L)_d := \pi_{U(L)} (\bigoplus_{j=0}^d T^j(V)), d = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ Then

$$U(L)_0 \subseteq U(L)_1 \subseteq U(L)_2 \subseteq \cdots$$

is the canonical filtration of $U(L) = \bigcup_{d=0}^{\infty} U(L)_d$ (cf. [5, 2.3.1]). Moreover, consider the linear map

$$\omega_d: S^d(L) \to U(L)$$
 given by $\omega_d := \pi_{U(L)} \circ \iota_d$.

Note that $\omega_d(S^d(L))$ is a K-vector space direct complement of $U(L)_{d-1}$ in $U(L)_d$ (see [5, 2.4.4. Prop.]). The direct sum

$$\omega := \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} \omega_d : S(L) = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} S^d(V) \to U(L)$$
 (3)

is a K-vector space isomorphism called the *canonical bijection* in [5, 2.4.6]. Furthermore, we have the following:

Proposition 5. The canonical bijection $\omega: S(L) \to U(L)$ is an isomorphism of $\operatorname{Aut}(L)$ -modules, where $\operatorname{Aut}(L)$ denotes the automorphism group of the Lie algebra L. In particular, for any subgroup G of $\operatorname{Aut}(L)$, the canonical bijection restricts to a K-vector space isomorphism

$$\omega|_{S(L)^G}: S(L)^G \to U(L)^G.$$

Proof. The universal properties of T(L) and U(L) imply that the action of $\operatorname{Aut}(L)$ on L extends uniquely to an action via K-algebra automorphisms on T(L) and U(L), and the algebra homomorphism $\pi_{U(L)}$ is $\operatorname{Aut}(L)$ -equivariant. The map $\iota_d: S^d(L) \to T^d(L)$ is even $\operatorname{GL}(L)$ -equivariant, and clearly $\operatorname{Aut}(L) \leq \operatorname{GL}(L)$. Therefore the composition $\omega_d = \pi_{U(L)} \circ \iota_d$ is $\operatorname{Aut}(L)$ -equivariant for all d, implying in turn that ω is $\operatorname{Aut}(L)$ -equivariant. Consequently, the K-linear isomorphism $\omega: S(L) \to U(L)$ is in fact an isomorphism of G-modules for any subgroup G in $\operatorname{Aut}(L)$, and thus ω restricts to a linear isomorphism between the subspaces $S(V)^G \to U(L)^G$ of G-invariants. \square

As ω is not an algebra homomorphism in general, further considerations are needed to prove Theorem 1. Write $\eta_d: U(L)_d \to U(L)_d/U(L)_{d-1}$ for the natural surjection (with the convention $U(L)_{-1}=0$), $\pi_{U(L),d}$ for the restriction of $\pi_{U(L)}$ to $T^d(L)$, and $\pi_{S(L),d}$ for the restriction of $\pi_{S(L)}$ to $T^d(L)$. The linear maps $\eta_d, \pi_{U(L),d}, \pi_{S(L),d}$ are all Aut(L)-equivariant. Since $\ker(\eta_d \circ \pi_{U(L),d}) \supseteq \ker(\pi_{S(L),d})$ (see [5, 2.1.5. Lem.]), there exists a unique Aut(L)-module homomorphism

$$\mu_d: S^d(L) \to U(L)_d/U(L)_{d-1}$$
 with $\mu_d \circ \pi_{S(L),d} = \eta_d \circ \pi_{U(L),d}$.

