

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Mathematical Journal

Сердика

Математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.
Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Mathematical Journal
which is the new series of
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

DENSITY OF POLYNOMIALS IN THE L^2 SPACE ON THE REAL AND THE IMAGINARY AXES AND IN A SOBOLEV SPACE

Lutz Klotz, Sergey M. Zagorodnyuk

Communicated by E. Horozov

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider an L^2 type space of scalar functions $L^2_{\mathbf{M},A}(\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R})$ which can be, in particular, the usual L^2 space of scalar functions on $\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R}$. We find conditions for density of polynomials in this space using a connection with the L^2 space of square-integrable matrix-valued functions on \mathbb{R} with respect to a non-negative Hermitian matrix measure. The completeness of $L^2_{\mathbf{M},A}(\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R})$ is also established.

1. Introduction. Let $\mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ be the algebra of all $n \times n$ matrices with complex elements and $\mathbb{C}_{n \times n}^{\geq}$ be the cone of all non-negative Hermitian matrices from $\mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote by $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$ the Borel subsets of \mathbb{R} . By a $\mathbb{C}_{n \times n}^{\geq}$ -valued measure on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$ we will mean a σ -additive function from $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$ into $\mathbb{C}_{n \times n}^{\geq}$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 41A10, 30E10, 41A65.

Key words: Density of polynomials, moment problem, measure.

For each $\mathbb{C}_{n \times n}^{\geq}$ -valued measure M on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$ one can define a non-decreasing left-continuous (in the matrix norm) $\mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ -valued function (distribution function), see [1]:

$$(1) \quad M(x) := \begin{cases} M([0, x]), & x > 0 \\ 0, & x = 0 \\ M([x, 0]), & x < 0 \end{cases} .$$

Conversely, each non-decreasing left-continuous $\mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ -valued function $M(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, defines a $\mathbb{C}_{n \times n}^{\geq}$ -valued measure on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$ [1].

Let M be a $\mathbb{C}_{n \times n}^{\geq}$ -valued measure on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$ with finite matrix moments

$$(2) \quad S_k = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^k dM, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

The set of all $\mathbb{C}_{n \times n}^{\geq}$ -valued measures on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$ with moments $\{S_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ we denote by V . The problem of the description of V if one knows moments $\{S_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is the matrix Hamburger moment problem [14, p. 52]. This problem is called determinate if V consists of a unique measure and indeterminate in the opposite case.

Let $L_M^2 = L_M^2(\mathbb{R})$ be the space of square-integrable with respect to M $\mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ -valued functions (see [12]). Denote by $\mathbb{P}_{n \times n}$ the set of all $(n \times n)$ matrices whose entries are complex polynomials. Elements of $\mathbb{P}_{n \times n}$ we call matrix polynomials. The set of all complex polynomials we denote by \mathbb{P} ($= \mathbb{P}_{1 \times 1}$). Is the set $\mathbb{P}_{n \times n}$ dense in L_M^2 ? The theorem of Riesz states that in the scalar case ($n = 1$) polynomials will be dense if and only if M is a N -extremal solution of moment problem (2) [2, p. 59]. A similar situation is in the case of an arbitrary n . We suppose that for every $P(x) = x^k I_n + A_{k-1} x^{k-1} + A_{k-2} x^{k-2} + \dots + A_0 \in \mathbb{P}_{n \times n}$, where $A_i \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$; $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$; $I_n = (\delta_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^n$, the following condition holds true:

$$(3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} P(x) dM P^*(x) \quad \text{is an invertible matrix.}$$

Applying the process of pseudo-orthogonalization to the sequence $I_n, xI_n, x^2 I_n, \dots$, (see [3, pp. 577–578]) we obtain a sequence of orthonormal matrix polynomials $\{P_k(x)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ (where $P_k(x)$ has degree n):

$$(4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_k(x) dM P_l^*(x) = I_n \delta_{k,l}, \quad k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

For these polynomials one can construct a generalized Jacobi matrix J which is a $(n \times n)$ block tridiagonal matrix. The corresponding linear operator \mathbf{J} in $l^2 = \{(x_l)_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} : x_l \in \mathbb{C}, \sum_{k=0}^\infty |x_k|^2 < \infty\}$ is symmetric. Let (m_-, m_+) be its deficiency indices. The matrix Hamburger moment problem is called completely indeterminate if $m_+ = m_- = n$. In this case $\mathbb{P}_{n \times n}$ will be dense in L^2_M iff (see [4, Theorem 1.1, p. 249]):

$$(5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dM(x)}{x - \lambda} = -\{C^*(\lambda)[I + U] - iA^*(\lambda)[I - U]\}\{D^*(\lambda)[I + U] - iB^*(\lambda)[I - U]\}^{-1},$$

$$\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R},$$

where U is a constant unitary matrix and $A(\cdot), B(\cdot), C(\cdot), D(\cdot)$ are holomorphic matrix functions which can be computed explicitly using moments $\{S_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$.

Measures which satisfy condition (5) are called N -extremal.

Notice also that in the scalar case ($n = 1$) Riesz proved that polynomials are dense in L^2_M iff the measure $(1 + |x|)^{-2}dM(x)$ is determinate (i.e. defines a determinate moment problem (2)) [5]. Consequently, several criteria for the determinacy of a measure can be used to study the question of density of polynomials [6].

The main purpose of our present investigation is to study the question of density of \mathbb{P} in a general L^2 space which in special cases can be the L^2 space on $\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R}$ or a discrete Sobolev type space related to the discrete Sobolev orthogonal polynomials [7]. These general L^2 spaces are related to orthogonal polynomials on rays [8].

If $f = f(\lambda)$ is a \mathbb{C} -valued function on $\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R}$, we set

$$\vec{f}_s(\lambda) = (f(\lambda), f(-\lambda)), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R}.$$

If there exist derivatives of $f(\lambda)$ at $\lambda = 0$ in directions of $\mathbb{R}, i\mathbb{R}$ and they coincide, we denote by $f'(0)$ their common value.

If there exists $f'(0)$, we set $\vec{f}_d = (f(0), f'(0))$.

Let M and M_i be $\mathbb{C}_{n \times n}^\geq$ -valued measures on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$ and $M(x), M_i(x)$ be their distribution functions, respectively. Denote by $\mathfrak{B}(i\mathbb{R})$ the set $\{iA, A \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})\}$. For a set $\hat{A} \in \mathfrak{B}(i\mathbb{R})$ we set $M_I(\hat{A}) = M_i(\frac{1}{i}\hat{A})$. Then M_I will be a σ -additive function from $\mathfrak{B}(i\mathbb{R})$ into $\mathbb{C}_{n \times n}^\geq$. Such functions we call $\mathbb{C}_{n \times n}^\geq$ -valued measures on $\mathfrak{B}(i\mathbb{R})$. The function

$$(6) \quad M_I(x) := \begin{cases} M([0, x]), & \frac{1}{i}x > 0 \\ 0, & x = 0 \\ M([x, 0]), & \frac{1}{i}x < 0 \end{cases}$$

will be called a distribution function of M_I .

