

№ . . . . .

ИНСТИТУТ ПО МАТЕМАТИКА С ИЗЧИСЛИТЕЛЕН ЦЕНТЪР  
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS WITH COMPUTER CENTER

# Differential Inclusions with Upper Semicontinuous Right-Hand Side

R. P. Ivanov

БЪЛГАРСКА  
АКАДЕМИЯ  
НА НАУКИТЕ



BULGARIAN  
ACADEMY  
OF SCIENCES

Preprint

August 1994

No 4

Department of Operations Research

# Differential Inclusions with Upper Semicontinuous Right-Hand Side \*

R. P. Ivanov

## Abstract

An existence theorem is proved for solutions of differential inclusions with an upper semicontinuous and nonconvex right-hand side. The proof is based on an inner and directional continuous parameterization. This parameterization leads to a family of disturbed differential inclusions. The solution of the starting differential inclusion is obtained as a uniform limit of the solutions of disturbed systems. Some aspects of the existence of the above mentioned inner parameterization are discussed. A few examples are presented.

**Key words:** multi-function, differential inclusion, directional continuity, upper and low semi-continuity, measurability.

**AMS (MOS) subject classification.** 34A60.

## 1 Introduction

This work considers differential inclusions with an upper semicontinuous and nonconvex right-hand side. A set of conditions, named the **Z condition**, is presented in the first section. These conditions guarantee the existence of solutions for the differential inclusions with an upper semi-continuous (u.s.c.) right-hand side. This part is related to the ideas of many authors, see f.e. [1–2], [4], [6–9], [10–11], [14–16], [18], [20]. The second section considers the fulfilment of the **Z condition** for the different multi-functions. The first part of the **Z condition** is equivalent to the existence of the fixed point of some map. In the differential equation system case it is a solution of the Euler's implicit scheme. The same holds for the Yosida approximation of maximal monotone operators [2]. The second part of the **Z condition** is an existence of a measurable selection of some map and this selection should be directionally continuous at the point where the scalar parameter vanish, see f.e. [6–8].

The last section contains examples which particularly describe the clearance between the sufficient and necessary conditions for the existence of the solutions of differential inclusions.

---

\*This work was partially supported the MM-53/91 grant of the Bulgarian Ministry of Education and Science

## 2 Existence Theorem

Let  $F(t, x)$  be a multi-function with compact values which is measurable in  $t$  and u.s.c. in  $x$ :

$$F(t, x) : D \longrightarrow K(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}), \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is the Euclidean space,  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a domain (a connected set with nonempty interior) and  $K(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a metric space of nonempty compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The metric of this space is the Hausdorff distance  $h(F, G)$  between the compact sets  $F$  and  $G$ :

$$h(F, G) = \max\left\{\max_{u \in F} \min_{v \in G} \|u - v\|, \max_{v \in G} \min_{u \in F} \|u - v\|\right\},$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the Euclidean norm.

We are going to consider the following differential inclusion:

$$\dot{x} \in F(t, x), \quad x(t_0) = x_0, \quad t \in [t_0, t_1]. \quad (2)$$

**Definition 1** Every absolutely continuous function  $x(t)$  which almost everywhere in  $[0, 1]$  satisfies the differential inclusion (2) is said to be a solution.

**Definition 2** (see [7]) Let  $\Gamma$  be a cone in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and let  $Y$  be a metric space. A map  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \longrightarrow Y$  is  $\Gamma$ -continuous at a point  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  iff for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d(f(x), f(\bar{x})) < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in B(\bar{x}, \delta) \cap (\bar{x} + \Gamma)$ . We say that  $f$  is  $\Gamma$ -continuous on a set  $A$  if  $f$  is  $\Gamma$ -continuous at every point  $\bar{x} \in A$ .

In this paper we are going to use a modification of the above definition (see [6], [7], [8]):

**Definition 3** The function  $f(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is said to be directionally continuous at  $(0, t, x)$  with a constant  $M$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive number  $\delta(\varepsilon, x) > 0$  for which

$$\|f(0, t, x) - f(s, t, y)\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{if} \quad \|x - y\| < Ms, \quad 0 \leq s < \delta(\varepsilon, x).$$

**Z condition:**

1. For every  $t \in [t_0, t_1]$  and  $x \in \text{int}D$  there exists a positive number  $s(t, x)$  and vectors  $z(s, t, x)$ ,  $s \in [0, s(t, x))$  for which the following inclusion

$$z(s, t, x) \in F(t, x + sz(s, t, x)) + \omega(s, t, x)B \quad (3)$$

holds, where  $s(t, x) \geq \bar{s} > 0$ ,  $t \in [t_0, t_1]$ ,  $x \in D$ ,  $B$  is the unit ball centered at the origin,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \omega(s, t, x) = 0$ , and  $\omega(s, t, x)$  is a nonnegative scalar function which is measurable in  $s$  and continuous in  $(t, x)$ .