Clearly $\eta_d \circ \pi_{U(L),d} \circ \iota_d \circ \pi_{S(L),d} = \eta_d \circ \pi_{U(L),d}$ (see for example [5, 2.1.5. Lem.]), hence in fact $\mu_d = \eta_d \circ \pi(U)_d \circ \iota_d = \eta_d \circ \omega_d$. Moreover, μ_d is a K-vector space isomorphism by the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt Theorem (or by [5, 2.4.4. Prop.]):

$$T^{d}(L) \xrightarrow{\pi_{U(L),d}} U(L)_{d}$$

$$\downarrow^{\pi_{S(L),d}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\eta_{d}} \qquad .$$

$$S^{d}(L) \stackrel{\mu_{d}}{\cong} U(L)_{d}/U(L)_{d-1}$$

So μ_d is an isomorphism of $\operatorname{Aut}(L)$ -modules, and restricting to G-invariants (where G is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(L)$) we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$T^{d}(L)^{G} \xrightarrow{\pi_{U(L),d}} U(L)_{d}^{G}$$

$$\pi_{S(L),d} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \eta_{d} \qquad . \tag{4}$$

$$S^{d}(L)^{G} \stackrel{\mu_{d}}{\cong} (U(L)_{d}/U(L)_{d-1})^{G}$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Since the space $S^d(L)^G$ is mapped by μ_d isomorphically onto $(U(L)_d/U(L)_{d-1})^G$, commutativity of the diagram (4) implies

$$U(L)_d^G/U(L)_{d-1}^G = \eta_d(U(L)_d^G) = (U(L)_d/U(L)_{d-1})^G.$$
(5)

Now let $\{f_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a homogeneous system of generators of $S(L)^G$. The maps $\iota_d: S^d(L) \to T^d(L)$ defined by (2) are GL(L)-equivariant, hence they are G-equivariant as well. In particular,

$$\widehat{f}_{\lambda} := \iota_{\deg(f_{\lambda})}(f_{\lambda}) \in T^{d}(L)^{G} \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda).$$

Denote by M the subalgebra of T(L) generated by $\{\widehat{f}_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. By construction, M is generated by homogeneous G-invariants, so $M = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} M_d$ is a graded subalgebra of $T(L)^G$. Moreover, $E := \pi_{U(L)}(M)$ is the subalgebra of $U(L)^G$ generated by $\{\omega(f_{\lambda}) \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. We have to show that $E \supseteq U(L)^G$. The algebra $E = \bigcup_{d=0}^{\infty} E_d$ is filtered, where $E_d := \pi_{U(L)}(\bigoplus_{j=0}^d M_d)$, $d = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ By induction on d we show $E_d \supseteq U(L)_d^G$. For d = 0 there is nothing to prove. Suppose d > 0, and $E_{d-1} = U(L)_{d-1}^G$. By (4) and (5) we have the commutative diagram

$$M_{d} \xrightarrow{\pi_{U(L),d}} E_{d}$$

$$\downarrow^{\eta_{d}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\eta_{d}} \qquad .$$

$$S^{d}(L)^{G} \stackrel{\mu_{d}}{\cong} U(L)_{d}^{G}/U(L)_{d-1}^{G}.$$

The restriction of $\pi_{S(L)}$ to M is a homomorphism of graded algebras $M \to S(L)^G$. By construction of M the generators of $S(L)^G$ are contained in $\pi_{S(L)}(M)$. It follows that $\pi_{S(L)}(M) = S(L)^G$, and since $\pi_{S(L)}$ preserves the grading, we have $\pi_{S(L),d}(M_d) = S^d(L)^G$ for all d. It follows that

$$\eta_d(E_d) \supseteq \eta_d(\pi_{U(L)}(M_d)) = \mu_d(\pi_{S(L),d}(M_d)) = \mu_d(S^d(L)^G) = U(L)_d^G/U(L)_{d-1}^G,$$

or, in other words, $U(L)_d^G = E_d + U(L)_{d-1}^G$. On the other hand, $E_d \supseteq E_{d-1}$, and by the induction hypothesis $E_{d-1} \supseteq U(L)_{d-1}^G$, so $U(L)_d^G = E_d + U(L)_{d-1}^G = E_d$. We conclude $E = \bigcup_{d=0}^{\infty} E_d = \bigcup_{d=0}^{\infty} U(L)_d^G = U(L)^G$. \square

In the above proof we pointed out that the natural surjection $\eta_d: U(L)_d \to U(L)_d/U(L)_{d-1}$ maps $U(L)_d^G$ onto $(U(L)_d/U(L)_{d-1})^G$ (see (5)). For later use we state a general lemma guaranteeing that a surjective map restricts to a surjection between the corresponding subspaces of invariants.