The Radon-Nikodym derivatives of the measures M , M_I we will denote by M' and M'_I , respectively [12]. The corresponding trace measures we denote by τ_M and τ_{M_I} , respectively. We set $\mathbf{M} = (M, M_I)$. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}^{\geq}$.

Definition 1.1. Consider a set $L_{\mathbf{M}, A}^2(\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R})$ of \mathbb{C} -valued functions on $\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R}$, $f \in L_{\mathbf{M}, A}^2(\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R}) \Leftrightarrow$

- (i) f is τ_M -measurable on \mathbb{R} , f is τ_{M_I} -measurable on $i\mathbb{R}$;
- (ii) $\vec{f}_s(\lambda)M' \vec{f}_s^*(\lambda) \in L_{\tau_M}^1(\mathbb{R})$, $\vec{f}_s(\lambda)M'_I(\lambda)\vec{f}_s^*(\lambda) \in L_{\tau_{M_I}}^1(i\mathbb{R})$;
- (iii) if $A \neq 0$ then $f(0)$ is finite and there exists $f'(0)$.

Obviously, $L_{\mathbf{M}, A}^2(\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R})$ is a linear vector space. We define the inner product in $L_{\mathbf{M}, A}^2(\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R})$ in the following way:

$$(7) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_{2; \mathbf{M}, A} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \vec{f}_s(\lambda)M'(\lambda)\vec{g}_s^*(\lambda)d\tau_M + \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \vec{f}_s(\lambda)M'_I(\lambda)\vec{g}_s^*(\lambda)d\tau_{M_I} + \vec{f}_d A \vec{g}_d^*, \quad f, g \in L_{\mathbf{M}, A}^2.$$

For $f \in L_{\mathbf{M}, A}^2$ the norm is defined as

$$(8) \quad \|f\|_{2; \mathbf{M}, A}^2 = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle_{2; \mathbf{M}, A}}.$$

As usual, we shall consider equivalence classes of such functions with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{2; \mathbf{M}, A}$. Then $L_{\mathbf{M}, A}^2$ becomes a unitary space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{2; \mathbf{M}, A}$.

To study density of \mathbb{P} in $L_{\mathbf{M}, A}^2$ we will construct a non-decreasing $\mathbb{C}_{2 \times 2}$ -valued function M_1 on \mathbb{R} depending on \mathbf{M} , A and establish an isometric isomorphism \mathbf{U} between $L_{\mathbf{M}, A}^2$ and the Hilbert space $L_v^2(M_1)$ of \mathbb{C}^2 -valued functions square integrable with respect to M_1 , see [12]. Then we use a connection between $L_v^2(M_1)$ and $L_{M_1}^2$ and apply the mentioned above results about density to $L_{M_1}^2$. As a by-product, we obtain that the space $L_{\mathbf{M}, A}^2$ is complete.

Notations. As usual, we denote by $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_+$ the sets of real, complex, positive integer, integer, non-negative integer numbers, respectively, and $i\mathbb{R}$ stands for the imaginary axis in the complex plane. Besides the definitions given above we should note the following notations. The set of complex vectors (c_1, c_2) , $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ we denote by \mathbb{C}^2 . We identify $\mathbb{C}_{1 \times 1}$ with \mathbb{C} and $\mathbb{C}_{1 \times 1}^{\geq}$ with the set of non-negative real numbers. If $A \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ then A^* stands for its adjoint, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $A \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ is non-degenerate, A^{-1} means its inverse. The set of all vectors (p_1, p_2) where $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{P}$ we denote by \mathbb{P}_v . These vectors will be called vector polynomials.

2. Density of polynomials. Let C_0 be a subset of $L^2_{\mathbf{M}, A}(\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R})$ which consists of continuous functions which have the first derivative at zero (in the directions of $\mathbb{R}, i\mathbb{R}$ and they coincide). For $f, g \in C_0$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle_{2; \mathbf{M}, A} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \vec{f}_s M' \vec{g}_s^* d\tau_M + \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \vec{f}_s M'_I \vec{g}_s^* d\tau_{M_I} + \vec{f}_d A \vec{g}_d^* = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus (-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)} \vec{f}_s M' \vec{g}_s^* d\tau_M + \int_{(i\mathbb{R}) \setminus (-i\varepsilon_3, i\varepsilon_4)} \vec{f}_s M'_I \vec{g}_s^* d\tau_{M_I} + \int_{(-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)} \vec{f}_s M' \vec{g}_s^* d\tau_M + \\ (9) \quad &+ \int_{(-i\varepsilon_3, i\varepsilon_4)} \vec{f}_s M'_I \vec{g}_s^* d\tau_{M_I} + \vec{f}_d A \vec{g}_d^*, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon_i > 0$, $i = \overline{1, 4}$.

Define

$$\hat{\tau}_M(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \tau_M(\lambda), & \lambda \leq 0 \\ \tau_M(\lambda) - \Delta\tau_M(0), & \lambda > 0 \end{cases},$$

where $\Delta\tau_M(0) := \tau_M(+0) - \tau_M(0)$ is a jump of $\tau_M(\lambda)$ at zero;

$$\hat{\tau}_{M_I}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \tau_{M_I}(\lambda), & \lambda \in (-i\infty, 0] \\ \tau_{M_I}(\lambda) - \Delta_i\tau_{M_I}(0), & \lambda \in (0, i\infty) \end{cases},$$

where $\Delta_i\tau_{M_I}(0) := \tau_{M_I}(+i0) - \tau_{M_I}(0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \tau_{M_I}(\varepsilon i) - \tau_{M_I}(0)$, is a jump of $\tau_{M_I}(\lambda)$ at zero.

Then

$$\int_{(-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)} \vec{f}_s M' \vec{g}_s^* d\tau_M = \int_{(-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)} \vec{f}_s M' \vec{g}_s^* d\hat{\tau}_M = \vec{f}_s(0) M'(0) \vec{g}_s^*(0) \Delta\tau_M(0) +$$

$$= \int_{(-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)} \vec{f}_s M' \vec{g}_s^* d\hat{\tau}_M + f(0) \overline{g(0)} \tilde{a},$$

where $\tilde{a} := (1, 1)M'(0) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \Delta_{\tau_M}(0)$.

Since functions f, g and entries of $M'(\lambda)$ are τ_M -a.e. bounded functions in any neighbourhood of 0, we have

$$\int_{(-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)} \vec{f}_s M' \vec{g}_s^* d\hat{\tau}_M \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Consequently,

$$(10) \quad \int_{(-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)} \vec{f}_s M' \vec{g}_s^* d\tau_M \rightarrow f(0) \overline{g(0)} \tilde{a}, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

In a similar manner we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(-i\varepsilon_3, i\varepsilon_4)} \vec{f}_s M'_I \vec{g}_s^* d\tau_{M_I} &= \int_{(-i\varepsilon_3, i\varepsilon_4)} \vec{f}_s M'_I \vec{g}_s^* d\hat{\tau}_{M_I} + \vec{f}_s(0) M'_I(0) \vec{g}_s^*(0) \Delta_i \tau_{M_I}(0) = \\ &= \int_{(-i\varepsilon_3, i\varepsilon_4)} \vec{f}_s M'_I \vec{g}_s^* d\hat{\tau}_M + f(0) \overline{g(0)} \tilde{a}_I, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{a}_I := (1, 1)M'_I(0) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \Delta_i \tau_{M_i}(0)$.