2. The multi-function  $\{z \mid z \in F(t, x + sz) + \omega(s, t, x)B\}$  has a selection  $z(s, t, x)$  which is jointly measurable in  $(t, x)$  and directionally continuous at  $(0, t, x)$  with a constant  $M$ .

3.  $\|F(t, x)\| \leq L < M$ .

Note, that the first part of the **Z condition** is an implicit and approximate inclusion which guarantees the existence of the selection  $z(s, t, x)$ , defined at least on  $[0, \bar{s}] \times D$ . The second part of the **Z condition** is related to some natural properties like measurability

and directional continuity. The last part of the **Z condition** guarantees the extension of the solutions of the differential inclusions closely to the bound of the domain  $[t_0, t_1] \times D$ .

First, under the **Z condition** we are going to prove an existence theorem for the differential inclusions with u.s.c. and compact right-hand side. Let us denote

$$Z(s, t, x) = \operatorname{ess\,lim}_{y \rightarrow x} z(s, t, y),$$

where  $u \in \operatorname{ess\,lim}_{y \rightarrow x} z(s, t, y)$  if for every set  $N \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with Lebesgue's measure  $\mu(N) = 0$  there exists a sequence  $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \not\subset N$  for which  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_k = x$  and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z(s, t, y_k) = u$ . Note, the multi-function  $coZ(s, t, x)$  (*co* means convex hull) is the Filippov's extension of the right-hand side for the ordinary differential equations with a measurable right-hand side ([11], [12]). For any fixed  $s$  this function is u.s.c. in  $x$ , jointly measurable in  $(t, x)$ , measurable in  $t$  and for any continuous function  $x(t)$  the multi-function  $Z(s, t, x(t))$  is measurable (see [11], [12]).

**Theorem 1** *Let the measurable in  $t$  and upper semi-continuous in  $x$  multi-function  $F(\cdot, \cdot)$  with compact values satisfy the **Z condition**, i.e.:*

*For all sufficiently small positive numbers  $s$  there exists a measurable in  $(t, x)$  function*

$$z(s, t, x) \in F(t, x + sz(s, t, x)) + \omega(s, t, x)B \quad (3)$$

where  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \omega(s, t, x) = +0$ ,  $B$  is the unit ball;

$z(s, t, x)$  is directionally continuous at  $(0, t, x)$  with a constant  $M$ ;

$\|F(t, x)\| \leq L < M$ .

Let the following equality

$$z(s + \tau, t, x - \tau z(s, t, x)) = z(s, t, x), \quad s, \tau \geq 0 \quad (4)$$

be fulfilled for the selection  $z(s, x)$  of (3)).

Then the differential inclusion

$$\dot{x} \in F(t, x), \quad x(t_0) = x_0, \quad t \in [t_0, t_1]. \quad (2)$$

has a solution which can be extended closely to the bound of the domain  $[t_0, t_1] \times D$ .

If the differential inclusion (2) locally has a solution then, by traditional methods, it can be continued up to the bound of the domain  $[t_0, t_1] \times D$ . As long as  $z(0, t, x) \in F(t, x)$ , the above theorem 1 immediately follows from:

**Theorem 2** *Let the bounded function  $z(s, t, x)$  ( $\|z(s, t, x)\| \leq L$ ) be jointly measurable in  $(t, x)$  and directionally continuous at  $(0, t, x)$  with a constant  $M$  (see (3)). Let  $L < M$  and the following equality be fulfilled*

$$z(s + \tau, t, x - \tau z(s, t, x)) = z(s, t, x), \quad s, \tau \geq 0. \quad (4)$$

Then the Cauchy problem

$$\dot{x} = z(0, t, x), \quad x(t_0) = x_0 \quad (5)$$

locally has a solution.



