

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

# Serdica

## Mathematical Journal

### Сердика

### Математическо списание

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.  
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.  
Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on  
Serdica Mathematical Journal  
which is the new series of  
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes  
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>  
or contact: Editorial Office  
Serdica Mathematical Journal  
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics  
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49  
e-mail: [serdica@math.bas.bg](mailto:serdica@math.bas.bg)

## OSCILLATION CRITERIA FOR FIRST ORDER DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

E. M. Elabbasy, T. S. Hassan

*Communicated by I. D. Iliev*

ABSTRACT. This paper is concerned with the oscillatory behavior of first-order delay differential equation of the form

$$\dot{x}(t) + p(t)x(\tau(t)) = 0,$$

where  $p, \tau \in C[[t_0, \infty), R^+]$ ,  $R^+ = [0, \infty)$ ,  $\tau(t)$  is nondecreasing,  $\tau(t) < t$  for  $t \geq t_0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t) = \infty$ . Let the numbers  $k$  and  $l$  be defined by

$$k = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^t p(s) ds \quad \text{and} \quad l = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^t p(s) ds.$$

It is proved here that when  $l < 1$  and  $0 < k \leq \frac{1}{e}$  all solutions of this equation oscillate in several cases in which the condition

$$l > \frac{e-1}{e-2} \left( k + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \right) - \frac{1}{e-2},$$

holds, where  $\lambda_1$  is the smaller root of the equation  $\lambda = e^{k\lambda}$ .

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 34K15.

*Key words*: Oscillation, Delay differential equations.

**1. Introduction.** The problem of establishing sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions of the differential equation

$$(1) \quad \dot{x}(t) + p(t)x(\tau(t)) = 0,$$

where  $p, \tau \in C[[t_0, \infty), R^+]$ ,  $R^+ = [0, \infty)$ ,  $\tau(t)$  is nondecreasing,  $\tau(t) < t$  for  $t \geq t_0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t) = \infty$ , has been the subject of many investigations. See, for example, [1]–[26] and the references cited therein.

By a solution of Eq.(1) we understand a continuously differentiable function defined on  $[\tau(T_0), \infty)$  for some  $T_0 \geq t_0$  and such that (1) is satisfied for  $t \geq T_0$ . Such a solution is called oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros, and otherwise it is called nonoscillatory.

The first systematic study for the oscillation of all solutions of Eq. (1) was made by Myshkis [24]. In the 1950 paper [24] he proved that every solution of (1) oscillates if

$$(C_1) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t - \tau(t)) < \infty \text{ and } \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t - \tau(t)) \cdot \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t) > \frac{1}{e}.$$

In 1972 Ladas et al [19] proved that the same conclusion holds if

$$(C_2) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^t p(s) ds > 1.$$

In 1979 Ladas [18] and in 1982 Koplatadze et al [13] improved  $(C_1)$  to

$$(C_3) \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^t p(s) ds > \frac{1}{e}.$$

Concerning the constant  $\frac{1}{e}$  in  $(C_3)$ , it should be pointed out that if the inequality

$$\int_{\tau(t)}^t p(s) ds \leq \frac{1}{e},$$

eventually holds, then, according to a result in [13], Eq. (1) has a nonoscillatory solution.

In 1984 Ladas et al [20] and in 1984 Fukagai et al [10] established the oscillation criteria (of the type of conditions  $(C_2)$  and  $(C_3)$ ) for Eq. (1) with oscillating coefficient  $p(t)$ .

It is obvious that there is a gap between the conditions  $(C_2)$  and  $(C_3)$  when the limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^t p(s) ds,$$

does not exist. How to fill this gap is an interesting problem which has been recently investigating by several authors.

