PLISKA

STUDIA MATHEMATICA BULGARICA

ПЛИСКА

БЪЛГАРСКИ МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИ СТУДИИ

A SOLUTION OF THE TRIGONOMETRIC MOMENT PROBLEM VIA TAGAMLITZKI'S "THEOREM OF THE CONES"

TODOR G. GENCHEV

In memory of my teacher
Professor Y. A. Tagamlitzki

In 1952 Y. Tagamlitzki gave an elegant proof of the classical Bochner's theorem on the positively definite functions [1]. Unfortunately, he never published his proof. In this paper we consider a related but simpler problem, the trigonometric moment problem, by using Tagamlitzki's approach.

Definition 1. *A sequence $\{c_\nu\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ of complex numbers is a moment sequence, if there exists a nondecreasing function $\alpha: [0, 2\pi]\to \mathbf{R}$ such that the equalities*

$$(1)\qquad c_\nu=\int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\nu t}\, d\alpha(t),\quad \nu=0,\ \pm1,\ \pm2,\dots,$$

hold.

The following result is classical.

Theorem 1. (F. Riesz [2]). *A sequence $\{c_\nu\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is a moment seqence, if and only if for any trigonometric polynomial $q(t)=\sum_{-n}^{n} a_\nu e^{i\nu t}$, non-negative on the real axis, we have*

$$(2)\qquad \sum_{-n}^{n} c_\nu a_\nu\geq 0.$$

(The degree n of q is arbitrary).

We shall prove Theorem 1 via Tagamlitzki's "Theorem of the cones." Since this general result of Tagamlitzki published in Bulgarian is unpopular, we are giving a complete formulation. To this end, we begin with some definitions.

Let W be a linear space and $F=\{F_\nu\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ be a sequence of linear functionals. We say that F is a coordinate system in W, if the equalities $F_\nu(f)=0$, $f\in W$, $\nu=0, \pm1, \pm2,\dots$ imply $f=0$.

Definition 2. *A set $K\subset W$ is said to be a cone, if it has the following properties:*

1. *If $f\in K$ and λ is a nonegative real number, then $\lambda f\in K$.*
2. *If $f\in K$, $d\in K$, then $f+g\in K$.*

Definition 3. *Let $K\subset W$ be a cone and P be a norm defined in K. An element $f\in K$, $f\neq 0$, is P-irreducible, if the equalities*

$$(3)\qquad f=g+h,\ P(f)=P(g)+P(h),\ f\in K,\ h\in K,$$

are possible only if $g=\lambda f$, $h=\mu f$, $\lambda\geq 0$, $\mu\geq 0$, $\mu+\lambda=1$.

Definition 4. *Let F be a coordinate system in the linear space W and $K\subset W$ be a cone. Further, let P be a norm defined in K. The cone K*

is (F, P) *compact, if for any sequence* $\{x_n\}_0^\infty \subset S_K$, $S_K \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{x,\ x \in K,\ P(x) \leq 1\}$ *there exist an element* $a \in S_K$ *and a subsequence* $\{x_{n_k}\} \subset \{x_n\}$ *such that*

$$\lim_{n_k \to \infty} F_\nu(x_{n_k}) = F_\nu(a) \tag{4}$$

holds for any $F_\nu \in F$.

It is proved in [3] that every (F, P) compact cone contains P-irreducible elements.

Now we may state Tagamlitzki's result we need.

Theorem 2. (*Theorem of the cones* [3]). *Let* W *be a linear space with coordinate system* F. *Given the two cones* L *and* K, $L \subset K \subset W$, *suppose the following conditions are satisfied:*

1. *The cone* L *is* (F, Q) *compact, whereas* K *is* (F, P) *compact.* (Q *and* P *are norms defined in* L *and* K *respectively*).
2. *All the* P-*irreducible elements of* K *belong to* L *and for any* P-*irreducible* $f \in K$ *the inequality* $P(f) \geq Q(f)$ *holds.*

Then, $L = K$ and we have $P \geq Q$ in the whole K.

Remark. For our goal in this paper the earlier version of Theorem 2 published in [4] is quite sufficient.

In order to prove Theorem 1, we introduce the linear space W of all the complex sequences $\{a_\nu\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ and set $F_\nu(a) = a_\nu$, $\nu = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2 \ldots$ for any $a = \{a_\nu\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \in W$. It is clear that $F = \{F_\nu\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is a coordinate system in W. Further, we define the cones L and K as follows.

Definition 5. *A sequence* $\{c_\nu\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ *belongs to* K, *if and only if the Riesz condition* (2) *is satisfied. Finally* L *consists of all moment sequences*

$$c_\nu = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\nu t}\, d\alpha(t), \quad \nu = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \tag{5}$$

where $\alpha\colon [0, 2\pi] \to \mathbf{R}$ *is nondecreasing,* $\alpha(0) = 0$ *and* $\alpha(t) = \alpha(t-0)$ *for* $0 < t \leq 2\pi$

It is well known and easily seen that under these conditions α is uniquely determined by its moments $\{c_\nu\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$.