**Proof.** For a fixed  $s > 0$ , let us denote

$$Z(s, t, x) = \operatorname{ess\,lim}_{y \rightarrow x} z(s, t, y), \quad (7)$$

where  $u$  belongs to  $\operatorname{ess\,lim}_{y \rightarrow x} z(s, t, y)$  if for every set  $N \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with Lebesgue's measure  $\mu(N) = 0$  there exists a sequence  $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \notin N$  for which  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_k = x$  and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z(s, t, y_k) = u$ . If the function  $z(s, t, x)$  is jointly measurable in  $(s, t, x)$  then the multi-function  $\operatorname{co}Z(s, t, x)$  is the Filippov's extension of the right-hand side for the ordinary differential equations with a measurable right-hand side ([11], [12]). This function is u.s.c. in  $x$ , jointly measurable in  $(t, x)$ , measurable in  $t$  and for any continuous function  $x(t)$  the multi-function  $Z(s, t, x(t))$  is measurable (see [11], [12]).

Consider the following differential inclusion:

$$\dot{x}(s, t) \in \operatorname{co}Z(s, t, x(s, t)), \quad x(s, 0) = x_0, \quad s > 0, \quad (8)$$

where  $s > 0$  is a constant,  $Z(s, t, x)$  is defined by (7) and  $\operatorname{co}$  means the convex hull. It is wellknown that the differential inclusion (8) has a solution (see f.e. [11], [12]) which can be extended closely to the bound of the domain  $[t_0, t_1] \times D$  (see [11]). As long as  $x_0 \in D$  there exists  $T > t_0$  for which the solutions  $x(s, t)$ ,  $s \geq 0$  of (8) are well defined on the interval  $[t_0, T]$ .

The derivative  $\dot{x}(s, t)$  of the solutions (8) a.e. can be represented as follows (see [12]):

$$\dot{x}(s, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \alpha_k(s, t) z_k(s, t), \quad s > 0, \quad (9)$$

where  $z_k(s, t)$  and  $\alpha_k(s, t)$  are measurable functions on  $[0, T]$ , and a.e. in  $t$

$$z_k(s, t) \in Z(s, t, x(s, t)), \quad \alpha_k(s, t) \geq 0, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \alpha_k(s, t) = 1, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, (n+1). \quad (10)$$

For fixed  $t$  which satisfies (9) we are going to estimate  $\|\dot{x}(s, t) - z(0, t, x(0, t))\|$ , where  $x(s, \cdot)$  uniformly converges to  $x(0, \cdot)$  on the interval  $[t_0, T]$  when  $s \rightarrow +0$ . As far as  $z(s, t, x)$  is a bounded function with a constant  $L$ , the set of solutions of (8) is conditionally compact in the space of continuous functions  $C[t_0, T]$ . Thus, we can choose subsequence which uniformly converges to some function  $x(0, t)$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be sufficiently small, for example  $\varepsilon < \frac{M-L}{4}$ . Let  $\tau > 0$  be chosen under the **Z condition**, i.e.

$$\|z(\tau, t, y) - z(0, t, x(0, t))\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{if} \quad \|y - x(0, t)\| < \tau M$$

As well as  $z_k(s, t) \in Z(s, t, x(s, t))$ , one can choose  $y_k(s, t)$  which are sufficiently close to  $x(s, t)$  such that ( $i = k, j, i = 1, 2, \dots, (n+1)$ )

$$\|z_k(s, t) - z(s, t, y_k(s, t))\| \leq \varepsilon. \quad (11)$$

If  $s > 0$  is sufficiently small we have

$$\|y_k(s, t) - \tau z(s, t, y_k(s, t)) - y_j(0, t)\| < \tau(L + \varepsilon) < \tau M.$$

Under the directional continuity of  $z(s, t, x)$  and (4) we obtain

$$\| z(s, t, y_k(s, t)) - z(0, t, x(0, t)) \| = \| z(s+\tau, t, y_k(s, t) - \tau z(s, t, y_k(s, t))) - z(0, t, x(0, t)) \| < \varepsilon \quad (12)$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(s, t) - z(0, t, x(0, t)) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \alpha_k(s, t) (z_k(s, t) - z(0, t, x(0, t))) = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \alpha_k(s, t) (z_k(s, t) - z(s, t, y_k(s, t))) + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \alpha_k(s, t) (z(s, t, y_k(s, t)) - z(0, t, x(0, t))) \end{aligned}$$

By (10), (11) and (12) we have:

$$\| \dot{x}(s, t) - z(0, t, x(0, t)) \| < 2\varepsilon. \quad (13)$$

By (13), on the contrary, we obtain that a.e. in  $t \in [0, T]$

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +0} \dot{x}(s, t) = z(0, t, x(0, t)).$$

According Lebesgue's theorem, limiting  $s$  to  $+0$ , we obtain

$$x(0, t) = \lim_{s \rightarrow +0} x(s, t) = x_0 + \int_0^t \lim_{s \rightarrow +0} \dot{x}(s, \xi) d\xi = x_0 + \int_0^t z(0, \xi, x(0, \xi)) d\xi$$

which is equivalent to

$$\dot{x}(0, t) = z(0, t, x(0, t)), \quad x(t_0) = x_0, \quad t \in [t_0, T].$$

Thus, the function  $x(0, t)$  a.e. satisfies (5).