Given a reductive group H, recall that by a rational H-module we mean a not necessarily finite-dimensional vector space X together with an action of H via linear transformations, such that any $x \in X$ is contained in a finite-dimensional subspace Y of X which is stable under the action of H, i.e., $H \cdot Y = Y$, and the group homomorphism $H \to \operatorname{GL}(Y)$ giving the action of H on Y is a morphism of affine algebraic varieties. We shall denote by X^H the subspace of H-invariants in X.

Lemma 6. Let H be a reductive group and $\varphi: X \to Z$ a surjective homomorphism of rational H-modules. Then for any subgroup G of H we have $Z^G = \varphi(X^G)$.

Proof. Since H acts completely reducibly on X, the H-submodule $\ker(\varphi)$ has an H-module direct complement Y in X, so $X = \ker(\varphi) \oplus Y$. The restriction $\varphi|_Y : Y \to Z$ is an H-module isomorphism, hence it is a G-module isomorphism as well, thus it restricts to a K-vector space isomorphism $Y^G \to Z^G$. Clearly $Y^G \subseteq X^G$, so we derive $\varphi(X^G) \supseteq \varphi(Y^G) = Z^G$. The reverse inclusion $\varphi(X^G) \subseteq Z^G$ holds for any G-module homomorphism. \square

3. Lie nilpotent relatively free algebras

Fix an integer $p \geq 1$, a variety \Re contained in \Re_p , and a finite-dimensional rational G-module V (where G is an arbitrary linear algebraic group). Without loss of generality we may assume that $G \leq GL(V)$ (i.e., V is a faithful G-module). Set $F := F(\mathfrak{R}, V)$. Consider the subspaces in T(V) given by

$$V^{[d]} := \operatorname{Span}_K \{ [v_1, \dots, v_d] \mid v_1, \dots, v_d \in V \}$$

for $d=1,2,\ldots$, and $V^{[1]}:=V$. As a consequence of the Jacobi identity we have

$$[V^{[d]}, V^{[e]}] \subseteq V^{[d+e]}.$$
 (6)

The subspace $V^{[d]}$ is a GL(V)-submodule in the dth tensor power $V^{\otimes d}$ of V. Set

$$V^{[\leq p]} := \bigoplus_{d=1}^{p} V^{[d]},$$

and let $T := T(V^{[\leq p]})$ be the tensor algebra generated by $V^{[\leq p]}$. Denote by ε^i the ith standard basis vector $\varepsilon^i := (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{N}_0^p$ (the coordinate 1 is in the *i*th position). The algebra T has an \mathbb{N}_0^p -grading

$$T = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^p} T_{\alpha}, \quad T_{\varepsilon^i} = V^{[i]} \subseteq V^{[\leq p]} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, p.$$
 (7)

Note that $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ acts on T via \mathbb{N}_0^p -graded algebra automorphisms. The symmetric tensor algebra $S := S(V^{[\leq p]})$ is endowed with the analogous \mathbb{N}_0^p -grading, so the natural surjection $\pi_{S(L)}: T \to S$ is a $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ -equivariant homomorphism of \mathbb{N}_0^p graded algebras.

The tautological linear embedding of $V^{[\leq p]}$ into T(V) extends to a GL(V)equivariant algebra surjection $\pi: T \to T(V)$. Composing this with the natural surjection $\nu: T(V) \to F(\mathfrak{R}, V)$ we obtain

$$\pi_F := \nu \circ \pi : T \to F. \tag{8}$$

A homogeneous element in T may not be mapped to a homogeneous element of T(V) by π (or of F by π_F). However, the multihomogeneous component $T_{\alpha} \subset T$ is mapped under π (respectively π_F) into the homogeneous component of T(V)(respectively F) of degree $\sum_{i=1}^{p} i\alpha_i$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^p$ write $|\alpha| := \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i$. Denote by $\iota_{\alpha} : S_{\alpha} \to T_{\alpha}$ the linear map

given by

$$\iota_{\alpha}(v_{1}\cdots v_{|\alpha|}) = \frac{1}{|\alpha|!} \sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}_{|\alpha|}} v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma(|\alpha|)}$$