The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 1. *The inclusion* $L \subset K$ *holds.*

Proof. It $q(t) = \sum_{-n}^{n} a_\nu e^{i\nu t}$ is non-negative on the real axis and $\{c_\nu\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \subset L$, we have

$$\sum_{-n}^{n} c_\nu a_\nu = \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{-n}^{n} a_\nu e^{i\nu t}\, d\alpha(t) = \int_0^{2\pi} q(t)\, d\alpha(t) \geq 0$$

and (2) is established.

Lemma 2. *Denote by* P *the linear functional* $a \to a_0$, *where* $a = \{a_\nu\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$. *Then* P *is a norm in* K.

Proof. Let $c = \{c_\nu\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ be an element of K. Since the trigonometric polynomials $q_1(t) = 1$ and $q_2(t) = 2 + \xi e^{int} + \bar{\xi} e^{-int}$, $|\xi| = 1$ are non-negative on the real axis, taking into account (2) we get $c_0 \geq 0$ and $2c_0 + \xi c_n + \bar{\xi} c_{-n} \geq 0$. In turn, the second inequality implies that the number $D = \xi c_n + \bar{\xi} c_{-n}$ is real. Setting $\xi = x + iy$, $c_n = p + iq$, $c_{-n} = \delta + i\gamma$, we find $\operatorname{Im} D = (q + \gamma)x + (p - \delta)y = 0$, i. e. $p = \delta$, $q = -\gamma$, since $\xi = x + iy$ is an arbitrary point on the unite circle.

Thus, we have proved $c_{-n}=\overline{c}_n$ and the relation $2c_0+\xi c_n+\overline{\xi} c_{-n}\geqq 0$ takes the form $c_0+\mathrm{Re}\,(c_n\xi)\geqq 0$, i. e. $-\mathrm{Re}\,(c_n\xi)\leqq c_0$. Now choosing $\xi=-e^{i\varphi}$ with $\varphi=-\arg c_n$, we get $|c_n|\leqq c_0$, $n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$, i. e. $|c_n|\leqq P(c)$ so that $P(c)=0$, $c\in K$, implies $c=0$. Since P is linear, it is a norm in K. Now, the inclusion $L\subset K$ shows that P is a norm also in L.

The following lemma is crucial in the whole proof.

L e m m a 3. *The P-irreducible elements in K have the form*

$$c=\{A\lambda^{\nu}\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}, \tag{6}$$

where $A>0$ *and* $|\lambda|=1$.

P r o o f. Let $c=\{c_\nu\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ be an element of K. Inspired by Tagamlitzki's proof of the Bochner theorem, we set

$$c=\frac{1}{4}A(\xi)+\frac{1}{4}A(-\xi),\quad A(\xi)=\{A_\nu(\xi)\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty},\quad |\xi|=1, \tag{7}$$

where $A_\nu(\xi)=2c_\nu+\xi c_{\nu+1}+\overline{\xi} c^*_{\nu-1}$, $\nu=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$ It is not diffcult to verify that $A(\xi)\in K$ for any complex ξ with $|\xi|=1$. Indeed, let the trigonometric polynomial $q(t)=\sum_{-n}^{n} a_\nu e^{i\nu t}$ be non-negative on the real axis. Then

$$\sum_{-n-1}^{n+1} b_\nu e^{i\nu t}=(2+\xi e^{it}+\overline{\xi} e^{-it})\,q(t) \tag{8}$$

has the same property. Thus, we have the inequality

$$\sum_{-n-1}^{n+1} b_\nu c_\nu\geqq 0, \tag{9}$$

which after a substitution of the explicit expressions of $\{b_\nu\}$ takes the form

$$\sum_{-n}^{n} a_\nu A_\nu(\xi)\geqq 0 \tag{10}$$

and shows that $A(\xi)\in K$. Since $-\xi$ is also on the unit circle, we conclude that $A(-\xi)\in K$, so (7) is a decomposition in K. Finally, P is linear and we have $P(c)=P(A(\xi)/4)+P(A(-\xi)/4)$. Now, we are ready to complete the proof.

Indeed, if $c\in K$ is P-irreducible, we obtain

$$4\lambda(\xi)c=A(\xi),\ \text{i. e.}\ 4\lambda(\xi)c_\nu=A_\nu(\xi),\quad \nu=0, \pm 1, \ldots, \tag{11}$$

where $0\leqq\lambda(\xi)\leqq 1$. First, we shall solve (11) under the supposition that $c_0=1$. In this case we have $4\lambda(\xi)=2+\xi c_1+\overline{\xi} c_{-1}$ and (11) takes the form

$$(2+\xi c_1+\overline{\xi} c_{-1})\,c_\nu=2c_\nu+\xi c_{\nu+1}+\overline{\xi} c_{\nu-1}, \tag{12}$$

i. e.