In 1988 Erbe et al [9] developed new oscillation criteria by employing the upper bound of the ratio  $\frac{x(\tau(t))}{x(t)}$  for possible nonoscillatory solutions  $x(t)$  of Eq. (1). Their result, when formulated in terms of the numbers  $k$  and  $l$  defined by

$$(C) \quad k = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^t p(s) ds \quad \text{and} \quad l = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^t p(s) ds,$$

says that all the solutions of Eq. (1) are oscillatory, if  $0 < k \leq \frac{1}{e}$  and

$$(C_4) \quad l > 1 - \frac{k^2}{4}.$$

Since then several authors tried to obtain better results by improving the upper bound for  $\frac{x(\tau(t))}{x(t)}$ . In 1991 Jian Chao [2] derived the condition

$$(C_5) \quad l > 1 - \frac{k^2}{2(1-k)},$$

while in 1992 Yu et al [27] and [28] obtained the condition

$$(C_6) \quad l > 1 - \frac{1-k-\sqrt{1-2k-k^2}}{2}.$$

In 1990 Elbert et al [7] and in 1991 Kwong [16], using different techniques, improved  $(C_4)$ , in the case where  $0 < k \leq \frac{1}{e}$ , to the conditions

$$(C_7) \quad l > 1 - \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \right]^2,$$

and

$$(C_8) \quad l > \frac{\ln \lambda_1 + 1}{\lambda_1},$$

respectively, where  $\lambda_1$  is the smaller root of the equation

$$(2) \quad \lambda = e^{k\lambda}.$$

In 1994 Koplatadze et al [14] improved  $(C_6)$ , while in 1999 Philos et al [25], in 1998 Jaros et al [11], in 2000 Kon et al [17] and in 2003 Sficas et al [26] derived new conditions.

$$(C_9) \quad l > 1 - \frac{k^2}{2(1-k)} - \frac{k^2}{2}\lambda_1,$$

$$(C_{10}) \quad l > \frac{1 + \ln \lambda_1}{\lambda_1} - \frac{1 - k - \sqrt{1 - 2k - k^2}}{2},$$

$$(C_{11}) \quad l > 2k + \frac{2}{\lambda_1} - 1,$$

and

$$(C_{12}) \quad l > \frac{\ln \lambda_1 - 1 + \sqrt{5 - 2\lambda_1 + 2k\lambda_1}}{\lambda_1},$$

respectively.

Following this historical (and chronological) review we also mention that in the case where

$$\int_{\tau(t)}^t p(s)ds \geq \frac{1}{e} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^t p(s)ds = \frac{1}{e},$$

this problem has been studied in 1995 by Elbert et al [8] and in 1995 by Kozakiewicz [15], Li [22, 23] and by Domshlak et al [5].

The purpose of this paper is to improve the methods previously used to show that the conditions  $(C_2)$  and  $(C_4) - (C_{12})$  may be weakened to

$$(C_{13}) \quad l > \frac{e-1}{e-2} \left( k + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \right) - \frac{1}{e-2}.$$

One has to notice that as  $k \rightarrow 0$ , then all conditions  $(C_4) - (C_{11})$  and also our condition  $(C_{13})$  reduce to the condition  $(C_2)$ . However the improvement is

clear as  $k \rightarrow \frac{1}{e}$ . For illustrative purpose, we give the values of the lower bound on  $l$  under these conditions, when  $k = \frac{1}{e}$ , are

$$(C_2) : 1.000000000$$

$$(C_4) : 0.966166179$$

$$(C_5) : 0.892951367$$

$$(C_6) : 0.863457014$$

$$(C_7) : 0.845181878$$

$$(C_8) : 0.735758882$$

$$(C_9) : 0.709011646$$

$$(C_{10}) : 0.599215896$$

$$(C_{11}) : 0.471517764$$

$$(C_{12}) : 0.459987065$$

$$(C_{13}) : 0.367879441$$

We see that our condition  $(C_{13})$  essentially improves all the known results in the literature.

**2. Main results.** In what follows we will denote by  $k$  and  $l$  the lower and upper limits of the average  $\int_{\tau(t)}^t p(s)ds$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , respectively, see  $(C)$ .