(where Sym_d stands for the symmetric group on $\{1,\ldots,d\}$ and $v_1,\ldots,v_{|\alpha|}\in$ $V^{[\leq p]}$). Obviously ι_{α} is $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ -equivariant (as it is even $\mathrm{GL}(V^{[\leq p]})$ -equivariant), and hence ι_{α} is G-equivariant (just like $\pi_{S(L)}$). Thus $\iota_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha}^{G}) \subseteq T_{\alpha}^{G}$. Moreover, $\pi_{S(L)} \circ \iota_{\alpha}$ is the identity map on S_{α} , consequently $\pi_{S(L)}(\iota_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha}^{G})) = S_{\alpha}^{G}$. In particular, $\pi_{S(L)}(T_{\alpha}^G) = S_{\alpha}^G$.

Theorem 7. Let \mathfrak{R} be a variety contained in \mathfrak{N}_p for some $p \geq 1$. Let V be a Gmodule, and S, T, F the algebras defined above. Take a multihomogeneous (with
respect to the \mathbb{N}_0^p -grading (7)) K-algebra generating system $\{f_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of S^G ,
denote by α_{λ} the multidegree of f_{λ} , and set

$$\widehat{f}_{\lambda} := \iota_{\alpha_{\lambda}}(f_{\lambda}) \in T_{\alpha_{\lambda}}^{G} \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda).$$

Then the K-algebra F^G is generated by the homogeneous elements $\{\pi_F(\widehat{f}_{\lambda}) \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. Furthermore,

$$\deg(\pi_F(\widehat{f}_{\lambda})) = \sum_{i=1}^p i\alpha_{\lambda,i}.$$

Remark 3. The algebra S^G is known to be finitely generated (in addition to the case when G is reductive) also when G is a maximal unipotent subgroup of a reductive group (see [12] or [11, Thm. 9.4]), and consequently when G is a Borel subgroup of a reductive group. In these cases Theorem 7 reduces the construction of a finite generating system of F^G to the construction of a finite generating system in the commutative algebra of invariants S^G .

Proof of Theorem 7. The subspace $\bigoplus_{d=1}^{\infty} V^{[d]}$ of T(V) is a Lie subalgebra (in fact it is the free Lie algebra generated by $\dim_K(V)$ elements). It contains the Lie ideal $\bigoplus_{d>p} V^{[d]}$, and the corresponding factor Lie algebra $L_p(V)$ is the relatively free nilpotent Lie algebra of nilpotency index p generated by $\dim_K(V)$ elements. We identify the underlying vector space of $L_p(V)$ with $\bigoplus_{d=1}^p V^{[d]}$ in the obvious way. Then T is identified with $T(L_p(V))$ and S is identified with $S(L_p(V))$. Applying Theorem 1 for the Lie algebra $L_p(V)$ and the group $G \leq \operatorname{GL}(V)$ (note that $\operatorname{GL}(V)$ is naturally a subgroup of the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(L_p(V))$) of the Lie algebra $L_p(V)$) we obtain that

$$\{\pi_{U(L_p(V))}(\widehat{f}_{\lambda}) \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$
(9)

is a generating system of $U(L_p(V))^G$. By relative freeness of $L_p(V)$ in the variety \mathfrak{N}_p , the identity map $V \to V$ extends to a (surjective) Lie algebra homomorphism from $L_p(V)$ onto the Lie subalgebra of F generated by V. Now the universal property of the universal enveloping algebra implies that this Lie algebra homomorphism extends to an associative algebra homomorphism $\gamma: U(L_p(V)) \to F$. The image of γ contains the K-algebra generating system $V \subset F$, hence γ is surjective onto F. It is also $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ -equivariant, therefore by Lemma 6 we have $\gamma(U(L_p(V))^G) = F^G$. It follows that the generating system (9) of $U(L_p(V))^G$ is mapped by γ to a generating system of F^G . On the other hand, by construction π_F and $\gamma \circ \pi_{U(L_p(V))}$ agree on the subspace $V^{[\leq p]} = L_p(V)$ of T generating T as a K-algebra, hence