Since functions f, g and entries of $M'_I(\lambda)$ are τ_M -a.e. bounded functions in any neighbourhood of 0, we have

$$\int_{(-i\varepsilon_3, i\varepsilon_4)} \vec{f}_s M'_I \vec{g}_s^* d\hat{\tau}_{M_I} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, we have

$$(11) \quad \int_{(-i\varepsilon_3, i\varepsilon_4)} \vec{f}_s M'_I \vec{g}_s^* d\tau_{M_I} \rightarrow f(0) \overline{g(0)} \tilde{a}_I, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 \rightarrow 0.$$

Set $J_\lambda := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{\lambda} \\ 1 & -\frac{1}{\lambda} \end{pmatrix}$. Notice that $J_\lambda^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \lambda & -\lambda \end{pmatrix}$.

Then we can write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus (-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)} \vec{f}_s M' \vec{g}_s^* d\tau_M = \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus (-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)} \vec{f}_s J_\lambda J_\lambda^{-1} M'(J_\lambda^*)^{-1} J_\lambda^* \vec{g}_s^* d\tau_M =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus (-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)} \vec{f}_s J_\lambda \tilde{M}(\lambda) J_\lambda^* \vec{g}_s^* d\tau_M \rightarrow \\
 (12) \quad &\rightarrow \int'_{\mathbb{R}} \vec{f}_s J_\lambda \tilde{M}(\lambda) J_\lambda^* \vec{g}_s^* d\tau_M, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{M}(\lambda) := J_\lambda^{-1} M'(\lambda) (J_\lambda^*)^{-1} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \lambda & -\lambda \end{pmatrix} M'(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{\lambda} \\ 1 & -\bar{\lambda} \end{pmatrix}$ and the prime in \int' means that the integral is understood in the improper sense at zero.

In an analogous manner we write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{(i\mathbb{R}) \setminus (-i\varepsilon_3, i\varepsilon_4)} \vec{f}_s M'_I \vec{g}_s^* d\tau_{M_I} &= \int_{(i\mathbb{R}) \setminus (-i\varepsilon_3, i\varepsilon_4)} \vec{f}_s J_\lambda J_\lambda^{-1} M'_I (J_\lambda^*)^{-1} J_\lambda^* \vec{g}_s^* d\tau_{M_I} = \\
 &= \int_{(i\mathbb{R}) \setminus (-i\varepsilon_3, i\varepsilon_4)} \vec{f}_s J_\lambda \tilde{M}_I(\lambda) J_\lambda^* \vec{g}_s^* d\tau_{M_I} \rightarrow \\
 (13) \quad &\rightarrow \int'_{i\mathbb{R}} \vec{f}_s J_\lambda \tilde{M}_I(\lambda) J_\lambda^* \vec{g}_s^* d\tau_{M_I}, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 \rightarrow 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{M}_I(\lambda) := J_\lambda^{-1} M'_I(\lambda) (J_\lambda^*)^{-1} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \lambda & -\lambda \end{pmatrix} M'_I(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{\lambda} \\ 1 & -\bar{\lambda} \end{pmatrix}$ and the prime in \int' means that the integral is understood in the improper sense at zero.

Passing to the limit in (9) and using (10), (11), (12), (13) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle f, g \rangle_{2; \mathbf{M}, A} &= \int'_{\mathbb{R}} \vec{f}_s J_\lambda \tilde{M} J_\lambda^* \vec{g}_s^* d\tau_M + \int'_{i\mathbb{R}} \vec{f}_s J_\lambda \tilde{M}_I J_\lambda^* \vec{g}_s^* d\tau_{M_I} + \\
 (14) \quad &+ \vec{f}_d \left(A + \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a} + \tilde{a}_I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \vec{g}_d^*.
 \end{aligned}$$

For $f \in C_0$ we set

$$f^+(\lambda) := \frac{f(\lambda) + f(-\lambda)}{2}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R};$$

$$f^-(\lambda) := \frac{f(\lambda) - f(-\lambda)}{2\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in (\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Functions f^+ , f^- are continuous. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} f^-(\lambda) &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\lambda) - f(-\lambda)}{2\lambda} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\lambda) - f(0)}{2\lambda} + \\ &+ \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(-\lambda) - f(0)}{-2\lambda} = f'(0), \end{aligned}$$

and also $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow i0} f^-(\lambda) = f'(0)$. Then we set

$$f^-(0) := f'(0),$$

and get a continuous function f^- on $\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R}$.

We set

$$\vec{f}_p(\lambda) := (f^+(\lambda), f^-(\lambda)), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R}.$$

Using these definitions we can rewrite (14) in the following form:

$$(15) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_{2; \mathbf{M}, A} = 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \vec{f}_p \tilde{M} \vec{g}_p^* d\tau_M + 4 \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \vec{f}_p \tilde{M}_I \vec{g}_p^* d\tau_{M_I} + \\ + \vec{f}_d \left(A + \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a} + \tilde{a}_I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \vec{g}_d.$$

Consider the following integrals:

$$I_1 := 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \vec{f}_p \tilde{M} \vec{g}_p^* d\tau_M, \quad I_{1,I} := 4 \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \vec{f}_p \tilde{M}_I \vec{g}_p^* d\tau_{M_I},$$

which exist in view of the definitions of \tilde{M} and \tilde{M}_I .

Let us study the integral I_1 :

$$(16) \quad I_1 = 4 \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus (-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)} \vec{f}_p \tilde{M} \vec{g}_p^* d\tau_M + 4 \int_{(-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)} \vec{f}_p \tilde{M} \vec{g}_p^* d\tau_M,$$

where $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$.

We can write

$$4 \int_{(-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)} \vec{f}_p \tilde{M} \vec{g}_p^* d\tau_M = 4 \int_{(-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)} \vec{f}_p \tilde{M} \vec{g}_p^* d\hat{\tau}_M + 4 \vec{f}_p(0) \tilde{M}(0) \vec{g}_p^*(0) \Delta\tau_M(0).$$

Since functions f^+, f^-, g^+, g^- and entries of \tilde{M} are τ_M -a.e. bounded in any neighbourhood of 0, the first addend on the right of the latter equality tends to zero as $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$.

The first addend on the right-hand side of (16) tends to $4 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \vec{f}_p \tilde{M} \vec{g}_p^* d\tau_M$, as $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$, by the definition of the improper integral.