Set

$$\omega(t) = \frac{x(\tau(t))}{x(t)}.$$

We begin with the preliminary analysis of asymptotic behavior of the function  $\omega(t)$  for a possible nonoscillatory solution  $x(t)$  of Eq.(1) in the case when  $k \leq \frac{1}{e}$ . For this purpose, assume that (1) has a solution  $x(t)$  which is positive for all large  $t$ . Dividing first Eq. (1) by  $x(t)$  and then integrating it from  $\tau(t)$  to  $t$ , we get the integral equality

$$(3) \quad \omega(t) = \exp \int_{\tau(t)}^t p(s)\omega(s) ds,$$

which holds for all sufficiently large  $t$ .

Also set

$$F(t) = \frac{p(t)}{\mu(t)},$$

where the function  $\mu(t)$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $\mu(t)$  is nonincreasing,
- (ii)

$$1 \leq N := \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(t) \leq \frac{1}{e-2}.$$

For the next lemma see [11].

**Lemma 1** [11]. *Suppose that  $k > 0$  and Eq. (1) has an eventually positive solution  $x(t)$ . Then*

$$k \leq \frac{1}{e} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_1 \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \omega(t) \leq \lambda_2$$

where  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are the roots of the equation  $\lambda = e^{k\lambda}$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $0 < k \leq \frac{1}{e}$  and  $x(t)$  be an eventually positive solution of Eq. (1). Assume that there exists  $\theta > 0$  such that*

$$(4) \quad \int_{\tau(u)}^{\tau(t)} F(s)ds \geq \theta \int_u^t F(s)ds \quad \text{for all } \tau(t) \leq u \leq t,$$

then

$$(5) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \omega(t) \leq \frac{2}{N \left( 1 - k - \sqrt{(1-k)^2 - 4B} \right)},$$

where  $B$  is given by

$$(6) \quad B = \frac{e^{\lambda_1 \theta k} - \lambda_1 \theta k - 1}{(\lambda_1 \theta)^2}.$$

and  $\lambda_1$  is the smaller root of the equation  $\lambda = e^{k\lambda}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $t > t_0 \geq 1$  be large enough so that  $\tau(t) > t_0$  and  $t_1 \equiv t_1(t) > t$  such that

$$\tau(t_1) = t, \quad \delta = \int_t^{\tau(t_1)} F(s)ds \leq \int_t^{\tau(t_1)} p(s)ds,$$

where  $\delta : 0 < \delta < k$  is arbitrary close to  $k$ .

Integrating (1) from  $t$  to  $t_1$ , we obtain

$$x(t) = x(t_1) + \int_t^{t_1} p(s)x(\tau(s)) ds,$$

and  $F(s) = \frac{p(s)}{\mu(s)}$ ,

$$x(t) = x(t_1) + \int_t^{t_1} F(s)\mu(s)x(\tau(s)) ds,$$

Integrating (1) from  $\tau(s)$  to  $t$  for  $s < t_1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(\tau(s)) &= x(t) + \int_{\tau(s)}^t p(u)x(\tau(u)) du \\ &= x(t) + \int_{\tau(s)}^t F(u)\mu(u)x(\tau(u)) du. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the last two equalities, we obtain

$$(7) \quad x(t) = x(t_1) + \int_t^{t_1} F(s)\mu(s) \left( x(t) + \int_{\tau(s)}^t F(u)\mu(u)x(\tau(u)) du \right) ds.$$

Let  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$ , then the function

$$(8) \quad \phi(t) = x(t)e^{\lambda \int_{t_0}^t F(s)ds},$$

is decreasing for large  $t \geq t_0$  since  $x(t)$  is also decreasing. Indeed, by Lemma 1,

$$\frac{x(\tau(t))}{x(t)} > \lambda, \text{ since } \mu(t) \geq 1 \text{ for } t \geq t_0 \geq 1,$$

then

$$\frac{\mu(t)x(\tau(t))}{x(t)} > \lambda,$$

for all sufficiently large  $t$ , and consequently

$$0 = x'(t) + F(t)\mu(t)x(\tau(t)) \geq x'(t) + \lambda F(t)x(t),$$

which implies  $\phi'(t) \leq 0$  for sufficiently large  $t$ .