$$\pi_F = \gamma \circ \pi_{U(L_p(V))} : T = T(L_p(V)) \to F.$$

Thus $\pi_F(\widehat{f}_{\lambda}) = \gamma(\pi_{U(L_p(V))}(\widehat{f}_{\lambda}))$, and these elements generate F^G as λ varies over Λ . \square

Proof of Theorem 4. Let V be a G-module in $\operatorname{add}(\tau)$. Now G is reductive, so we may take a finite minimal multihomogeneous (with respect to the \mathbb{N}_0^p -grading (7)) generating system $\{f_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of $S(V^{[\leq p]})^G$. Consider the generating system $\{\pi_F(\widehat{f_\lambda}) \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of $F(\mathfrak{R}, V)^G$ given by Theorem 7. Note that $\{f_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is a minimal homogeneous generating system of S^G with respect to the standard grading, where $V^{[\leq p]}$ is the degree 1 homogeneous component of S. Since G is reductive, all G-modules are completely reducible. Moreover, $V^{[q]}$ is a $\operatorname{GL}(V)$ -module (hence G-module) direct summand in $V^{\otimes q}$. It follows that $V^{[\leq p]}$ belongs to $\operatorname{add}(\tau^{\otimes p})$, implying that $\operatorname{deg}(f_\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_{\lambda,i} \leq \beta_{\tau^{\otimes p}}$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ (recall that the multidegree of f_λ is $\alpha_\lambda = (\alpha_{\lambda,1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\lambda,p})$, and $\operatorname{deg}(f_\lambda)$ here stands for the degree of f_λ with respect to the standard grading on S, for which the generators have degree 1). So we have

$$\deg(\pi_F(\widehat{f}_{\lambda})) = \sum_{i=1}^p i\alpha_{\lambda,i} \le p \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_{\lambda,i} = p \deg(f_{\lambda}) \le p\beta_{\tau^{\otimes p}}.$$

In other words, $F(\mathfrak{R}, V)^G$ is generated by elements of degree at most $p\beta_{\tau^{\otimes p}}$. This holds for any G-module $V \in \operatorname{add}(\tau)$, therefore the desired inequality $\beta_{\tau,\mathfrak{R}} \leq p\beta_{\tau^{\otimes p}}$ holds. \square

Remark 4. In the proof of Theorem 4 we used that all simple G-submodules of $V^{[q]}$ are contained in $V^{\otimes q}$, because $V^{[q]}$ is a $\operatorname{GL}(V)$ -submodule of $V^{\otimes q}$. We mention that it was proved by Klyachko [16] that for $q \neq 4, 6$, all simple $\operatorname{GL}(V)$ -submodules of $V^{\otimes q}$ different from the qth exterior or symmetric powers of V are contained in $V^{[q]}$.

Following [7] for a finite group G we set

$$\beta(G, \mathfrak{R}) = \sup \{ \beta(F(\mathfrak{R}, V)^G \mid V \text{ is a } G\text{-module} \}.$$

Corollary 8. For a finite group G we have

$$\beta(G, \mathfrak{N}_p) \le p\beta(G).$$

Remark 5. The results of [7] provide an upper bound for $\beta(G, \mathfrak{N}_p)$ of different nature.