Consequently, passing to the limit in (16) we get

$$I_1 = 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \vec{f}_p \tilde{M} \vec{g}_p^* d\tau_M + 4 \vec{f}_p(0) \tilde{M}(0) \vec{g}_p^*(0) \Delta \tau_M(0).$$

In a similar way we get that

$$I_{1,I} = 4 \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \vec{f}_p \tilde{M}_I \vec{g}_p^* d\tau_{M_I} + 4 \vec{f}_p(0) \tilde{M}_I(0) \vec{g}_p^*(0) \Delta_i \tau_{M_I}(0).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \vec{f}_p \tilde{M} \vec{g}_p^* d\tau_M + 4 \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \vec{f}_p \tilde{M}_I \vec{g}_p^* d\tau_{M_I} = I_1 + I_{1,I} - \\ & - 4 \vec{f}_p(0) \tilde{M}(0) \vec{g}_p^*(0) \Delta \tau_M(0) - 4 \vec{f}_p(0) \tilde{M}_I(0) \vec{g}_p^*(0) \Delta_i \tau_{M_I}(0) = \\ & = I_1 + I_{1,I} - 4 \vec{f}_d \tilde{M}(0) \vec{g}_d^* \Delta \tau_M(0) - 4 \vec{f}_d \tilde{M}_I(0) \vec{g}_d^* \Delta_i \tau_{M_I}(0). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the last expression in (15) we get

$$(17) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_{2;M,A} = 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \vec{f}_p \tilde{M} \vec{g}_p^* d\tau_M + 4 \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \vec{f}_p \tilde{M}_I \vec{g}_p^* d\tau_{M_I} + \vec{f}_d A \vec{g}_d^*,$$

since

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a} + \tilde{a}_I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - 4 \tilde{M}(0) \Delta \tau_M(0) - 4 \tilde{M}_I(0) \Delta_i \tau_{M_I}(0) = 0.$$

Set

$$\widehat{M}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 4 \int_0^\lambda \tilde{M}(\lambda) d\tau_M(\lambda), & \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \\ 4 \int_0^\lambda \tilde{M}_I(\lambda) d\tau_{M_I}(\lambda), & \lambda \in i\mathbb{R} \end{cases}.$$

Since functions f^+, f^-, g^+, g^- are continuous, we can rewrite (17) in the following form:

$$(18) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_{2; \mathbf{M}, A} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \vec{f}_p d\widehat{M}(\lambda) \vec{g}_p^* + \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \vec{f}_p d\widehat{M}(\lambda) \vec{g}_p^* + \vec{f}_d A \vec{g}_d^*,$$

where integrals are understood as a sum of integrals of each scalar addend.

Denote the sum of integrals on the right by I_2 . Then we can write

$$(19) \quad I_2 = \int_{(-\infty, 0]} \vec{f}_p d\widehat{M}(\lambda) \vec{g}_p^* + \int_{[0, \infty)} + \cdots + \int_{(-i\infty, 0]} + \cdots + \int_{[0, i\infty)} + \cdots .$$

In the first two terms on the right we make the changes of variable: $x = \lambda^2$, $\lambda = -\sqrt{x}$ and $x = \lambda^2$, $\lambda = \sqrt{x}$, respectively. Here $\sqrt{x} = |x|^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

In the last two terms on the right of (19) we make the changes of variable: $x = \lambda^2$, $\lambda = -\sqrt{x}$ and $x = \lambda^2$, $\lambda = \sqrt{x}$, respectively. Here $\sqrt{x} = |x|^{\frac{1}{2}}i$. Note that functions f^+, f^-, g^+, g^- are even and do not depend on the choice of a branch of the square root. Define the following matrix-function:

$$(20) \quad \widehat{M}_1(x) = \begin{cases} \widehat{M}(-\sqrt{x}) - \widehat{M}(\sqrt{x}), & x \leq 0 \\ \widehat{M}(\sqrt{x}) - \widehat{M}(-\sqrt{x}) + A, & x > 0 \end{cases} .$$

This function is a non-decreasing $\mathbb{C}_{2 \times 2}$ -valued function on \mathbb{R} . Then from (18) and (19) we get

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{2; \mathbf{M}, A} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \vec{f}_p(\sqrt{x}) d\widehat{M}_1(x) \vec{g}_p^*(\sqrt{x}).$$

We set $M_1(x) := \widehat{M}_1(x - 0)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, to get a left-continuous function and

$$(21) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_{2; \mathbf{M}, A} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \vec{f}_p(\sqrt{x}) dM_1(x) \vec{g}_p^*(\sqrt{x}).$$

The function $M_1(x)$ defines a $\mathbb{C}_{\geq 2 \times 2}^{\geq}$ -valued measure M_1 on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$. The space of square-integrable vector functions $\vec{v} = (v_1(x), v_2(x))$ on \mathbb{R} with respect to the measure M_1 we shall denote $L_v^2(M_1)$. The space of square-integrable matrix-functions $v = \begin{pmatrix} v_1(x) & v_2(x) \\ v_3(x) & v_4(x) \end{pmatrix}$ on \mathbb{R} with respect to the measure M_1 we denote $L_{M_1}^2$. For the general definition of such spaces we refer to [12]. Notice that in fact

$$(22) \quad L_{M_1}^2 = L_v^2(M_1) \oplus L_v^2(M_1),$$

according to the map

$$L^2_{M_1} \ni \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & v_2 \\ v_3 & v_4 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow (v_1, v_2) \oplus (v_3, v_4) \in L^2_v(M_1) \oplus L^2_v(M_1).$$

From this fact it follows a corollary:

Corollary 2.1. *The set \mathbb{P}_v is dense in $L^2_v(M_1)$ iff the set $\mathbb{P}_{2 \times 2}$ is dense in $L^2(M_1)$.*

By $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{2,v;M_1}$ and $\| \cdot \|_{2,v;M_1}$ we denote the inner product and the norm in $L^2_v(M_1)$, respectively. We can define an operator $\mathbf{V} : C_0 \rightarrow L^2_v(M_1)$:

$$(23) \quad (\mathbf{V}f)(x) = \vec{f}_p(\sqrt{x}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad f \in C_0.$$

From (21) it follows that

$$(24) \quad \langle \mathbf{V}f, \mathbf{V}g \rangle_{2,v;M_1} = \langle f, g \rangle_{2;\mathbf{M},A}, \quad f, g \in C_0.$$

Let R_0 be a subset of $L^2_v(M_1)$ which consists of functions $\vec{v}(x) = (v_1(x), v_2(x))$, such that v_1, v_2 are continuous and $w(\lambda) := v_1(\lambda^2)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R}$ has the first derivative at zero (in the directions of \mathbb{R} and $i\mathbb{R}$ and they coincide). We shall show that $\mathbf{V}C_0 = R_0$.