**Q.E.D.**

### 3 Inner Parameterization

In this section we are going to consider the **Z condition** for u.s.c. multi-functions with respect to some properties as continuity, convex valued and monotonicity.

Note, that the inclusion (3) generalizes the well-known implicit Euler's scheme for the numerical solving of the ordinary system of differential equations. The implicit Euler's scheme (f.e., for autonomous systems) is the following:

$$x((i+1)h) = x(ih) + hf(x((i+1)h)), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad h > 0.$$

We are going to substitute  $(x((i+1)h) - x(ih))/h$  by  $z(h, x(ih))$  and rewrite the above equalities as

$$z(h, x(ih)) = f(x(ih) + hz(h, x(ih))), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

These equalities are equivalent to the inclusion (3) if  $F(x)$  is single-valued and  $\omega(s, x) \equiv 0$ .

**Lemma 1** *Let  $F(x)$  be u.s.c. multi-function with compact and convex values. Then for every sufficiently small  $s \geq 0$  it satisfies the first part of the **Z condition** with  $\omega(s, x) \equiv 0$ , i.e. there exists a function*

$$z(s, x) \in F(x + sz(s, x)).$$

**Proof.** As long as  $F(x)$  is u.s.c. with compact values, the restriction of  $F(x)$  on every compact set is bounded. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that there exists a constant  $M$  and its respective ball  $S_M$  with a radius  $M$  centered in the origin for which  $F(x) \subset S_M$  ( $\|F(x)\| \leq M$ ). Let us fix  $x$  and a neighborhood

$$U(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|x - y\| < \varepsilon\},$$

where  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$  is chosen arbitrarily.

For every  $s \in [0, \varepsilon \setminus M]$ ,  $y \in U(x)$  and  $z \in S_1 = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|u\| \leq 1\}$  we have

$$sF(y + z) \subset sS_M \subset S_1$$

From the Kikutani fix-point theorem, f.e. [2], there exists  $v(s, y) \in S_1$  for which  $v(s, y) \in sF(y + v(s, y))$ . Denoting  $z(s, x) = v(s, y) \setminus s$  we obtain  $z(s, x) \in F(x + sz(s, x))$ . The statement of the lemma for  $s = 0$  is trivial. **Q.E.D.**

Now, we are going to show that  $z(s, x) = -F_s(x)$ , where  $F_s(x)$  is the Yosida approximation for the maximal monotone operator  $F(x)$  satisfies (3) with  $\omega(s, x) \equiv 0$  if  $F(x)$  is changed to  $-F(x)$ .

**Definition 4** [2]. A multi-function  $F(x)$  is said to be monotone, if for any arbitrarily chosen sequence of points  $x_i, i = 1, 2$  and  $y_i \in F(x_i), i = 1, 2$ , the following inequality

$$\langle x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2 \rangle \geq 0$$

holds.

Let the multi-function  $F(\cdot)$  be defined on the set  $D$ . Then, the following set is the graph of this function:

$$\text{graph } F = \{(x, y) \mid y \in F(x), x \in D\}.$$

**Definition 5** ([2]). A multi-function  $F(x)$  is said to be maximal monotone if there is no other monotone function  $G(x)$  for which

$$\text{graph } F \subset \text{graph } G$$

It is well-known that the maximal monotone maps are u.s.c. with convex values. For more details see [2]. From lemma 1 we obtain:

**Corollary 1** Let  $F(x)$  be maximal monotone multi-function with compact values. Then the functions  $-F(x)$  and  $F(x)$  satisfy the first part of the **Z condition** with  $\omega(s, x) \equiv 0$ .

**Definition 6** [9]. A multi-function  $F(x)$  is said to be cyclically monotone, if for every finite number of points  $x_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k, x_1 = x_k$  and arbitrarily chosen  $y_i \in F(x_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , the following inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \langle x_{i+1} - x_i, y_i \rangle \geq 0$$

holds.

**Definition 7** f.c. [2]. Let  $F(x)$  be a maximal monotone multi-function then the maps

$$J_s = (1 + sF)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad F_s = (1 - J_s) \setminus s$$

are said to be the resolvent and the Yosida approximation, respectively.