4. A noncommutative generalization of Weyl's theorem

In this section we assume that the variety \mathfrak{R} is generated (in the sense of universal algebra) by a finitely generated algebra. Kemer [15] proved that then \mathfrak{R} satisfies a Capelli identity. This means that there exists a positive integer $h = h(\mathfrak{R})$ such that all algebras in \mathfrak{R} satisfy the polynomial identity

$$\sum_{\pi \in \text{Sym}_{h+1}} (-1)^{\pi} x_{\pi(1)} y_1 x_{\pi(2)} y_2 \cdots y_h x_{\pi(h+1)} = 0.$$
 (10)

Our focus is on the case when V = U + mW, where

$$mW = W + \cdots + W$$

is the direct sum of m copies of a G-module W, and U is a G-module. We shall identify mW with $W \otimes K^m$, which is naturally a $GL(W) \times GL(K^m)$ -module (here K^m stands for the space of column vectors of length m over K). Also V = U + mW is naturally a $GL(U) \times GL(W) \times GL(K^m)$ -module. Moreover, for $l \leq m$ we shall identify K^l with the subspace of K^m consisting of column vectors whose last m-l coordinates are zero. Accordingly lW is identified with the subspace of mW consisting of m-tuples of elements of W with the zero vector as the last m-l component. In this way $F(\mathfrak{R}, U + lW)$ becomes a subalgebra of $F(\mathfrak{R}, U + mW)$.

Theorem 9. Let G be a group, let U and W be G-modules, $n = \dim(W)$, and let B be a set of generators of the algebra $F(\mathfrak{R}, U + nhW)^G$, where $h = h(\mathfrak{R})$ as above. Then for any $m \ge nh$ the algebra $F(\mathfrak{R}, U + mW)^G$ is generated by

$$\{g \cdot f \mid g \in GL(K^m), f \in B\}.$$

Recall that the relatively free algebra $F(\mathfrak{R}, V)$ is graded such that the subspace V is the degree 1 homogeneous component.

Corollary 10. In the scenario of Theorem 9 for all positive integers m we have the inequality

$$\beta(F(\mathfrak{R}, U + mW)^G) \le \beta(F(\mathfrak{R}, U + nhW)^G).$$

Remark 6. In the special case when \mathfrak{R} is the variety of commutative algebras we have $h(\mathfrak{R}) = 1$, and hence Theorem 9 in this special case recovers Weyl's theorem on polarization, which is a main theme in [24].

In order to prove Theorem 9 we need to recall some facts about polynomial representations of the general linear group. A partition λ of d (we write $\lambda \vdash d$) is a finite non-increasing sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ of non-negative integers (with the convention that zeros can be freely appended to or removed from the end) such that $\sum_i \lambda_i = d$. Write $\operatorname{ht}(\lambda)$ for the number of non-zero components of λ . Denote by $S^{\lambda}(.)$ the Schur functor (see for example [22]), so for a finite-dimensional K-vector space E and a partition λ with $\operatorname{ht}(\lambda) \leq \dim(E)$, we have that $S^{\lambda}(E)$ is a simple polynomial $\operatorname{GL}(E)$ -module.

Lemma 11. If the simple GL(E)-module $S^{\mu}(E)$ occurs as a direct summand in $S^{\lambda}(U + nE)$, where n is a positive integer and GL(E) acts trivially on the finite-dimensional K-vector space U, then $ht(\mu) \leq n \cdot ht(\lambda)$.

Proof. Suppose that $S^{\mu}(E)$ occurs as a direct summand in $S^{\lambda}(V)$, where V = U + nE. It follows from Pieri's rules (see [18]) that

$$S^{\lambda}(V)$$
 is a direct summand in $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\operatorname{ht}(\lambda)} S^{(\lambda_i)}(V)$, (11)

where for the partition (d) with only one non-zero part, $S^{(d)}(V)$ is the dth symmetric tensor power of V. Therefore $S^{\mu}(E)$ is a direct summand in $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\operatorname{ht}(\lambda)} S^{(\lambda_i)}(U+nE)$. Any simple $\operatorname{GL}(E)$ -module summand of $S^{(\lambda_i)}(U+nE)$ is isomorphic to $S^{\nu^i}(E)$ for some partition $\nu^i = (\nu_1^i, \dots, \nu_n^i)$ with $\operatorname{ht}(\nu_i) \leq n$ by the Cauchy identity (see [22]). Therefore again by (11), $S^{\mu}(E)$ is a direct summand in $S^{\nu^1}(E) \otimes \dots \otimes S^{\nu^{\operatorname{ht}(\lambda)}}(E)$, hence $S^{\mu}(E)$ is a direct summand in

$$\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\operatorname{ht}(\lambda)} \bigotimes_{j=1}^n S^{(\nu_j^i)}(E).$$