Notice that for $f \in C_0$ the functions $f^+(\sqrt{x}), f^-(\sqrt{x})$ are continuous and the function $f^+(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}(f(\lambda) + f(-\lambda))$ has the derivative $\frac{d}{d\lambda} f^+ \Big|_{\lambda=0} (= 0)$. Hence, $\mathbf{V}C_0 \subseteq R_0$. On the other hand, take $\vec{v}(x) = (v_1(x), v_2(x)) \in R_0$ and set $f_v(\lambda) := v_1(\lambda^2) + \lambda v_2(\lambda^2)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R}$. Then $f_v^+(\lambda) = v_1(\lambda^2)$, $f_v^-(\lambda) = v_2(\lambda^2)$ and

$$(25) \quad (f_v^+(\sqrt{x}), f_v^-(\sqrt{x})) = (v_1(x), v_2(x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \exists f'_v(0) &= \lim_{\Delta\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_v(\Delta\lambda) - f_v(0)}{\Delta\lambda} = \\ &= \lim_{\Delta\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{v_1((\Delta\lambda)^2) + \Delta\lambda v_2((\Delta\lambda)^2) - v_1(0)}{\Delta\lambda} = v_2(0) + (v_1(\lambda^2))' \Big|_{\lambda=0}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(\Delta\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \Delta\lambda \in i\mathbb{R}).$$

Using (25) we can write

$$\|\vec{v}\|_{2,v;M_1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f_v)_{\mathcal{P}}(\sqrt{x}) dM_1(x) (f_v)_{\mathcal{P}}^*(\sqrt{x}).$$

Moving backwards from (21) to (9) we obtain

$$\|\vec{v}\|_{2,v;M_1} = \|f_v\|_{2;\mathbf{M},A} < \infty.$$

Hence, $f_v \in C_0$. Moreover,

$$(26) \quad (\mathbf{V}f_v)(x) = (f_v)_{\mathcal{P}}(\sqrt{x}) = \vec{v}(x).$$

Consequently, $\mathbf{V}C_0 = R_0$.

Define an operator $\mathbf{G} : R_0 \rightarrow C_0$:

$$(27) \quad (\mathbf{G}\vec{v})(\lambda) = v_1(\lambda^2) + \lambda v_2(\lambda^2), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R}, \quad \vec{v} = (v_1, v_2) \in R_0.$$

From (27) it follows that $\mathbf{V}\mathbf{G}\vec{v} = \vec{v}$, $\vec{v} \in R_0$. On the other hand, $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{V}f = \mathbf{G}f_{\mathcal{P}}(\sqrt{x}) = f^+(\lambda) + \lambda f^-(\lambda) = f$, $f \in C_0$. Hence, $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{V}^{-1}$.

Lemma 2.2. *The subset C_0 is dense in $L^2_{\mathbf{M},A}(\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. 1) *Case $A = 0$.* Here we shall follow the ideas of Kats in [13]. Take $f \in L^2_{\mathbf{M},A}$. Set

$$(28) \quad f_N(\lambda) = \begin{cases} f(\lambda), & \text{if } |f(\lambda)| < N, \quad |f(-\lambda)| < N \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $E_N := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R} : f_N(\lambda) \neq f(\lambda)\}$. Since $f(\lambda)$ has almost everywhere with respect to τ_M on \mathbb{R} and with respect to τ_{M_I} on $i\mathbb{R}$ finite values, we get that

$$(29) \quad \tau_M(E_N \cap \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \tau_{M_I}(E_N \cap (i\mathbb{R})) \rightarrow 0$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. We shall denote

$$\Psi(\lambda; g) := \vec{g}_s(\lambda) M'(\lambda) \vec{g}_s^*(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$\Psi_I(\lambda; g) := \vec{g}_s(\lambda) M'_I(\lambda) \vec{g}_s^*(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in i\mathbb{R}, \quad g \in L^2_{\mathbf{M},A}.$$

Then

$$\|f_N - f\|_{2;\mathbf{M},A}^2 = \int_{E_N \cap \mathbb{R}} \Psi(\lambda; f) d\tau_M + \int_{E_N \cap (i\mathbb{R})} \Psi_I(\lambda; f) d\tau_{M_I} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, since f is integrable and (29) holds.

Hence, bounded functions are dense in $L^2_{\mathbf{M},A}(\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R})$.

Consider a bounded function $f_b(\lambda) \in L^2_{\mathbf{M},A}$: $|f_b(\lambda)| \leq N$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Take an arbitrary ε : $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Choose $K > 0$ such that τ_M is continuous at points $-K, K$ and

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-K, K]} \Psi(\lambda; f) d\tau_M \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Let $\tau_M(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ be the distribution function of the measure τ_M . Consider the function

$$\hat{\tau}_M(\lambda) := \begin{cases} \tau_M(\lambda), & \lambda \leq 0 \\ \tau_M(\lambda) + 1, & \lambda > 0 \end{cases}.$$

The function $\hat{\tau}_M(\lambda)$ defines a \mathbb{C}^{\geq} -valued measure $\hat{\tau}_M$ on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$. It is important for us that

$$(30) \quad \hat{\tau}_M(A) = \tau_M(A), \quad A \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}) : 0 \notin A;$$

$$(31) \quad \hat{\tau}_M(A) = \tau_M(A) + 1 \geq 1, \quad A \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}) : 0 \in A.$$

Applying Luzin's theorem (see [9, pp. 227–229]) we can find a continuous function $g_N(\lambda)$ on $[-K, K]$ such that for $Q_N := \{\lambda \in [-K, K] : g_N(\lambda) \neq f_b(\lambda)\}$ we have $\hat{\tau}_M(Q_N) < \varepsilon$. Also $g_N(\lambda)$ can be chosen such that $|g_N(\lambda)| < N$.

From (31) it follows that $0 \notin Q_N$, therefore $g_N(0) = f_b(0)$. From (31) it follows also that $\tau_M(Q_N) < \varepsilon$.

There exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\tau_M([-K, -K - \delta]) < \varepsilon$ and $\tau_M([K, K + \delta]) < \varepsilon$, since τ_M is continuous at points $-K, K$.

We continue the function $g_N(\lambda)$ on intervals $[-K, -K - \delta]$ and $[K, K + \delta]$ by intervals of a straight line $a\lambda + b$ connecting the known value of $g_N(\lambda)$ with 0. Namely,

$$g_N(\lambda) := \begin{cases} \frac{g_N(-K)}{\delta}(\lambda + K + \delta), & \lambda \in [-K, -K - \delta] \\ -\frac{g_N(K)}{\delta}(\lambda - K - \delta), & \lambda \in [K, K + \delta] \end{cases}.$$

Thus, we have

$$|g_N(\lambda)| \leq |g_N(-K)| < N, \quad \lambda \in [-K, -K - \delta],$$

$$|g_N(\lambda)| \leq |g_N(K)| < N, \quad \lambda \in [K, K - \delta].$$

On the rest of \mathbb{R} we set $g_N(\lambda) = 0$.