We need the following theorem:

**Theorem 3** [2]. Let  $F$  be a maximal monotone map. Then for all  $s > 0$

1. The resolvent  $J_s = (1 + F)^{-1}$  is a nonexpansive single-valued map
2. The Yosida approximation  $F_s = (1 - J_s) \setminus s$  satisfies the following conditions:
  - (i)  $F_s \in F(J_s)$ ,
  - (ii)  $F_s$  is maximal monotone and it satisfies the Lipschitz condition with a constant  $1 \setminus s$ .
3. Let  $m(F(x)) = \text{Arg min}_{y \in F(x)} \|y\|$ .

Then

$$\|F_s(x) - m(F(x))\|^2 \leq \|m(F(x))\|^2 - \|F_s(x)\|^2$$

and

- (i)  $J_s(x)$  converges to  $x$ ,
- (ii)  $F_s(x)$  converges to  $m(F(x))$ .

Setting  $z(s, x) = -F_s(x)$ , by the above theorem (part 2) we obtain

$$z(s, x) \in -F(J_s) = -F(x - sF_s(x)) = -F(x + sz(s, x)).$$

If the above inclusion has an unique solution  $z(s, x)$  then it coincides with the Yosida approximation  $F_s(x)$ .

**Lemma 2** Let  $F(x)$  u.s.c., bounded and cyclically monotone multi-function with compact and convex values, defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then it satisfies the first part of the **Z condition** with  $\omega(s, x) \equiv 0$ .

**Proof.** According to Bressis [5] a multi-function  $F(x)$  is cyclically monotone if and only if there exists a proper real, convex and lower semicontinuous function  $V(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $F(x) \subset \partial V(x)$ , where  $\partial V$  is a sub differential of  $V$ . Since  $F(x)$  is bounded the same holds for  $\partial V(x)$  ([1], [9]). According to Zarantonello [19]  $\partial V(x)$  is single-valued almost everywhere.

Let us define the following scalar function:

$$V_s(x) = \min_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \frac{s}{2} \|z\|^2 - V(x + sz) \right].$$

Under the well-known Weierstrass theorem, the above minimum exists. In fact, suppose that  $M$  is the constant for which  $\|\partial V(x)\| \leq M$  we obtain:

$$V(x) - V(x + sz) \geq \max_{y \in \partial V(x + sz)} \langle y, sz \rangle > -sM \|z\|$$

$$\text{or} \quad \frac{s}{2} \|z\|^2 - V(x + sz) \geq \frac{s}{2} \|z\|^2 - sM \|z\| - V(x).$$



Obviously, for any fixed  $s$  and  $x$ , we have

$$\frac{s}{2} \|z\|^2 - sM \|z\| - V(x) \geq \frac{s}{2} M^2 - V(x) > -\infty \quad \text{and}$$

$$\lim_{\|z\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s}{2} \|z\|^2 - sM \|z\| - V(x) = \infty.$$

These relations imply that the Lebesgue sets are compact and the minimum exists. Let us fix as  $z(s, x)$  any point which minimizes the function  $\frac{s}{2} \|z\|^2 - V(x + sz)$ . As well as  $V(x + sz)$  is a convex function in  $z$ , for  $z(s, x)$  we obtain

$$0 \in sz(s, x) - s\partial V(x + sz(s, x)) \quad \text{or} \quad z(s, x) \in \partial V(x + sz(s, x)).$$

Thus, the subdifferential of the convex function satisfies the first part of the **Z condition**.

Note, that for the directional derivative of the convex function we have:

$$\frac{d}{dy} V(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{V(x + ty) - V(x)}{t} = \max_{v \in \partial V(x)} \langle v, y \rangle.$$

Now we obtain:

$$0 \leq \frac{d}{d \pm z(s, x)} \left[ \frac{s}{2} \|z(s, x)\|^2 - V(x + sz(s, x)) \right] = \pm s \|z(s, x)\|^2 - s \max_{v \in \partial V(x + sz(s, x))} \langle v, \pm z(s, x) \rangle.$$

Considering that  $z(s, x) \in \partial V(x + sz(s, x))$ , the above inequalities imply that

$$\|z(s, x)\|^2 = \max_{v \in \partial V(x + sz(s, x))} \langle v, z(s, x) \rangle = \min_{v \in \partial V(x + sz(s, x))} \langle v, z(s, x) \rangle.$$