We conclude by Pieri's rules that $\operatorname{ht}(\mu)$ is bounded by the number $\operatorname{nht}(\lambda)$ of tensor factors in the above expression. \square

Proof of Theorem 9. Set $V = U + mW = U + W \otimes K^m$. Since $F(\mathfrak{R}, V)$ satisfies the Capelli identity (10), by a theorem of Regev [23] (see also [9, Thm. 2.3.4]) no $S^{\lambda}(V)$ with $ht(\lambda) > h = h(\mathcal{R})$ occurs as a summand of $F(\mathfrak{R}, V)$. So the degree d homogeneous component of $F(\mathfrak{R}, V)$ has the GL(V)-module decomposition

$$F(\mathfrak{R}, V)_d \cong \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash d \\ \operatorname{ht}(\lambda) \le h}} m^{\lambda} S^{\lambda}(V)$$

with some non-negative integers m^{λ} . Setting $E = K^m$ and $n = \dim(W)$, Lemma 11 shows that as a GL(E)-module, $F(\mathfrak{R}, U + W \otimes E)_d$ decomposes as

$$F(\mathfrak{R}, U + nE)_d \cong \sum_{\substack{\mu \vdash d \\ \operatorname{ht}(\mu) \le nh}} r^{\mu} S^{\mu}(E)$$
 (12)

with some non-negative integers r^{μ} . Since the actions of G and $\operatorname{GL}(E)$ commute, the algebra $F(\mathfrak{R},U+W\otimes E)^G$ is a $\operatorname{GL}(E)$ -submodule in $F(\mathfrak{R},U+W\otimes E)$. Thus each simple $\operatorname{GL}(E)$ -module direct summand of $F(\mathfrak{R},U+W\otimes E)^G$ is isomorphic to $S^{\mu}(E)$ for some partition μ with $\operatorname{ht}(\mu) \leq nh$. Such a summand is generated by a highest weight vector w, having the property that for an element $g=\operatorname{diag}(z_1,\ldots,z_m)\in\operatorname{GL}(K^m)=\operatorname{GL}(E)$ we have $g\cdot w=(z_1^{\mu_1}\cdots z_m^{\mu_m})w$. Since $\mu_{nh+1}=\cdots=\mu_m=0$, we conclude that w belongs to the subalgebra $F(\mathfrak{R},U+W\otimes K^{nh})=F(\mathfrak{R},U+nhW)$ of $F(\mathfrak{R},U+W\otimes E)$. Thus $F(\mathfrak{R},U+W\otimes E)^G$ is contained in the $\operatorname{GL}(E)$ -submodule of $F(\mathfrak{R},U+W\otimes E)$ generated by $F(\mathfrak{R},U+W\otimes K^{nh})^G$. Now $\{g\cdot f\mid g\in\operatorname{GL}(K^m),\ f\in B\}$ spans a $\operatorname{GL}(E)$ -submodule, hence it generates a $\operatorname{GL}(E)$ -stable subalgebra of $F(\mathfrak{R},U+W\otimes E)^G$. By construction this subalgebra contains $F(\mathfrak{R},U+W\otimes K^{nh})^G$, so it contains $F(\mathfrak{R},U+W\otimes E)^G$. \square

References

- H. Derksen, Computation of reductive group invariants, Adv. Math. 141 (1999), 366-384.
- [2] H. Derksen, Polynomial bounds for rings of invariants, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (2001), 955–963.