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Psi(\lambda; f - g_N) d\tau_M \right| &< \varepsilon + \left| \int_{[-K-\delta, -K] \cup [K, K+\delta]} \Psi(\lambda; f - g_N) d\tau_M \right| + \\ &+ \left| \int_{[-K, K]} \Psi(\lambda; f - g_N) d\tau_M \right| < \varepsilon + 16N^2 \tau_M([-K - \delta, -K]) + \\ &+ 16N^2 \tau_M([K, K + \delta]) + 16N^2 \tau_M(Q_N) < (48N^2 + 1)\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way we can construct a continuous function $g_{N,I}(\lambda)$ on $i\mathbb{R}$ such that $g_{N,I}(0) = f_b(0)$ and

$$\left| \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \Psi_I(\lambda; f - g_{N,I}) d\tau_{M_I} \right| < (48N^2 + 1)\varepsilon.$$

We set

$$d_N(\lambda) := \begin{cases} g_N(\lambda), & \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \\ g_{N,I}(\lambda), & \lambda \in i\mathbb{R} \end{cases}.$$

It is not hard to see that $d_N(\lambda)$ will approximate $f_b(\lambda)$. Consequently, continuous functions are dense in $L_{\mathbf{M},A}^2(\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R})$.

Take a continuous function $f_c \in L_{\mathbf{M},A}^2$. For $a = -1; 1; -i; i$ set

$$(32) \quad \hat{f}(\lambda) = (\lambda - a)g(\lambda) + f_c(a),$$

where

$$g(\lambda) = \frac{1}{a}(f_c(a) - f_c(0)) + \lambda \left(\frac{1}{a^2}(f_c(a) - f_c(0)) - \frac{d}{a} \right), \quad \lambda \in [0, a]$$

and d is an arbitrary complex number. It is not hard to see that $\hat{f}(\lambda)$ is a continuous function on $[-1, 1] \cup [-i, i]$ such that

$$(33) \quad \hat{f}(-1) = f_c(-1), \quad \hat{f}(1) = f_c(1), \quad \hat{f}(-i) = f_c(-i), \quad \hat{f}(i) = f_c(i);$$

$$(34) \quad \hat{f}(0) = f_c(0), \quad \exists \hat{f}'(0) = d.$$

Since $f_c(\lambda) \rightarrow f_c(0)$ and $\hat{f}(\lambda) \rightarrow f_c(0)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, we get that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta_1 > 0 (\delta_1 < 1) : \lambda \in [-1, 1] \cup [-i, i], |\lambda| \leq \delta \Rightarrow$$

$$|\hat{f}(\lambda) - f_c(\lambda)| < \varepsilon.$$

Set

$$(35) \quad f_1(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \hat{f}(\lambda), & |\lambda| < \delta_1 \\ f_c(\lambda) + \frac{|\lambda| - 1}{\delta_1 - 1}(\hat{f}(\delta_1) - f_c(\delta_1)), & \delta_1 \leq |\lambda| \leq 1 \\ f_c(\lambda), & |\lambda| > 1 \end{cases}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R}.$$

Then $f_1(\lambda)$ is a continuous function on $\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R}$ which has the first derivative at zero and such that:

$$(36) \quad |f_1(\lambda) - f_c(\lambda)| < \varepsilon, \quad \lambda \in [-1, 1] \cup [-i, i].$$

Then

$$(37) \quad \begin{aligned} \|f_1 - f_c\|_{2; \mathbf{M}, A}^2 &= \int_{[-1, 1]} \Psi(\lambda; f_1 - f_c) d\tau_M + \int_{[-i, i]} \Psi_I(\lambda; f_1 - f_c) d\tau_{M_I} \leq \\ &\leq 4\varepsilon^2 \tau_M([-1, 1]) + 4\varepsilon^2 \tau_{M_I}([-i, i]). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, C_0 is dense in $L_{\mathbf{M}, A}^2$ in this case.

2) Case $A \neq 0$. Take a function $f \in L_{\mathbf{M}, A}^2$. Consider the space $L_{\mathbf{M}, 0}^2$. Evidently, $f \in L_{\mathbf{M}, 0}^2$ as well. Let us apply constructions from the previous case. Since $f(0)$ is finite, we can for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ construct a bounded function $f_N(\lambda)$ ($N > |f(0)|$) such that $f_N(0) = f(0)$ and $\|f - f_N\|_{2; \mathbf{M}, 0} < \varepsilon$. Then we construct a continuous function $f_c(\lambda)$ such that $f_c(0) = f_N(0) = f(0)$ and $\|f_N - f_c\|_{2; \mathbf{M}, 0} < \varepsilon$. For the continuous function f_c we construct a function $\hat{f}(\lambda)$ as in (32) with $d = f'(0)$. Then we repeat considerations after (32). Define a function $f_1(\lambda)$ as in (35). The function f_1 will possess property (36) and (37) holds with $A = 0$. Notice that $f_1 \in L_{\mathbf{M}, A}^2$ by the definition of $L_{\mathbf{M}, A}^2$. Moreover, $f_1 \in C_0$. Consequently,

$$\|f - f_1\|_{2; \mathbf{M}, A} = \|f - f_1\|_{2; \mathbf{M}, 0} \leq \|f - f_N\|_{2; \mathbf{M}, 0} + \|f_N - f_c\|_{2; \mathbf{M}, 0} +$$

$$+\|f_c - f_1\|_{2;\mathbf{M},0} \leq 2\varepsilon + 4\varepsilon^2\tau_M([-1,1]) + 4\varepsilon^2\tau_{M_I}([-i,i]).$$

Hence, C_0 is dense in $L^2_{\mathbf{M},A}$ in this case as well. \square

Lemma 2.2. *The subset R_0 is dense in $L^2_v(M_1)$.*

Proof. Since continuous matrix-functions are dense in $L^2(M_1)$ (see [13]), continuous vector functions are dense in $L^2_v(M_1)$ as follows from (22). Let $\vec{f} = (f_1, f_2) \in L^2_v(M_1)$ be continuous.

For $a = -1, 1$ we set

$$\hat{f}_k(x) = (x - a)g_k(x) + f_k(a),$$

where

$$g_k(x) = \frac{1}{a}(f_k(a) - f_k(0)) + x \left(\frac{1}{a^2}(f_k(a) - f_k(0)) - \frac{1}{a} \right), \quad x \in [0, a],$$

$$k = 1, 2.$$

It is not hard to see that $\hat{f}_1(x)$ and $\hat{f}_2(x)$ are continuous functions on $[-1, 1]$ such that

$$(38) \quad \hat{f}_1(-1) = f_1(-1), \quad \hat{f}_1(1) = f_1(1), \quad \hat{f}_2(-1) = f_2(-1), \quad \hat{f}_2(1) = f_2(1);$$

$$(39) \quad \hat{f}_1(0) = f_1(0), \quad \hat{f}_2(0) = f_2(0), \quad \exists \hat{f}'_1(0) = 1.$$

Since $f_k(x) \rightarrow f_k(0)$ and $\hat{f}_k(x) \rightarrow f_k(0)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$, we obtain that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta_1 > 0 (\delta_1 < 1) : x \in [-1, 1], |x| \leq \delta_1 \Rightarrow$$

$$|\hat{f}_k(x) - f_k(x)| < \varepsilon, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