$$(c_1c_\nu-c_{\nu+1})\,\xi+(c_{-1}c_\nu-c_{\nu-1})\overline{\xi}=0. \tag{13}$$

Since ξ is an arbitrary point on the unit circle, (13) implies

$$c_{\nu+1}=c_1c_\nu,\ c_{\nu-1}=c_{-1}c_\nu,\quad \nu=\pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \tag{14}$$

---

* $\overline{\xi}$ is the conjugate number of ξ.

and by setting $\lambda=c_1$, $\mu=c_{-1}$ we easily get

(15) $$c_\nu=\lambda^\nu,\quad c_{-\nu}=\mu^\nu,\quad \nu=0,\ 1,\ 2,\dots$$

Further, taking into account that $c_{-1}c_1=c_0=1$ and according to lemma 2 $c_{-1}=\overline{c_1}$, we get $\lambda\mu=1$, $\overline{\lambda}=\mu$, i. e. $\mu=\frac{1}{\lambda}$, $|\lambda|=1$. Now, (15) takes the form

(16) $$c_\nu=\lambda^\nu,\quad \nu=0,\ \pm1,\ \pm2,\dots$$

Finally, if $c\in K$ is an arbitrary P-irreducible element of K, we have $c\neq0$, i. e. $P(c)=c_0\neq0$, and by applying (16) to $\frac{c}{c_0}$, we obtain

(17) $$c=\{c_0\lambda^\nu\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty},\quad |\lambda|=1,\ c_0>0$$

and thus complete the proof.

Corollary. *All the P-irreducible elements of K belong to L.*

Proof. Let $c=\{A\lambda^\nu\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$, $A>0$ be P-irreducible. Since $|\lambda|=1$, there is a t_0, $0\leq t_0<2\pi$ such that $\lambda=e^{it_0}$, so $c=\{Ae^{i\nu t_0}\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$. Now define the function

$$\alpha(t)=\begin{cases}0, & 0\leq t\leq t_0,\\ A, & t_0<t\leq 2\pi,\end{cases}$$

which is increasing because $A>0$. Since the equalities

$$c_\nu=\int_0^{2\pi}e^{i\nu t}\,d\alpha(t)$$

are obvious, the corollary is proved.

Lemma 4. *The cones K and L are (F, P) compact.*

Proof. First, let $\{c(m)\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\subset K$, $P(c(m))\leq1$ be a sequence of elements of K. Since we have $|c_\nu(m)|\leq P(c(m))\leq1$, $\nu=0,\ \pm1,\ \pm2,\dots$, we may apply the Cantor diagonal process and select a subsequence $\{m_k\}$, such that $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty}c_\nu(m_k)$, $\nu=0,\ \pm1,\ \pm2,\dots$, exist. Setting $c_\nu=\lim\limits_{k\to\infty}c_\nu(m_k)$, we get a sequence $c=\{c_\nu\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\subset K$ with $P(c)\leq1$ and such that $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty}F_\nu(c(m_k))=F_\nu(c)$ for any $F_\nu\in F$.

Thus, the (F, P) compactness of K is proved.

Now let $\{c(m)\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\subset L$, $P(c(m))\leq1$ be an arbitrary sequence. In this case we have

$$P(c(m))=c_0(m)=\int_0^{2\pi}d\alpha_m(t)=\alpha_m(2\pi)-\alpha_m(0)=\alpha_m(2\pi)\leq1$$

and by applying a well-known theorem of Helly [5], we select a subsequence $\{m_k\}$ such that $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty}\alpha_{m_k}(t)$ exists for every $t\in[0,\ 2\pi]$. Setting

$$\alpha(t)=\lim_{k\to\infty}\alpha_{m_k}(t),\quad c_\nu=\int_0^{2\pi}e^{i\nu t}\,d\alpha(t),\quad \nu=0,\ \pm1,\ \pm2,\dots,$$

by means of the second theorem of Helly [5], we get $c_\nu=\lim\limits_{k\to\infty}c_\nu(m_k)$. Since $c=\{c_\nu\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ obviously belongs to L and satisfies the inequality $P(c)\leq1$, the proof of Lemma 4 is completed.

It remains to summarize now. Since Lemma 1, the corollary of Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 permit us to apply Theorem 2 with $Q=P$, we conclude that $L=K$ and complete the proof of Theorem 1.

REFERENCES

1. S. Bochner. Vorlesungen über Fouriersche Integrale. Leipzig, 1932.
2. F. Riesz. Sur sertains systèmes singuliers d'équations intégrales. *Ann. Ecole Norm. Sup.* (3), 28, 1911, 33-62.
3. Y. A. Tagamlitzki. On a generalization of the notion of the irreducibility. *Ann. Univ. Sofia, Fac. Phys.-Math.*, 48, 1954, 68-85 (Bulgarian).
4. Y. A. Tagamlitzki. On the geometry of the cones in Hilbert spaces. *Ann. Univ. Sofia, Fac. Phys.-Math.*, 47, 1952, 85-107 (Bulgarian).
5. I. P. Natanson. Real Functions. Moscow, 1950 (Russian).

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics,
Sofia University, Sofia 1126, Bulgaria

Received 18. 11. 1986