- [3] H. Derksen, G. Kemper, On global degree bounds for invariants, in: Invariant Theory in All Characteristics (Ed.: H. E. A. Eddy Campbell and D. L. Wehlau), CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, Vol. 35, 2004, pp. 37–41.
- [4] H. Derksen, G. Kemper, Computational Invariant Theory, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 130, Subseries Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups, Vol. I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [5] J. Dixmier, Enveloping Algebras, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 11, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.
- [6] M. Domokos, V. Drensky, A Hilbert-Nagata theorem in noncommutative invariant theory, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 350 (1998), no. 7, 2797-2811.
- [7] M. Domokos, V. Drensky, Noether bound for invariants in relatively free algebras, J. Algebra 463 (2016), 152–167.
- [8] V. Drensky, Commutative and noncommutative invariant theory, in: Mathematics and Education in Mathematics, Proc. of the 24th Spring Conference of the Union of Bulgarian Mathematicians, Svishtov, April 4–7, 1995, Sofia, 1995, pp. 14–50.
- [9] V. Drensky, E. Formanek, *Polynomial Identity Rings*, Advanced Courses in Mathematics, CRM Barcelona, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2004.
- [10] E. Formanek, Noncommutative invariant theory, Contemp. Math. 43 (1985), 87–119.
- [11] F. D. Grosshans, Algebraic Homogeneous Spaces and Invariant Theory, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1673, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [12] Дж. Хаджиев, Некоторые вопросы теории векторных инвариантов, Матем. cб. **72**(114) (1967), no. 3, 420–435. Engl. transl.: Dž. Hadžiev, Some questions in the theory of vector invariants, Math. USSR-Sb. **1** (1967), no. 3, 383–396.
- [13] D. Hilbert, Über die Theorie der algebraischen Formen, Math. Ann. 36 (1890), 473– 534.
- [14] D. Hilbert, Über die vollen Invarientensysteme, Math. Ann. 42 (1893), 313–373.
- [15] А. Р. Кемер, Тождества Капелли и нильпотентность радикала конечно-порожденной РІ алгебры, Докл. АН СССР **255** (1980), ном. 4, 793–797. Engl. transl.: A. R. Kemer, Capelli identities and nilpotency of the radical of finitely generated PI-algebras, Sov. Math. Dokl. **22** (1980), 750–753.
- [16] А. А. Клячко, Элементы Ли в тензорной алгебре, Сиб. мат. журн. 15 (1974), 1296—1304. Engl. transl.: A. A. Klyachko, Lie elements in the tensor algebra, Sib. Math. J. 15 (1975), 914—920.
- [17] В. Н. Латышев, Обобщение теоремы Гильберта о конечности базисов, Сиб. мат. журн. 7 (1966), no. 6, 1422–1424. Engl. transl.: V. N. Latyshev, Generalization of the Hilbert theorem on the finiteness of bases, Sib. Math. J. 7 (1966), 1112–1113.
- [18] I. G. Macdonald, Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials, 2nd Edition, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [19] E. Noether, Der Endlichkeitssatz der Invarianten endlicher Gruppen, Math. Ann. 77 (1916), 89–92; reprinted in: Gesammelte Abhandlungen. Collected Papers, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983, pp. 181–184.
- [20] V. L. Popov, Constructive invariant theory, in: Tableaux de Young et Foncteurs de Schur en Algèbre et Géométrie (Toruń, Pologne, 1980), Astérique 87–88, Soc. Math. France, 1981, pp. 303–334.

- [21] В. Л. Попов, Конструктивная теория инвариантов, Изв. АН СССР. Сер. матем. **45** (1981), вып. 5, 1100–1120. Engl. transl.: V. L. Popov, *The constructive theory of invariants*, Math. USSR-Izv. **19** (1982), no. 2, 359–376.
- [22] C. Procesi, Lie Groups: An Approach Through Invariants and Representations, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2007.
- [23] A. Regev, Algebras satisfying a Capelli identity, Israel J. Math. 33 (1979), 149–154.
- [24] H. Weyl, The Classical Groups: Their Invariants and Representations, 2nd edition, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1946.

Funding Information Open access funding provided by ELKH Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Publishers Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.