Set

$$\tilde{f}_k(x) = \begin{cases} \hat{f}_k(x), & |x| < \delta_1 \\ f_k(x) + \frac{|x| - 1}{\delta_1 - 1}(\hat{f}_k(\delta_1) - f_k(\delta_1)), & \delta_1 \leq |x| \leq 1 \\ f_k(x), & |x| > 1 \end{cases}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}; \quad k = 1, 2.$$

Then $\vec{f}(x) := (\tilde{f}_1(x), \tilde{f}_2(x))$ is a continuous function on \mathbb{R} such that $\tilde{f}_1(\lambda^2)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R}$ has the first derivative at zero and

$$(40) \quad |\tilde{f}_k(x) - f_k(x)| < \varepsilon, \quad x \in [-1, 1], \quad k = 1, 2.$$

Let $M'_1(x)$ be the matrix of the Radon-Nikodym derivatives of the measure M_1 with respect to the trace measure τ_{M_1} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\vec{f} - \tilde{\vec{f}}\|_{2,v;M_1}^2 &= \int_{[-1,1]} (f_1(x) - \tilde{f}_1(x), f_2(x) - \tilde{f}_2(x)) M'_1(x) * \\ & * (f_1(x) - \tilde{f}_1(x), f_2(x) - \tilde{f}_2(x))^* d\tau_{M_1} \leq 4\varepsilon^2 \tau_{M_1}([-1, 1]). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, R_0 is dense in $L_v^2(M_1)$. \square

We can extend the operator \mathbf{V} by continuity to an isometric isomorphism \mathbf{U} between $L_{\mathbf{M},A}^2(\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R})$ and $L_v^2(M_1)$. Hence, we have obtained the following result:

Lemma 2.3. *There exists an isometric isomorphism \mathbf{U} between $L_{\mathbf{M},A}^2(\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R})$ and $L_v^2(M_1)$.*

Since $L_v^2(M_1)$ is a complete space (see [12, Theorem, p. 295]), from Lemma 2.3 we get the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *$L_{\mathbf{M},A}^2(\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R})$ is a complete Hilbert space under the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{2;\mathbf{M},A}$.*

Special choices of \mathbf{M} and A in the definition of $L_{\mathbf{M},A}^2$ lead to the following important L^2 spaces:

(a) $M = \begin{pmatrix} m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $M_I = 0$, $A = 0$. This leads to the usual $L_m^2(\mathbb{R})$.

(b) $M = \begin{pmatrix} m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $M_I = 0$, $A \neq 0$. That leads to a discrete Sobolev space related to the discrete Sobolev orthogonal polynomials [7].

(c) $M = \begin{pmatrix} m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $M_I = \begin{pmatrix} m_I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$: $m_I(\{0\}) = 0$, $A = 0$. Define a measure \mathbf{m} on sets $[a, b] \cup [ic, id]$, $a, b, c, d > 0$:

$$\mathbf{m}([a, b] \cup [ic, id]) := m([a, b]) + m_I([ci, di]),$$

and in a similar manner for sets with $(a, b]$, $[a, b)$, (a, b) , $(ci, di]$, $[ci, di)$, (ci, di) . Then we define a measure μ on rectangles in \mathbb{C} (with sides parallel to the axes):

$$\mu(R) = \mathbf{m}((\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R}) \cap R), \quad \text{where } R \text{ is a rectangle.}$$

Finally, we apply Lebesgue’s extension to μ to obtain a positive measure $\tilde{\mu}$ defined on the Borel subsets $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{C})$ in the complex plane. It is not hard to see that $L_{2;\mathbf{M},A} = L_{\tilde{\mu}}^2$ in this case. The measure $\tilde{\mu}$ has support on $\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R}$.

Conversely, each positive measure $\tilde{\mu}$ on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{C})$ with support on $\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R}$ defines measures \tilde{M} and \tilde{M}_I on intervals:

$$(41) \quad \tilde{M}([a, b]) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} R(a - \varepsilon i, a + \varepsilon i, b - \varepsilon i, b + \varepsilon i),$$

$$(42) \quad \tilde{M}_I([ci, di]) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} R(ci - \varepsilon, ci + \varepsilon, di - \varepsilon, di + \varepsilon),$$

where $R(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$ is a closed rectangle with vertices at points z_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$; $a, b, c, d > 0$.

For intervals $[a, b)$, $(a, b]$, (a, b) , $[ci, di)$, $(ci, di]$, (ci, di) we use the obvious modifications of definitions (41),(42). Applying Lebesgue’s extension we obtain \mathbb{C}^{\geq} -valued measures \hat{M} and \hat{M}_I on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{B}(i\mathbb{R})$, respectively. Finally, we set $M := \hat{M}$ and for $A \in \mathfrak{B}(i\mathbb{R})$

$$M_I(A) := \begin{cases} \hat{M}_I(A), & \text{if } 0 \notin A \\ \hat{M}_I(A) - \hat{M}(\{0\}), & \text{if } 0 \in A \end{cases}.$$

It is not hard to see that $L_{\tilde{\mu}}^2 = L_{2;\mathbf{M},A}$.

Let us now turn to the question of density of polynomials in $L_{\mathbf{M},A}^2(\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R})$. It is natural to assume that complex polynomials belong to $L_{\mathbf{M},A}^2(\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R})$. This condition is equivalent to existence of the following integrals:

$$(43) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\vec{\lambda}^n)_s M'(\lambda) (\vec{\lambda}^n)_s^* d\tau_M + \int_{i\mathbb{R}} (\vec{\lambda}^n)_s M'_I(\lambda) (\vec{\lambda}^n)_s^* d\tau_{M_I} < \infty, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

It is not hard to see that $\mathbf{V}\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_v$. Let polynomials be dense in $L_{\mathbf{M},A}^2(\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R})$. Therefore they are dense in C_0 . Thus, $\forall \vec{v} = (v_1, v_2) \in R_0$ we can find a polynomial $p(\lambda)$ such that

$$\varepsilon > \|\mathbf{V}^{-1}v - p\|_{2;\mathbf{M},A} = \|v - \mathbf{V}p\|_{2,v;M_1}.$$

Hence, vector polynomials are dense in R_0 and from Lemma 2.2 it follows that they are dense in $L_v^2(M_1)$.

Let vector polynomials be dense in $L_v^2(M_1)$. Therefore they are dense in R_0 . Thus, $\forall f(\lambda) \in C_0$ we can find a vector $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2)$, where p_1, p_2 are polynomials such that

$$\varepsilon > \|\mathbf{V}f - \vec{p}\|_{2,v;M_1} = \|f - \mathbf{V}^{-1}\vec{p}\|_{2;\mathbf{M},A}.$$

Hence, polynomials are dense in C_0 and from Lemma 2.2 it follows that they are dense in $L_{\mathbf{M},A}^2(\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R})$.

We get that polynomials are dense in $L_{\mathbf{M},A}^2$ iff vector polynomials are dense in $L_v^2(M_1)$.