Substituting into (7), we get for sufficiently large  $t$  the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) &\geq x(t_1) + \delta x(t) \\
 &+ \int_t^{t_1} F(s) \left( \int_{\tau(s)}^t \mu(u) F(u) \phi(\tau(u)) e^{-\lambda \int_{t_0}^{\tau(u)} F(\xi) d\xi} du \right) ds \\
 &\geq x(t_1) + \delta x(t) \\
 &+ \mu(t) \phi(\tau(t)) \int_t^{t_1} F(s) \left( \int_{\tau(s)}^t F(u) e^{-\lambda \int_{t_0}^{\tau(u)} F(\xi) d\xi} du \right) ds \\
 &= x(t_1) + \delta x(t) \\
 &+ \mu(t) \phi(\tau(t)) e^{-\lambda \int_{t_0}^{\tau(t)} F(s) ds} \int_t^{t_1} F(s) \left( \int_{\tau(s)}^t F(u) e^{\lambda \int_{\tau(u)}^{\tau(t)} F(\xi) d\xi} du \right) ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (8), we have

$$(9) \quad x(t) \geq x(t_1) + \delta x(t) + \mu(t) x(\tau(t)) \int_t^{t_1} F(s) \left[ \int_{\tau(s)}^t F(u) e^{\lambda \int_{\tau(u)}^{\tau(t)} F(\xi) d\xi} du \right] ds.$$

In view of (4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\tau(s)}^t F(u) e^{\lambda \int_{\tau(u)}^{\tau(t)} F(\xi) d\xi} du &\geq \int_{\tau(s)}^t F(u) e^{\lambda \theta \int_u^t F(\xi) d\xi} du \\
 &= \frac{1}{\lambda \theta} \left( e^{\lambda \theta \int_{\tau(s)}^t F(\xi) d\xi} - 1 \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_t^{t_1} F(s) \left( \int_{\tau(s)}^t F(u) e^{\lambda \int_{\tau(u)}^u F(\xi) d\xi} du \right) ds &\geq \frac{-\delta}{\lambda\theta} + \frac{1}{\lambda\theta} \int_t^{t_1} F(s) e^{\lambda\theta \int_{\tau(s)}^s F(\xi) d\xi} ds \\
 &= \frac{-\delta}{\lambda\theta} + \frac{1}{\lambda\theta} \int_{t_1}^t F(s) e^{\lambda\theta \int_{\tau(s)}^s F(\xi) d\xi - \lambda\theta \int_t^s F(\xi) d\xi} ds \\
 &\geq \frac{-\delta}{\lambda\theta} + \frac{1}{\lambda\theta} e^{\lambda\theta\delta} \int_t^{t_1} F(s) e^{-\lambda\theta \int_t^s F(\xi) d\xi} ds \\
 &= \frac{-\delta}{\lambda\theta} + \frac{e^{\lambda\theta\delta}}{(\lambda\theta)^2} \left( 1 - e^{-\lambda\theta \int_t^{t_1} F(\xi) d\xi} \right) \\
 &= \frac{-\delta}{\lambda\theta} + \frac{e^{\lambda\theta\delta}}{(\lambda\theta)^2} (1 - e^{-\lambda\theta\delta}) \\
 &= \frac{-\delta}{\lambda\theta} + \frac{1}{(\lambda\theta)^2} (e^{\lambda\theta\delta} - 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

and (9) yields

$$(10) \quad x(t) \geq x(t_1) + \delta x(t) + B^* \mu(t) x(\tau(t)),$$

where

$$B^* = \frac{e^{\lambda\theta\delta} - \lambda\theta\delta - 1}{(\lambda\theta)^2}.$$

From (10), we have

$$x(t) \geq d_1 \mu(t) x(\tau(t)),$$

where

$$d_1 = \frac{B^*}{1 - \delta}.$$

Observe that

$$x(t_1) \geq d_1 \mu(t_1) x(\tau(t_1)) \geq d_1 x(t),$$

since  $\mu(t) \geq 1$  for  $t \geq t_0 \geq 1$  and therefore (10) yields

$$x(t) \geq d_2 \mu(t) x(\tau(t))$$

where

$$d_2 = \frac{B^*}{1 - d_1 - \delta},$$

Following this iterative procedure (cf. [26, 27]), we obtain

$$x(t) \geq d_{n+1} \mu(t) x(\tau(t)),$$

where

$$d_{n+1} = \frac{B^*}{1 - d_n - \delta}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

It is easy to see that the sequence  $\{d_n\}$  is strictly increasing and bounded.