Suppose that  $\partial V(x + z(s, x))$  consisting of a point  $z_1$  which is different from  $z(s, x)$ , i.e.  $z_1 = z(s, x) + z^\perp$ , where the scalar product  $\langle z(s, x), z^\perp \rangle$  is equal to zero,  $z^\perp \neq 0$ . From the directional derivative we obtain:

$$0 \leq \frac{d}{dz^\perp} V(x + sz(s, x)) = s \langle z(s, x), z^\perp \rangle - s \max_{v \in \partial V(x + z(s, x))} \langle v, z^\perp \rangle \leq -s \langle z_1, z^\perp \rangle = -s \|z^\perp\|^2 < 0.$$

This contradiction implies that  $\partial V(x + z(s, x))$  consists of only one point and obviously the first part of the **Z condition** is satisfied. **Q.E.D.**

Without any proof we are going to formulate the following characterization of the cyclically monotone and u.s.c. maps  $F(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow K(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a compact and convex set. The point  $v$  is said to be an extreme point of  $A$  if it does not belong to the interior of some interval which is consisted in  $A$ .

**Proposition 1** *Every cyclically monotone and u.s.c. maps  $F(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow K(\mathbb{R}^n)$  consists of the extreme points of the values of the subdifferential of the some real convex function, defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .*

We need the following theorem:

**Theorem 4** [7]. *Let  $F$  be a lower semi-continuous map with nonempty closed values, from  $\mathbb{R}^m$  into a complete metric space  $Y$ . Then, for every cone  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $F$  admits a  $\Gamma$ -continuous selection.*

**Lemma 3** *Let the continuous and compact valued multi-function  $F(x)$  be defined on the bounded domain  $D$ . Then it satisfies the first and the second parts of the **Z condition**.*

**Proof.** Let us denote by  $\omega(s, x)$  the following modulus of continuity of  $F(x)$  at the point  $x$ :

$$\omega(s, x) = \sup_{\|x-y\| < sM} h(F(x), F(y)),$$

where  $M$  is a constant which bounds the function  $F(\cdot)$  in the domain  $D$ ,  $h(F(x), F(y))$  is the Hausdorff distance between the compact sets  $F(x)$  and  $F(y)$ .

As long as  $F(\cdot)$  is continuous, the modulus of continuity is a continuous function in  $(s, x)$  and  $\omega(0, x) = 0$ .

Let  $f(s, x)$  be a directionally continuous selection of  $F(x)$ . Therefore, this selection exists under the theorem 3 [7].

According to [7]  $f(s, x)$  is jointly measurable in  $(s, x)$ .

As well as  $f(s, x) \in F(x)$  and  $\rho(f(s, x), F(x + sf(s, x))) \leq h(F(x), F(x + sf(s, x))) \leq \omega(s, x)$ , setting  $z(s, x) = f(s, x)$  we obtain

$$z(s, x) \in F(x + sz(s, x)) + \omega(s, x)B.$$

**Q.E.D.**

## 4 Examples

In this section we are going to consider examples which show that the **Z condition** is sufficiently near to the necessary conditions for the existence of the solutions of the differential inclusion (2).

Note that the following well-known differential inclusion

$$\dot{x} \in -F(x) = - \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } x \geq 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } x \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

does not have a solution for the initial position  $x(0) = 0$ . In this case the first part of the **Z condition** is not fulfilled.

Let us consider the convex function  $V(x) = |x|$ . For the subdifferential  $\partial V(x)$  which is a maximal monotone operator, we have  $F(x) \subset \partial V(x)$ .  $F(x)$  is a monotone u.s.c. multi-function and, under the lemma 2,  $F(x)$  satisfies the first part of the **Z condition**. The following three differential inclusions with  $x(0) = 0$

$$\dot{x} \in \pm \partial V(x)$$

$$\dot{x} \in F(x) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } x \geq 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } x \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

admit solutions (f.e. see [1], [2] and [9]).

**Example 1.**

The following example shows that the inclusions (3) could not be valid for the continuous multi-functions if  $\omega(s, x) \equiv 0$ :

Let the domain  $D$  be the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Define the continuous multi-function  $F(x)$  with values which are subsets of the unit circle in the following way:

$$F(\rho \cos \alpha, \rho \sin \alpha) = \{(\cos \beta, \sin \beta) | \beta \in [0, 2\pi] \setminus (\alpha - \rho, \alpha + \rho)\}.$$

Obviously,  $F(\cdot, \cdot) = F(x)$  is a continuous multi-function but the beam  $\{\lambda x | \lambda > 0\}$  does not meet  $F(x)$ .