Taking into account Corollary 2.1 we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 2.2. *Consider an $L_{\mathbf{M},A}^2$ space such that for the measure M condition (43) is satisfied. Then polynomials are dense in $L_{\mathbf{M},A}^2$ iff matrix polynomials are dense in $L_{M_1}^2$ where M_1 is constructed as above.*

We shall suppose that the measure M is not degenerate in the following sense:

$$(44) \quad \langle p, p \rangle_{2;\mathbf{M},A} > 0,$$

for any complex polynomial $p(\lambda) \neq 0$.

Applying the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization method to the sequence $1, \lambda, \lambda^2, \dots$, with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{2;\mathbf{M},A}$ we get a system of orthonormal polynomials $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$. They satisfy the orthonormality property

$$(45) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\vec{p}_n)_s(\lambda) M'(\lambda) (\vec{p}_m)_s^*(\lambda) d\tau_M + \int_{i\mathbb{R}} (\vec{p}_n)_s(\lambda) M'_I(\lambda) (\vec{p}_m)_s^*(\lambda) d\tau_{M_I} + \\ + (\vec{p}_n)_d A (\vec{p}_m)_d^* = \delta_{n,m}, \quad n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Special choices of \mathbf{M} and A in the definition of $L_{\mathbf{M},A}^2$, see cases **(a)**, **(b)**, **(c)** after Theorem 2.1, lead to the following important systems of orthogonal polynomials:

- (a):** Orthogonal polynomials on the real line, see [10];
- (b):** Discrete Sobolev orthogonal polynomials, see [7];
- (c):** Orthogonal polynomials on radial rays in the complex plane, see [11].

For orthonormal polynomials from (45) we define

$$\vec{p}_n(x) := (\mathbf{V}p_n)(x) = (p_n^+(\sqrt{x}), p_n^-(\sqrt{x})), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Then $\{\vec{p}_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ will be an orthonormal system in $L_v^2(M_1)$. Define matrix polynomials

$$(46) \quad P_k(x) := \begin{pmatrix} p_{2k}^+(\sqrt{x}) & p_{2k}^-(\sqrt{x}) \\ p_{2k+1}^+(\sqrt{x}) & p_{2k+1}^-(\sqrt{x}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{p}_{2k}(x) \\ \vec{p}_{2k+1}(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Notice that $P_k(x)$ has degree exactly k . Moreover, we have

$$(47) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_k(x) dM_1(x) P_l^*(x) = I \delta_{k,l}, \quad k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

where $I = (\delta_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^2$.

Hence, $\{P_k(x)\}_{k=0}^\infty$ are matrix orthonormal polynomials. Denote by J the corresponding Jacobi matrix and let \mathbf{J} be the corresponding symmetric operator in l^2 . Suppose that \mathbf{J} has indices of deficiency $(2, 2)$. In other words that means that the matrix Hamburger moment problem corresponding to M_1 is completely indeterminate.

In this case we get the following theorem:

Theorem 2.3. *Consider an $L_{\mathbf{M},A}^2$ space such that for the measure M conditions (43), (44) are satisfied. Construct the measure M_1 as above. Suppose that the corresponding symmetric operator \mathbf{J} has indices of deficiency $(2, 2)$. Then polynomials are dense in $L_{\mathbf{M},A}^2$ iff condition (5) holds true for $M = M_1$ with a constant unitary matrix U .*

Note that there are several criteria for the completely indeterminate case of the matrix moment problem. For example, one can use a generalization of Hamburger’s criterion [14, p. 56], see also [15]–[17] and references therein.

REFERENCES

[1] M. M. MALAMUD, S. M. MALAMUD. Operator measures in a Hilbert space. *Algebra i analiz* **15**, 3 (2003), 1–52 (in Russian).

- [2] N. I. AKHIEZER. Classical moment problem and some related to it questions of analysis. Moscow, Gos. izdat. fiz.-matem. liter., 1961 (in Russian).
- [3] YU. M. BEREZANSKII. Expansions in eigenfunctions of self-adjoint operators. Kiev, Naukova dumka, 1965 (in Russian).
- [4] P. LOPEZ-RODRIGUEZ. The Nevanlinna parametrization for a matrix moment problem. *Math. Scand.* **89** (2001), 245–267.
- [5] M. RIESZ. Sur le probleme des moments et le theoreme de Parseval correspondant. *Acta Litt. Acad. Sci. Szeged* **1**, (1923), 209–225.
- [6] A. G. BAKAN. A criterion of density of algebraic polynomials in spaces $L_p(\mathbb{R}, d\mu)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. *Ukr. math. zhurnal* **55**, 5 (2003), 701–705.
- [7] F. MARCELLÁN, M. ALFARO, M. L. REZOLA. Orthogonal polynomials on Sobolev spaces: old and new directions. *J. Comp. Appl. Math.* **48**, (1993), 113–131.
- [8] S. M. ZAGORODNYUK. On generalized Jacobi matrices and orthogonal polynomials. *New York J. Math.* **9** (2003), 117–136.
- [9] D. L. COHN. Measure Theory. Boston-Basel-Stuttgart, Birkhäuser, 1980.
- [10] G. SZEGÖ. Orthogonal polynomials. New York, AMS Colloquium Publications, vol. **XXIII**, 1959.
- [11] G. V. MILOVANOVIĆ. A Class of Orthogonal Polynomials on the Radial Rays in the Complex Plane. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **206** (1997), 121–139.
- [12] M. ROSENBERG. The square-integrability of matrix-valued functions with respect to a non-negative Hermitian measure. *Duke Math. J.* **31** (1964), 291–298.
- [13] I. KATS. On Hilbert spaces generated by monotonic Hermitian matrix-functions. *Zapiski NII matematiki i mekhaniki KhGU im. Gor'kogo i KhMO* **22** (1950), 95–113 (in Russian).
- [14] M. G. KREIN. The fundamental propositions of the theory of representations of Hermitian operators with deficiency index (m, m) . *Ukr. mat. zhurnal* **1**, 2 (1949), 3–66 (in Russian).

- [15] A. G. KOSTYUCHENKO, K. A. MIRZOEV. Generalized Jacobi matrices and the deficiency indices of ordinary differential operators with polynomial coefficients. *Funkts. analiz i ego prilozheniya* **33**, 1 (1999), 30–45 (in Russian).
- [16] A. G. KOSTYUCHENKO, K. A. MIRZOEV. Conditions for the complete indefiniteness of Jacobi matrices with matrix elements. *Funkts. analiz i ego prilozheniya* **35**, 4 (2001), 32–38 (in Russian).
- [17] YU. M. DYUKAREV. On indeterminacy of interpolating problems for the Nevanlinna functions. *Izvestiya vyssh. ucheb. zavedeniy. Matematika* **8**, (2004), 26–38 (in Russian).

Lutz Klotz
Institut für Mathematik
Universität
Postfach 10 09 20
D-04009 Leipzig
Deutschland

Sergey M. Zagorodnyuk
School of Mathematics and Mekhanics
Karazin Kharkiv National University
Kharkiv, 61077
Ukraine
e-mail: Sergey.M.Zagorodnyuk@univer.kharkov.ua

Received April 25, 2007