Therefore

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} d_n = d,$$

exists and satisfies

$$d^2 - (1 - \delta)d + B^* = 0,$$

since  $\{d_n\}$  is strictly increasing it follows that

$$d = \frac{1 - \delta - \sqrt{(1 - \delta)^2 - 4B^*}}{2}.$$

Observe that for large  $t$  one has

$$\frac{x(t)}{\mu(t)x(\tau(t))} \geq \frac{1 - \delta - \sqrt{(1 - \delta)^2 - 4B^*}}{2},$$

and since  $0 < \delta < k$  is arbitrarily close to  $k$ , by letting  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_1$  the last inequality leads to (5).

The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Assume that  $\tau(t)$  is continuously differentiable and that there exists  $\theta > 0$  such that

$$(11) \quad F(\tau(t))\tau'(t) \geq \theta F(t)$$

eventually for all  $t$ . Then it is easy to see that (11) implies (4). Indeed, the function

$$v(u) = \int_{\tau(u)}^{\tau(t)} F(s)ds - \theta \int_u^t F(s)ds, \quad \tau(t) \leq u \leq t,$$

satisfies the conditions

$$v(t) = 0,$$

and

$$v'(u) = -F(\tau(u))\tau'(u) + \theta F(u) \leq 0.$$

If  $F(t) > 0$  eventually for all  $t$  and

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(\tau(t))\tau'(t)}{F(t)} = \theta_0 > 0,$$

then  $\theta$  can be any number satisfying  $0 < \theta < \theta_0$ .

Our main result can now be stated as follows:

**Theorem 1.** *Consider the differential equation (1), Assume that  $l < 1$ ,  $0 < k \leq \frac{1}{e}$  and there exist  $\theta > 0$  such that (4) is satisfied. Assume that*

$$(12) \quad l > \frac{\ln \lambda_1 + 1}{\lambda_1} - \frac{N(1 - k - \sqrt{(1 - k)^2 - 4B})}{2}$$

where  $\lambda_1$  the smaller root of the equation  $\lambda = e^{k\lambda}$  and  $B$  is given by (6). Then every solution of (1) oscillates.

**Proof.** Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that  $x(t)$  is an eventually positive solution of equation (1).

Let  $\sigma$  be any number in  $\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1}, 1\right)$ . From Lemma 1, there is a  $T_1 > t_0$  such that

$$(13) \quad \frac{x(\tau(t))}{x(t)} > \sigma\lambda_1, \quad t \geq T_1,$$

and

$$(14) \quad \frac{x(t)}{x(\tau(t))} > \sigma M, \quad t \geq T_1,$$

where

$$M = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x(t)}{x(\tau(t))}.$$

Now let  $t \geq T_1$ . Since the function  $g(s) = \frac{x(\tau(t))}{x(s)}$  is continuous,  $g(\tau(t)) = 1 < \sigma\lambda_1$  and  $g(t) > \sigma\lambda_1$ , there is a  $t^*(t) \in (\tau(t), t)$  such that

$$\frac{x(\tau(t))}{x(t^*(t))} = \sigma\lambda_1.$$

Dividing (1) by  $x(t)$ , integrating from  $\tau(t)$  to  $t^*(t)$ , and taking into account (13) yields

$$(15) \quad \int_{\tau(t)}^{t^*(t)} p(s) ds \leq -\frac{1}{\sigma\lambda_1} \int_{\tau(t)}^{t^*(t)} \frac{x'(s)}{x(s)} ds = \frac{\ln(\sigma\lambda_1)}{\sigma\lambda_1}.$$

Integrating (1) over  $[t^*(t), t]$  and using (14) and the fact that  $x(\tau(s)) \geq x(\tau(t))$  if  $s \leq t$  yields