**Example 2.** [13].

The following example of an u.s.c. multi-function with compact and nonconvex values satisfies the first part of the **Z condition**, but not the second one. The respective differential inclusion does not admit a solution.

Let us define the following multi-function with a finite number of values:

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & , \text{ if } x \in [2^{-2k-1}, 2^{-2k}], \\ \{-1; 1\} & , \text{ if } x \in [2^{-2k-2}, 2^{-2k-1}] \cup [-2^{-2k}, -2^{-2k-1}], \\ 1 & , \text{ if } x \in [-2^{-2k-1}, -2^{-2k-2}], \end{cases}$$

$F(0) = \{-1; 1\}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . For every sufficiently small  $s \geq 0$  we have:

$$Z(s, x) = \begin{cases} -1 & , \text{ if } x \in (2^{-2k-2}, 2^{-2k}] \cup (-2^{-2k}, -2^{-2k-1}), \\ \{-1; 1\} & , \text{ if } x = 0, \quad s = 0, \\ 1 & , \text{ if } x \in [-2^{-2k}, -2^{-2k-2}] \cup [2^{-2k-2}, 2^{-2k-1}). \end{cases}$$

and

$$Z(s, 0) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } s \in [2^{-2k-2}, 2^{-2k-1}), \\ \{-1; 1\} & , \text{ if } s = 0, \\ -1 & , \text{ if } s \in [2^{-2k-1}, 2^{-2k}). \end{cases}$$

There is no selection  $z(s, 0) \in Z(s, 0)$  continuous in  $s = +0$ .

Consider the following differential inclusion

$$\dot{x} \in F(x), \quad x(0) = 0.$$

The existence of a solution  $x(t)$  implies the existence of a positive moment of the time  $T > 0$  for which we have  $x(T) > 0$  or  $x(T) < 0$ . The solution  $x(t)$  is an absolutely continuous function. Thus, for all sufficiently large numbers  $k$  there exists some  $t$  for which

$$x(T) > x(t) = 2^{-2k-2} \quad \text{or} \quad \text{respectively} \quad x(T) < x(t) = -2^{-2k-2}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= -1 \quad \text{if} \quad x \in (2^{-2k-1}, 2^{-2k}) \quad \text{or} \\ F(x) &= 1 \quad \text{if} \quad x \in (-2^{-2k-1}, -2^{-2k-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the trajectory  $x(t)$  cannot run across points  $2^{-2k-1}$  and  $-2^{-2k-2}$ . This implies that  $x(t)$  cannot leave 0 and the above differential inclusion has no solutions.

### Example 3.

We are going to consider an example for which the function  $z(\cdot, x)$  is discontinuous for u.s.c. multi-functions with compact values.

Let us denote  $\text{conv } \Omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$  all nonempty, compact and convex subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 5** ([17]) *There exist upper semi-continuous and almost everywhere in  $t \in [0, 1]$  discontinuous multi-valued maps  $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{conv } \Omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , for which every single-valued selection is discontinuous on a set with a full measure.*

**Theorem 6** ([17]) *For every set  $E \subset [0, 1]$  of the type  $F_\sigma$  and the first Baire category there exists an upper semi-continuous multi-valued map  $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{conv } \Omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for which the points of a discontinuity coincide with  $E$ . All single-valued selections of the map  $F(t)$  are discontinuous on the set  $E$ .*

Under the theorem 4 there exists a multi-function  $G(\cdot) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{conv } \Omega(\mathbb{R}^1)$  for which every single-valued selection is a.e. discontinuous. Denote

$$F(x) = F(x_1, x_2) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (x_1, G(x_1)).$$

For the function  $z(s, x) = (z_1(s, x), z_2(s, x))$  we have  $z_1(s, x) = x_1 + sz_1(s, x)$  and  $z_2(s, x) \in G(x_1 + sz_1(s, x))$ . Thus,

$$z_1(s, x) = \frac{x_1}{1-s} \quad \text{and} \quad z_2(s, x) \in G\left(\frac{x_1}{1-s}\right)$$

and for all fixed  $x_1$  the function  $z_2(s, x)$  is a.e. in  $s$  discontinuous.

If the map  $G(\cdot)$  is chosen under the theorem 5 and  $x_2$  belongs to its set of the discontinuity then the function  $z(s, x)$  is discontinuous at the point  $(0, x)$ .