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{t^*(t)}^t p(s) ds &\leq \frac{x(t^*(t))}{x(\tau(t))} - \frac{x(t)}{x(\tau(t))} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma\lambda_1} - \frac{x(t)}{x(\tau(t))} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sigma\lambda_1} - \sigma M. \end{aligned}$$

Adding (15) and (16) yields

$$\int_{\tau(t)}^t p(s) ds \leq \frac{\ln(\sigma\lambda_1) + 1}{\sigma\lambda_1} - \sigma M.$$

Letting  $t \rightarrow \infty$  yields

$$l \leq \frac{\ln(\sigma\lambda_1) + 1}{\sigma\lambda_1} - \sigma M.$$

Letting  $\sigma \rightarrow 1$  we obtain

$$l \leq \frac{\ln \lambda_1 + 1}{\lambda_1} - M.$$

The last inequality, in view of Lemma 2 contradicts (12).  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Assume that the hypotheses of Theorem 1 hold with  $\theta = 1$  and  $N = \frac{1}{e-2}$ . Then its conclusion holds with  $(C_{13})$ .*

**Proof.** When  $\theta = 1$  and  $N = \frac{1}{e-2}$ , we get from (6) and (12) that

$$B = \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_1 k - 1}{\lambda_1^2},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} l &> \frac{k\lambda_1 + 1}{\lambda_1} - \frac{\frac{1}{e-2}(1-k - \sqrt{(1-k)^2 - \frac{4}{\lambda_1^2}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_1 k - 1)})}{2}} \\ &= \frac{e-1}{e-2} \left( k + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \right) - \frac{1}{e-2}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively.  $\square$

**Remark 2.** Provided that  $k = \frac{1}{e}$  one has  $\lambda_1 = e$  and  $(C_{13})$  leads to  $l > 0.367879441 = \frac{1}{e}$ .

**Example 1.** *Consider the delay differential equation*

$$(17) \quad \dot{x}(t) + px(t - a \sin^2 \sqrt{t} - \frac{b}{p}) = 0,$$

where  $p > 0$ ,  $a > 0$ ,  $b = \frac{1}{e}$ ,  $pa = \frac{2}{5} - b$  and by taking  $\mu(t) = \frac{1}{e-2}$ , Hence  $N = \frac{1}{e-2}$ . Then

$$k = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^t p(s) ds = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^t p ds = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} p \left( a \sin^2 \sqrt{t} + \frac{b}{p} \right) = \frac{1}{e},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} l &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^t p(s) ds = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^t p ds = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} p \left( a \sin^2 \sqrt{t} + \frac{b}{p} \right) \\ &= pa + b = \frac{2}{5} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

In the case that  $k = \frac{1}{e}$ , then  $\lambda_1 = e$  and we find

$$\frac{e-1}{e-2} \left( k + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \right) - \frac{1}{e-2} = \frac{1}{e} < \frac{2}{5} = l.$$

Then

$$l > \frac{e-1}{e-2} \left( k + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \right) - \frac{1}{e-2}.$$

Hence, the conditions of Theorem 1 and using the Corollary 1 are satisfied and therefore every solution of equation (17) oscillates. Observe that none of the results mentioned in the introduction can be applied to this equation.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] O. ARINO, G. LADAS, Y. G. SFICAS. On oscillation of some retarded differential equations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **18** (1987), 64–73.
- [2] J. CHAO. On the oscillation of linear differential equations with deviating arguments. *Math. Practice Theory* **1** (1991), 32–40.
- [3] Q. CHUANXI, G. LADAS. Oscillations of neutral differential equations with variable coefficients. *Appl. Anal.* **32** (1989), 215–228.
- [4] Y. DOMSHLAK. Sturmian Comparison method in investigation of the behavior of solutions for differential operator equations. (Elm), Baku, USSR, 1986 (in Russian).
- [5] Y. DOMSHLAK, I. P. STAVROULAKIS. Oscillations of first order delay differential equations in a critical state. *Appl. Anal.* **61** (1996), 359–371.
- [6] J. DZURINA. Oscillation of second order differential equations with mixed argument. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **190** (1995), 821–828.
- [7] A. ELBERT, I. P. STAVROULAKIS. Oscillations of first order differential equation with deviating arguments. University of Ioannina, T.R.No. **172** (1990), Recent trends in differential equations, 163–178, World Sci. Publishing Co. (1992).

- [8] A. ELBERT, I. P. STAVROULAKIS. Oscillation and non-oscillation criteria for delay differential equations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123** (1995), 1503–1510.
- [9] L. H. ERBE, B. G. ZHANG. Oscillation for first order linear differential equations with deviating arguments. *Differential Integral Equations* **1** (1988), 305–314.
- [10] N. FUKAGAI, T. KUSANO. Oscillation theory of first order functional differential equations with deviating arguments. *Ann. Math. Pura Appl.* **136** (1984), 95–117.
- [11] J. JAROS, I. P. STAVROULAKIS. Oscillation tests for delay equations. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **28** (1999), 197–207.
- [12] R. G. KOPLATADZE. On zeros of solutions of first order delay differential equations. Proceedings of I. N. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics **14** (1983), 128–135 (in Russian).
- [13] R. G. KAPLATADZE, T. A. CHANTIRIA. On the oscillatory and monotone solutions of first order differential equations with deviating arguments. *Journal Differential Equations* **18** (1982), 1463–1465 (in Russian).
- [14] R. G. KOPLATADZE, G. KVINIKADZE. On the oscillation of solutions of first order delay differential equations. *Georgian Math. J.* **1** (1994), 675–685.
- [15] E. KOZAKIEWICZ. Conditions for the absence of positive solutions of a first order differential inequality with a single delay. *Arch. Math. Brno* **31**, 4 (1995), 291–297.
- [16] M. K. KWONG. Oscillation of first order delay equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **156** (1991), 274–286.
- [17] M. KON, Y. G. SFICAS, I. P. STAVROULAKIS. Oscillation criteria for delay equations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), 2989–2997.
- [18] G. LADAS. Sharp condition for oscillations caused by delays. *Appl. Anal.* **9** (1979), 43–48.
- [19] G. LADAS, V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM, L. S. PAPADAKIS. Oscillations of higher order retarded differential equations generated by the retarded arguments, Delay and functional Differential Equations and their Applications. Academic Press, New York, (1972), 219–231.



- [20] G. LADAS, Y. G. SFICAS, I. P. STAVROULAKIS. Functional differential inequalities and equations with oscillatory coefficients. In: Trends in Theory and practice of non-linear differential equations. Lecture Notes in Pure Appl. Math. vol. **90** (1984), 277–284.
- [21] G. LADAS, I. P. STAVROULAKIS. On delay differential inequalities of first order. *Funkc. Ekvacioj, Ser. Int.* **25** (1982), 105–113.
- [22] B. LI. Oscillations of delay differential equations with variable coefficients. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **192** (1995), 312–321.
- [23] B. LI. Oscillation of first order delay differential equations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 3729–3737.
- [24] A. D. MYSHKIS. Linear homogeneous differential equations of first order with deviating arguments. *Uspekhi Math. Nauk* **5** (1950), 160–162 (in Russian).
- [25] CH. G. PHILOS, Y. G. SFICAS. An oscillation criterion for first order linear delay differential equations. *Canad. Math. Bull.* **41** (1998), 207–213.
- [26] Y. G. SFICAS, I. P. STAVROULAKIS. Oscillation criteria for first order delay equations. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **35** (2003), 239–246.
- [27] J. S. YU, Z. C. WANG. Some further results on oscillation of neutral differential equations. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **46** (1992), 149–157.
- [28] J. S. YU, Z. C. WANG, B. G. ZHANG, X. Z. QIAN. Oscillation of differential equations with deviating arguments. *Panamer. Math. J.* **2** (1992), 59–78.

*Department of Mathematics*  
*Faculty of Science*  
*Mansoura University*  
*Mansoura, 35516, Egypt*  
*e-mail: emelabbasy@mans.edu.eg*  
*e-mail: tshassan@mans.edu.eg*

*Received October 1, 2003*  
*Revised February 12, 2004*