### Example 4.

Let  $f_1(x)$  and  $f_2(x)$  be two continuous scalar functions which are defined on the real line  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ . Using these two functions one can construct some lower semicontinuous single-valued and left-hand side continuous function  $f(x)$  for which

$$f(x) \in f_1(x) \cup f_2(x).$$

Suppose

$$|f_i(x)| \leq L < 1, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (13)$$

Finally, denote

$$F(x) = \text{Lim sup}_{y \rightarrow x} f(y),$$

where  $\text{Lim sup}$  is the Kuratowski upper limit (see f.e. [3]). Applying theorem 1 (without presenting the proof) the following differential inclusion

$$\dot{x} \in F(x), \quad x(0) = x_0$$

has a solution.



## References

- [1] J.-P. Aubin and A. Cellina (1984), *Differential Inclusions*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- [2] J.-P. Aubin and I. Ekeland (1984) *Applied Nonlinear Analysis*, A Wiley-Int. Publ., John Wiley & Sons, New York and others.
- [3] J.-P. Aubin and H. Frankowska (1990), *Set-Valued Analysis*, Birkhäuser, Boston o Basel o Berlin.
- [4] V.I. Blagodatskikh and A.F. Filippov (1985), Differential Inclusions and Optimal Control, *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov*, **169**, pp. 194–252. English transl. (1986) in *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, **4** (169).
- [5] H. Bressis, *Operateurs maximaux monotones et semi-groupes de contractions dans les espaces de Hilbert*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
- [6] A. Bressan (1983), Solutions of lower semicontinuous differential inclusions on closed sets, *Rend.Sem.Mat.Univ.Padova*, **69**, pp. 99–107.
- [7] A. Bressan (1988), Directionally Continuous Selections and Differential Inclusions, *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj*, **31**, **1**, pp. 459–470.
- [8] A. Bressan (1989), On the Qualitative Theory of Lower Semicontinuous Differential Inclusions, *J.of Differential Equations*, **77**, **2**, pp. 379–391.
- [9] A. Bressan, A. Cellina and G. Colombo (1989), Upper semicontinuous differential inclusions without convexity, *Proc.Am.Math.Soc.*, **106**, **3**, pp. 771–775.
- [10] A.F. Filippov (1963), Differential equations with multi-valued right-hand side (in Russian), *Dokl.Akad.Nauk USSR*, **151**, pp. 65–68.
- [11] A.F. Filippov (1985), *Differential equations with discontinues right hand side* (in Russian), Moscow, Nauka.
- [12] R.P. Ivanov (1989) Measurable Strategies in Differential Games (in Russian), *Math. Sbornik*, **180**, **1**, pp. 119–135 or (in English) (1990), *Math. USSR Sbornik*, **66**, **1**, pp. 127–143.
- [13] R.P. Ivanov and N.M. Kitanov (1993), Existence of Solution of Dynamic Systems with Discrete Speed Values, *Discrete Mathematics and Applications*, edited by K. Chimev and Sl. Shtrakov, Proceedings of the "Third International Conference on Discrete Mathematics and Applications", Blagoevgrad, Bulgaria, September 1992, pp. 52–55.
- [14] H. Kaczyński and C. Olech (1974), Existence of solutions of orientor fields with non-convex right-hand side, *Ann.Polon.Math.*, **29**, pp. 61–66.

- [15] A. Kurzhanski and O. Nikonov (1990), Funnel equations and multi-valued integration problems for control synthesis, In B.Jakubczyk, K.Malanovski, W.Respondek Eds., *Perspectives in Control Theory*, Progress in Systems and Control Theory, **2**, Birkhäuser, Boston , pp. 143–153.
- [16] A. Marchaud (1934), Sur les champs de demi-cônes et des équations différentielles du premier ordre, *Bull.Soc.Math. France*, **62**, pp. 1–38.
- [17] D.B. Silin (1988), Some Properties of Upper Semi-Continuous Multi-Valued Maps (in Russian), *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov*, **185**, pp. 222–235.
- [18] T. Ważewski (1961), Systèmes de commande et équations au contingent *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astr. Phys.*, **9**, pp. 151–155.
- [19] E. Zarantonello (1973), Dense single-valuedness of monotone operators. *Israel J.Math.*, **15**, pp. 158–166.
- [20] S.K. Zaremba (1936), Sur les équations au paratingent, *Bull.Sci.Math.*, **60**, pp. 139–160.

Radostin Petrov Ivanov  
 Dept. Operation Research,  
 Institute of Mathematics,  
 Bulgarian Academy of Sciences,  
 Akad. G. Bonchev Street, Block 8,  
 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria