

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Mathematical Journal

Сердика

Математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.
Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Mathematical Journal
which is the new series of
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

**INTERVAL CRITERIA FOR FORCED OSCILLATION
OF FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS
WITH γ -LAPLACIAN, DAMPING
AND MIXED NONLINEARITIES**

E. El-Shobaky, E. M. Elabbasy, T. S. Hassan, B. A. Glalah

Communicated by I. D. Iliev

ABSTRACT. We consider a forced second order functional differential equation with γ -Laplacian, damping, and mixed nonlinearities in the form of

$$(r(t)\phi_\gamma(x'(t)))' + p(t)\phi_\gamma(x'(t)) + q_0(t)\phi_\beta(x(t)) + \int_a^b q(t,s)\phi_{\alpha(s)}(x(g(t,s)))d\zeta(s) = e(t),$$

where $\gamma, \beta \in [0, \infty)$, $-\infty < a < b \leq \infty$, $\alpha \in C[a, b)$ is strictly increasing is such that $0 \leq \alpha(a) < \mu < \alpha(b-)$ with $\beta > \gamma > \mu > 0$; $r, p, q_0, e \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ with $r(t) > 0$ on $[t_0, \infty)$; $q \in C([0, \infty) \times [a, b])$; and $\zeta : [a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is nondecreasing. The function $g \in C([0, \infty) \times [a, b), [0, \infty))$ is such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t, s) = \infty$, for $s \in [a, b)$. Interval oscillation criteria of the El-Sayed type and the Kong type are obtained. These criteria are further extended to equations with deviating arguments.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 34C10, 34C15.

Key words: Interval criteria, forced Oscillation, γ -Laplacian, nonlinear functional differential equations.

1. Introduction. We are concerned with the oscillatory behavior of forced second order functional differential equations with γ -Laplacian, damping and mixed nonlinearities in the form of

$$(1.1) \quad (r(t)\phi_\gamma(x'(t)))' + p(t)\phi_\gamma(x'(t)) \\ + q_0(t)\phi_\beta(x(t)) + \int_a^b q(t, s)\phi_{\alpha(s)}(x(g(t, s)))d\zeta(s) = e(t),$$

where $\phi_\alpha(u) := |u|^\alpha \operatorname{sgn} u$, $\gamma, \beta \in [0, \infty)$, $-\infty < a < b \leq \infty$, $\alpha \in C[a, b]$ is strictly increasing such that $0 \leq \alpha(a) < \mu < \alpha(b-)$ with $\beta > \gamma > \mu > 0$; $r, p, q_0, e \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ with $r(t) > 0$ on $[t_0, \infty)$; $q \in C([0, \infty) \times [a, b])$; and $\zeta : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is nondecreasing. The function $g \in C([0, \infty) \times [a, b], [0, \infty))$ is such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t, s) = \infty$, for $s \in [a, b]$. Our interest is to establish oscillation criteria for Eq. (1.1) without assuming that $p(t)$, $q_0(t)$, $q(t, s)$, and $e(t)$ are of definite sign. Here $\int_a^b f(s) d\zeta(s)$ denotes the Riemann-Stieltjes integral of the function f on $[a, b]$ with respect to ζ .

We note that as special cases, the integral term in the equation becomes a finite sum when $\zeta(s)$ is a step function and a Riemann integral when $\zeta(s) = s$.

As usual, a solution $x(t)$ of Eq. (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if it is defined on some ray $[T, \infty)$ with $T \geq 0$, and has an unbounded set of zeros. Eq. (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if every solution extendible throughout $[t_x, \infty)$ for some $t_x \geq 0$ is oscillatory.

In the last 50 years, there has been extensive work on oscillation and nonoscillation of various differential equations, see [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 19, 20, 21, 22, 31, 26] and the references cited therein. Special cases of the equation

$$(1.2) \quad (r(t) (x'(t))^\gamma)' + q_0(t) x^\gamma(t) + \sum_{j=1}^N q_j(t) \phi_{\alpha_j}(x(t)) = e(t),$$

where $\phi_\alpha(u) := |u|^\alpha \operatorname{sgn} u$, γ is a quotient of odd positive integers and $\alpha_j > 0$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$, such that

$$\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \dots > \alpha_m > \gamma > \alpha_{m+1} > \dots > \alpha_n > 0.$$

has been studied by many authors. When $\gamma = N = 1$, $r(t) = 1$, $p(t) = q_0(t) = 0$, and $q_1(t) \geq 0$, Kartsatos [19, 20] initiated an approach for oscillation under the assumption that $e(t)$ is the second derivative of an oscillatory function. This method was further developed by different authors, See Keener [21], Kong and Wong [24], Kong and Zhang [25], Rankin [30], Skidmore and Leighton [32], Skid-

more and Bowers [31], Teufel [39], and Wong [40].

Results were also obtained for oscillation of special cases of Eq. (1.2) without imposing the assumption that $e(t)$ is the second derivative of an oscillatory function. Most of them were for the case when $\gamma = 1$, $r(t) = 1$, and $p(t) = 0$. For instance, see Nasr [27] for $N = 1$ and $\alpha_1 > 1$, Sun and Wong [36] for $\alpha_j < 1$, and Sun and Wong [37] and Sun and Meng [35] for mixed nonlinearities. Among them, there were interval oscillation criteria which can be regarded as generalizations of the one by El-Sayed [9] for second order forced linear differential equations, and other interval oscillation criteria can be regarded as generalizations of the one by Kong [22] established initially for the second order homogeneous linear equations, see also [23]. Hassan, Erbe and Peterson [15] discussed the oscillation of an equation with p -Laplacian, more specifically, they established oscillation criteria of El-Sayed-type for the equation (1.2)

Hassan and Kong [16] considered the forced second order differential equations with γ -Laplacian and damping in the form of

$$(1.3) \quad (r(t)\phi_\gamma(x'(t)))' + p(t)\phi_\gamma(x'(t)) + \sum_{j=0}^N q_j(t)\phi_{\alpha_j}(x(t)) = e(t),$$

where $\alpha_j > 0$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$, such that

$$(1.4) \quad \alpha_j > \gamma, j = 1, 2, \dots, m; \text{ and } \alpha_j < \gamma, j = m + 1, l + 2, \dots, N.$$

and $r, p, q_j, e \in C([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ with $r(t) > 0$ on $[0, \infty)$. They established oscillation criteria of El-Sayed-type and Kong-type for Eq. (1.3). Sun and Kong [34] considered the equation

$$(r(t)x'(t))' + q_0(t)x(t) + \int_0^b q(t, s)\phi_{\alpha(s)}(x(t))d\zeta(s) = e(t).$$

Recently, Hassan and Kong [17] established interval oscillation criteria of both the El-Sayed-type and the Kong-type for the more general equation

$$(r(t)\phi_\gamma(x'(t)))' + q_0(t)\phi_\gamma(x(t)) + \int_0^b q(t, s)\phi_{\alpha(s)}(x(g(t, s)))d\zeta(s) = e(t).$$

Motivated by above, in this paper, we will establish interval oscillation criteria of both the El-Sayed-type and the Kong-type for the more general equation (1.1).

This paper is organized as follows: after this introduction, we state lemmas, in Section 2, we state oscillation criteria for (1.1) with $g(t, s) \equiv t$, in Section 3, we establish oscillation criteria for (1.1) with $g(t, s) \not\equiv t$.

2. Lemmas. We denote by $L_\zeta(a, b)$ the set of Riemann-Stieltjes integrable functions on $[a, b)$ with respect to ζ . Let $c \in (a, b)$ such that $\alpha(c) = \mu$. We further assume that

$$\alpha^{-1} \in L_\zeta(a, b) \quad \text{such that} \quad \int_a^c d\zeta(s) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_c^b d\zeta(s) > 0.$$

To state our main results, we begin with the following lemmas which we will need in the proof of our main results. The following lemma generalizes [17, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 2.1. *Let*

$$m := \mu \left(\int_c^b d\zeta(s) \right)^{-1} \int_c^b \alpha^{-1}(s) d\zeta(s)$$

and

$$n := \mu \left(\int_a^c d\zeta(s) \right)^{-1} \int_a^c \alpha^{-1}(s) d\zeta(s).$$

Then for any $\delta \in (m, n)$, there exists $\eta \in L_\zeta(a, b)$ such that $\eta(s) > 0$ on $[a, b)$,

$$(2.1) \quad \int_a^b \alpha(s) \eta(s) d\zeta(s) = \mu \quad \text{and} \quad \int_a^b \eta(s) d\zeta(s) = \delta.$$

Proof. Let

$$\eta_1(s) := \begin{cases} 0, & s \in (a, c) \\ \mu \alpha^{-1}(s) \left(\int_c^b d\zeta(s) \right)^{-1}, & s \in [c, b), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\eta_2(s) := \begin{cases} \mu \alpha^{-1}(s) \left(\int_a^c d\zeta(s) \right)^{-1}, & s \in (a, c) \\ 0, & s \in [c, b). \end{cases}$$

Clearly for $i = 1, 2$, $\eta_i \in L_\zeta(a, b)$ and

$$\int_a^b \alpha(s) \eta_i(s) d\zeta(s) = \mu.$$

Moreover,

$$\int_a^b \eta_1(s) d\zeta(s) = m \quad \text{and} \quad \int_a^b \eta_2(s) d\zeta(s) = n.$$

For $k \in [0, 1]$ let

$$\eta(s, k) := (1 - k)\eta_1(s) + k\eta_2(s), \quad s \in [a, b].$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$\int_a^b \alpha(s) \eta(s, k) d\zeta(s) = \mu.$$

Furthermore, since $\eta(s, 0) = \eta_1(s)$ and $\eta(s, 1) = \eta_2(s)$, we have

$$\int_a^b \eta(s, 0) d\zeta(s) = m \quad \text{and} \quad \int_a^b \eta(s, 1) d\zeta(s) = n.$$

By the continuous dependence of $\eta(s, k)$ on k there exists $k^* \in (0, 1)$ such that $\eta(s) := \eta(s, k^*)$ satisfies

$$\int_a^b \eta(s) d\zeta(s) = \delta.$$

Note that $\eta(s) > 0$ for $s \in [a, b]$ and $\int_a^b \alpha(s) \eta(s) d\zeta(s) = \mu$ and the definitions of m and n gives $0 < m < 1 < n$. \square

The next Lemma is a generalized Arithmetic-Geometric mean inequality established in [34].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $u \in C[a, b]$ and $\eta \in L_\zeta(a, b)$ satisfying $u \geq 0$, $\eta > 0$ on $[a, b]$ and $\int_a^b \eta(s) d\zeta(s) = 1$. Then*

$$\int_a^b \eta(s) u(s) d\zeta(s) \geq \exp\left(\int_a^b \eta(s) \ln[u(s)] d\zeta(s)\right),$$

where we use the convention that $\ln 0 = -\infty$ and $e^{-\infty} = 0$.

3. Oscillation Criteria for (1.1) with $g(t, s) \equiv t$. In this section, we establish oscillation criteria for equation (1.1) with $g(t, s) \equiv t$, namely,

$$(3.1) \quad (r(t)\phi_\gamma(x'(t)))' + p(t)\phi_\gamma(x'(t)) + q_0(t)\phi_\beta(x(t)) + \int_a^b q(t, s)\phi_{\alpha(s)}(x(t)) d\zeta(s) = e(t).$$

The first result provides an oscillation criterion of the El-Sayed-type.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that for any $T \geq 0$ and for $i = 1, 2$, there exist constants a_i and b_i with $T \leq a_i < b_i$ such that, for $i = 1, 2$*

$$(3.2) \quad q_0(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [a_i, b_i],$$

$$(3.3) \quad q(t, s) \geq 0, \quad \text{for } (t, s) \in [a_i, b_i] \times [a, b],$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad (-1)^i e(t) \geq 0, \quad \text{for } t \in [a_i, b_i].$$

Assume further that for $i = 1, 2$, there exist $u_i \in C^1[a_i, b_i]$ satisfying $u_i(a_i) = u_i(b_i) = 0$, $u_i(t) \not\equiv 0$ on $[a_i, b_i]$ and a continuous positive function $\rho(t)$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad \sup_{\delta \in (m, 1]} \int_{a_i}^{b_i} \left[Q(t) |u_i(t)|^{\gamma+1} - \frac{\rho(t)r(t)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} [(\gamma+1)|u_i'(t)| + |u_i(t)||P(t)|]^{\gamma+1} \right] dt > 0,$$

where

$$(3.6) \quad P(t) := \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)} - \frac{p(t)}{r(t)},$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad Q(t) := \hat{\delta} \rho(t) (q_0(t))^{(\gamma-\mu)/(\beta-\mu)} (\hat{q}(t))^{(\beta-\gamma)/(\beta-\mu)},$$

with

$$\hat{\delta} := (\beta - \mu)(\beta - \gamma)^{(\gamma-\beta)/(\beta-\mu)} (\gamma - \mu)^{(\mu-\gamma)/(\beta-\mu)},$$

and

$$\hat{q}(t) := \left[\frac{|e(t)|}{1-\delta} \right]^{1-\delta} \exp \left(\int_a^b \eta(s) \ln \left[\frac{q(t, s)}{\eta(s)} \right] d\zeta(s) \right),$$

with $\eta(s)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.1 based on δ . Here we use the convention that $\ln 0 = -\infty$, $e^{-\infty} = 0$, and $0^{1-\delta} = 1$ and $(1-\delta)^{1-\delta} = 1$ for $\delta = 1$. Then Eq. (3.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Assume Eq. (1.1) has an extendible solution $x(t)$ which is eventually positive or negative. Then, without loss of generality, assume $x(t) > 0$ for all $t \geq T \geq 0$, where T depends on the solution $x(t)$. When $x(t)$ is eventually

negative, the proof follows the same way except that the interval $[a_2, b_2]$, instead of $[a_1, b_1]$, is used. Define

$$(3.8) \quad z(t) := \rho(t) \frac{r(t)\phi_\gamma(x'(t))}{\phi_\gamma(x(t))}, \quad t \geq T.$$

Then

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} z'(t) &= \rho(t) \left[\frac{(r(t)\phi_\gamma(x'(t)))'}{\phi_\gamma(x(t))} - \frac{r(t)\phi_\gamma(x'(t))(\phi_\gamma(x(t)))'}{(\phi_\gamma(x(t)))^2} \right] + \rho'(t) \frac{r(t)\phi_\gamma(x'(t))}{\phi_\gamma(x(t))} \\ &= \rho(t) \left[\frac{(r(t)\phi_\gamma(x'(t)))'}{\phi_\gamma(x(t))} - \frac{r(t)\phi_\gamma(x'(t))}{\phi_\gamma(x(t))} \frac{\gamma x'(t)}{x(t)} \right] + \rho'(t) \frac{r(t)\phi_\gamma(x'(t))}{\phi_\gamma(x(t))}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (1.1), (3.6) and (3.8) that for $t \geq T$,

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} z'(t) &= -\rho(t)q_0(t)x^{\beta-\gamma}(t) - \rho(t) \int_a^b q(t,s)[x(t)]^{\alpha(s)-\gamma} d\zeta(s) + \rho(t)e(t)x^{-\gamma}(t) \\ &+ P(t)z(t) - \frac{\gamma|z(t)|^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}}}{(\rho(t)r(t))^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}}. \end{aligned}$$

From the assumption, there exists a nontrivial interval $[a_1, b_1] \subset [T, \infty)$ such that (3.3) and (3.4) hold with $i = 1$.

(I) We first consider the case where the supremum in (3.5) is assumed at $\delta = 1$. From (3.4) and (3.10), we have that for $t \in [a_1, b_1]$

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} z'(t) &\leq -\rho(t)q_0(t)x^{\beta-\gamma}(t) - \rho(t)x^{\mu-\gamma}(t) \int_a^b q(t,s)[x(t)]^{\alpha(s)-\mu} d\zeta(s) \\ &+ P(t)z(t) - \frac{\gamma|z(t)|^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}}}{(\rho(t)r(t))^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\eta \in L_\zeta(a, b)$ be defined as in Lemma 2.1 with $\delta = 1$. Then η satisfies (2.1) with $\delta = 1$. This implies that

$$\int_a^b \eta(s) [\alpha(s) - \mu] d\zeta = 0.$$

Then, from Lemma 2.2, we get, for $t \in [a_1, b_1]$

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_a^b q(t,s)[x(t)]^{\alpha(s)-\mu} d\zeta(s) \\ &= \int_a^b \eta(s) \frac{q(t,s)}{\eta(s)} [x(t)]^{\alpha(s)-\mu} d\zeta(s) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \exp \left(\int_a^b \eta(s) \ln \left(\frac{q(t,s)}{\eta(s)} [x(t)]^{\alpha(s)-\mu} \right) d\zeta(s) \right) \\
&= \exp \left(\int_a^b \eta(s) \ln \left[\frac{q(t,s)}{\eta(s)} \right] d\zeta(s) + \ln(x(t)) \int_a^b \eta(s) [\alpha(s) - \mu] d\zeta(s) \right) \\
&= \exp \left(\int_a^b \eta(s) \ln \left[\frac{q(t,s)}{\eta(s)} \right] d\zeta(s) \right) = \hat{q}(t).
\end{aligned}$$

This together with (3.11) shows that

$$(3.12) \quad z'(t) \leq -\rho(t) q_0(t) x^{\beta-\gamma}(t) - \rho(t) \hat{q}(t) x^{\mu-\gamma}(t) + P(t)z(t) - \frac{\gamma |z(t)|^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}}}{(\rho(t)r(t))^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}}.$$

Define

$$X := q_0^{1/(\beta-\gamma)} x \quad \text{and} \quad Y := \hat{q} q_0^{(\gamma-\mu)/(\beta-\gamma)}$$

and using the inequality in [11, Lemma 2.1]

$$X^{\beta-\gamma} + YX^{\mu-\gamma} \geq \hat{\delta} Y^{(\beta-\gamma)/(\beta-\mu)} \quad \text{for all } \beta > \gamma > \mu > 0,$$

where

$$\hat{\delta} := (\beta - \mu)(\beta - \gamma)^{(\gamma-\beta)/(\beta-\mu)} (\gamma - \mu)^{(\mu-\gamma)/(\beta-\mu)},$$

we have

$$(3.13) \quad q_0 x^{\beta-\gamma} + \hat{q} x^{\mu-\gamma} \geq \hat{\delta} \hat{q}^{(\beta-\gamma)/(\beta-\mu)} q_0^{(\gamma-\mu)/(\beta-\mu)}.$$

Substituting (3.13) into (3.12) and using the definition of Q , we obtain

$$(3.14) \quad z'(t) \leq -Q(t) + P(t)z(t) - \frac{\gamma |z(t)|^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}}}{(\rho(t)r(t))^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}}, \quad \text{for } t \in [a_1, b_1],$$

where $Q(t)$ is defined by (3.7) with $\delta = 1$. Multiplying both sides of (3.14) by $|u_1(t)|^{\gamma+1}$, integrating from a_1 to b_1 , and using integration by parts, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{a_1}^{b_1} Q(t) |u_1(t)|^{\gamma+1} dt \\
&\leq \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \left\{ (\gamma + 1) \phi_\gamma(u_1(t)) u_1'(t) z(t) + |u_1(t)|^{\gamma+1} P(t) z(t) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\gamma |u_1(t)|^{\gamma+1}}{(\rho(t)r(t))^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} |z(t)|^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}} \right\} dt
\end{aligned}$$

$$(3.15) \quad \leq \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \left\{ |u_1(t)|^\gamma [(\gamma+1)|u_1'(t)| + |u_1(t)||P(t)|] |z(t)| - \frac{\gamma |u_1(t)|^{\gamma+1}}{(\rho(t)r(t))^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} |z(t)|^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}} \right\} dt.$$

Let $\lambda := \frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}$. Define A and B by

$$A^\lambda := \frac{\gamma |u_1(t)|^{\gamma+1}}{(\rho(t)r(t))^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} |z(t)|^\lambda,$$

and

$$B^{\lambda-1} := \frac{(\gamma\rho(t)r(t))^{\frac{1}{\gamma+1}}}{\gamma+1} [(\gamma+1)|u_1'(t)| + |u_1(t)||P(t)|].$$

Using the inequality in [13] we have

$$(3.16) \quad \lambda AB^{\lambda-1} - A^\lambda \leq (\lambda-1)B^\lambda,$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & |u_1(t)|^\gamma [(\gamma+1)|u_1'(t)| + |u_1(t)||P(t)|] |z(t)| - \frac{\gamma |u_1(t)|^{\gamma+1}}{(\rho(t)r(t))^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} |z(t)|^\lambda \\ & \leq \frac{\rho(t)r(t)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} [(\gamma+1)|u_1'(t)| + |u_1(t)||P(t)|]^{\gamma+1}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.15) implies that

$$\int_{a_1}^{b_1} Q(t) |u_1(t)|^{\gamma+1} dt \leq \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \frac{\rho(t)r(t)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} [(\gamma+1)|u_1'(t)| + |u_1(t)||P(t)|]^{\gamma+1} dt.$$

This leads to a contradiction to (3.5).

(II) Now, we consider the case where the supremum in (3.5) is assumed at $\delta \in (m, 1)$. Then from (3.4), we see that, for $t \in [a_1, b_1]$,

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} z'(t) &= -\rho(t)q_0(t)x^{\beta-\gamma}(t) \\ &\quad -\rho(t)x^{\mu-\gamma}(t) \left(\int_a^b q(t,s)[x(t)]^{\alpha(s)-\mu} d\zeta(s) - \rho(t)|e(t)|x^{-\mu}(t) \right) \\ &\quad +P(t)z(t) - \frac{\gamma |z(t)|^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}}}{(\rho(t)r(t))^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{\eta}(s) := \delta^{-1}\eta(s)$. Then, from (2.1), we have

$$(3.18) \quad \int_a^b \tilde{\eta}(s) d\zeta(s) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_a^b \tilde{\eta}(s) [\delta\alpha(s) - \mu] d\zeta(s) = 0.$$

Hence, for $t \in [a_1, b_1]$

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_a^b q(t, s) [x(t)]^{\alpha(s)-\mu} d\zeta(s) + |e(t)| x^{-\mu}(t) \\ &= \int_a^b \tilde{\eta}(s) \left(\delta\eta^{-1}(s) q(t, s) [x(t)]^{\alpha(s)-\mu} + |e(t)| x^{-\mu}(t) \right) d\zeta(s). \end{aligned}$$

Using the Arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, see [2, Page 17],

$$ch + dk \geq c^h d^k, \quad \text{where } c, d \geq 0, h, k > 0 \text{ and } h + k = 1,$$

with

$$c = \eta^{-1}(s) q(t, s) [x(t)]^{\alpha(s)-\mu}, \quad d = \frac{1}{1-\delta} |e(t)| x^{-\mu}(t), \quad h = \delta \text{ and } k = 1 - \delta,$$

we have that for $t \in [a_1, b_1]$ and $s \in [a, b]$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\eta^{-1}(s) q(t, s) [x(t)]^{\alpha(s)-\mu} + (1-\delta) \frac{|e(t)|}{1-\delta} x^{-\mu}(t) \\ \geq \left[\frac{q(t, s)}{\eta(s)} \right]^\delta \left[\frac{|e(t)|}{1-\delta} \right]^{1-\delta} [x(t)]^{\delta\alpha(s)-\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (3.19) and using Lemma 2.2 and (3.18), we see that, for $t \in [a_1, b_1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b q(t, s) [x(t)]^{\alpha(s)-\mu} d\zeta(s) + |e(t)| x^{-\mu}(t) \\ & \geq \exp \left(\int_a^b \tilde{\eta}(s) \ln \left(\left[\frac{q(t, s)}{\eta(s)} \right]^\delta \left[\frac{|e(t)|}{1-\delta} \right]^{1-\delta} [x(t)]^{\delta\alpha(s)-\mu} \right) d\zeta(s) \right) \\ & = \exp \left(\int_a^b \tilde{\eta}(s) \left(\ln \left[\frac{q(t, s)}{\eta(s)} \right]^\delta + \ln \left[\frac{|e(t)|}{1-\delta} \right]^{1-\delta} + [\delta\alpha(s) - \mu] \ln x(t) \right) d\zeta(s) \right) \\ (3.20) \quad & \left[\frac{|e(t)|}{1-\delta} \right]^{1-\delta} \exp \left(\int_a^b \eta(s) \ln \frac{q(t, s)}{\eta(s)} d\zeta(s) \right) = \hat{q}(t). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.17) and (3.20), that we get, for $t \in [a_1, b_1]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 z'(t) &\leq -\rho(t)q_0(t)x^{\beta-\gamma}(t) - \rho(t)\hat{q}(t)x^{\mu-\gamma}(t) + P(t)z(t) - \frac{\gamma|z(t)|^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}}}{(\rho(t)r(t))^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \\
 (3.21) \quad &\stackrel{(3.13)}{\leq} -Q(t) + P(t)z(t) - \frac{\gamma|z(t)|^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}}}{(\rho(t)r(t))^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where Q is defined by (3.7) with $\delta \in (m, 1)$. The rest of the proof is similar to Part (I) and hence is omitted. \square

Example 3.1. Consider the second order differential equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.22) \quad &((2 + \cos 4t)(x'(t))^2)' - \sin t(x'(t))^2 + \cos t(x(t))^3 \\
 &+ \int_0^1 \cos t \phi_{5s}(x(t))ds = -e^t \cos 2t.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we have

- (i) $\alpha(s) = 5s$, $\xi(s) = s$, $\gamma = 2$, $\beta = 3$, $\mu = 1$, $a = 0$ and $b = 1$;
- (ii) $r(t) = 2 + \cos 4t$, $p(t) = -\sin t$, $q_0(t) = q(t, s) = \cot s$, and $e(t) = -e^t \cos 2t$.

Note that

$$m = \left(\int_{\frac{1}{5}}^1 ds \right)^{-1} \left(\int_{\frac{1}{5}}^1 \frac{1}{5s} ds \right) = \ln \sqrt[4]{5}.$$

For any $\delta \in \left(\ln \sqrt[4]{5}, 1 \right]$, we set

$$\eta(s) := \frac{\delta}{5\delta - 1} s^{\frac{2-5s}{5\delta-1}},$$

then (2.1) is satisfied. For any $T \in \mathbb{R}$, we choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so large that $2n\pi \geq T$ and let

$$a_1 = 2n\pi, \quad a_2 = b_1 = 2n\pi + \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad b_2 = 2n\pi + \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Let $\rho(t) = 2 + \cos 4t$, and for $i = 1, 2$ let $u_i(t) = \sin 4t$. Then

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \left(\frac{\rho(t)r(t)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} [(\gamma+1)|u'_i(t)| + |u_i(t)||P(t)|]^{\gamma+1} \right) dt$$

$$= 4 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} (2 + \cos 4t)^2 \cos^3 4t dt = \frac{3}{2}\pi.$$

Therefore, it is easy to see that (3.5) is satisfied and hence Eq. (3.22) is oscillatory if

$$\sup_{\delta \in (\ln \sqrt[4]{5}, 1]} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} 2(2 + \cos 4t) \sqrt{\cos t \hat{q}(t)} \sin^3 4t dt > \frac{3}{2}\pi,$$

where

$$\hat{q}(t) = \left[\frac{|e^t \cos 2t|}{1 - \delta} \right]^{1-\delta} \exp \left(\int_a^b \eta(s) \ln \left[\frac{\cos t}{\eta(s)} \right] ds \right).$$

Following Philos [27], Kong [22], and Kong [23], we say that for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a < b$, a function $H_i(t, s)$, $i = 1, 2$, belongs to a function class $\mathcal{H}(a, b)$, denoted by $H_i \in \mathcal{H}(a, b)$, if $H_i \in C(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{R})$, where $\mathbb{D} := \{(t, s) : b \geq t \geq s \geq a\}$, which satisfies

$$(3.23) \quad H_i(t, t) = 0, \quad H_i(b, s) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad H_i(s, a) > 0 \quad \text{for } b > s > a,$$

and $H_i(t, s)$ has continuous partial derivatives $\partial H_i(t, s)/\partial t$ and $\partial H_i(t, s)/\partial s$ on $[a, b] \times [a, b]$ such that for $i = 1, 2$,

$$(3.24) \quad \frac{\partial H_i(t, s)}{\partial t} + P(s) H_i(t, s) = (\gamma + 1) h_{i1}(t, s) H_i^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}}(t, s)$$

and

$$(3.25) \quad \frac{\partial H_i(t, s)}{\partial s} + P(s) H_i(t, s) = (\gamma + 1) h_{i2}(t, s) H_i^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}}(t, s),$$

where $h_{i1}, h_{i2} \in L_{loc}(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{R})$. Next, we use the function class $\mathcal{H}(a, b)$ to establish an oscillation criterion for Eq. (1.1) of the Kong-type.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that for any $T \geq 0$ and for $i = 1, 2$, there exist constants a_i and b_i with $T \leq a_i < b_i$ such that (3.3) and (3.4) hold. Assume further that for $i = 1, 2$, there exist $c_i \in (a_i, b_i)$ and $H_i \in \mathcal{H}(a_i, b_i)$ and a continuous positive function $\rho(t)$ such that*

$$\sup_{\delta \in (m, 1]} \left\{ \frac{1}{H_i(c_i, a_i)} \int_{a_i}^{c_i} \left[Q(s) H_i(s, a_i) - \rho(s) r(s) |h_{i1}(s, a_i)|^{\gamma+1} \right] ds \right.$$

$$(3.26) \quad + \frac{1}{H_i(b_i, c_i)} \int_{c_i}^{b_i} \left[Q(s) H_i(b_i, s) - \rho(s) r(s) |h_{i2}(b_i, s)|^{\gamma+1} \right] ds \Big\} > 0,$$

where $P(t)$ and $Q(t)$ are defined by (3.6) and (3.7), respectively. Then Eq. (3.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Assume Eq. (3.1) has an extendible solution $x(t)$ which is eventually positive or negative. Then, without loss of generality, assume $x(t) > 0$ for all $t \geq T \geq 0$, where T depends on the solution $x(t)$. Define $z(t)$ by (3.8). From (3.14) and (3.21), we get that

$$(3.27) \quad z'(t) \leq -Q(t) + P(t)z(t) - \frac{\gamma |z(t)|^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}}}{(\rho(t)r(t))^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}}.$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.27), with t replaced by s , by $H_1(b_1, s)$ and integrating with respect to s from c_1 to b_1 , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{c_1}^{b_1} Q(s) H_1(b_1, s) ds \\ \leq & - \int_{c_1}^{b_1} z'(s) H_1(b_1, s) ds + \int_{c_1}^{b_1} P(s) z(s) H_1(b_1, s) ds \\ & - \int_{c_1}^{b_1} \frac{\gamma |z(s)|^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}}}{(\rho(s)r(s))^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} H_1(b_1, s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using integration by parts and from (3.23) and (3.25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{c_1}^{b_1} Q(s) H_1(b_1, s) ds \\ \leq & z(c_1) H_1(b_1, c_1) + \int_{c_1}^{b_1} \left[(\gamma + 1) h_{12}(b_1, s) H_1^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}}(b_1, s) z(s) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{\gamma |z(s)|^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}} H_1(b_1, s)}{(\rho(s)r(s))^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \right] ds \\ \leq & z(c_1) H_1(b_1, c_1) + \int_{c_1}^{b_1} \left[(\gamma + 1) |h_{12}(b_1, s)| H_1^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}}(b_1, s) |z(s)| \right. \\ (3.28) \quad & \left. - \frac{\gamma |z(s)|^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}} H_1(b_1, s)}{(\rho(s)r(s))^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\lambda = \frac{\gamma + 1}{\gamma}$. Define A and B by

$$A^\lambda := \frac{\gamma |z(s)|^\lambda H_1(b_1, s)}{(\rho(s)r(s))^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \quad \text{and} \quad B^{\lambda-1} := (\gamma\rho(s)r(s))^{\frac{1}{\gamma+1}} |h_{12}(b_1, s)|.$$

Then, using the inequality (3.16), we get that

$$(\gamma + 1) |h_{12}(b_1, s)| H_1^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}}(b_1, s) |z(s)| - \frac{\gamma |z(s)|^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}} H_1(b_1, s)}{(\rho(s)r(s))^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \leq \rho(s)r(s) |h_{12}(b_1, s)|^{\gamma+1}.$$

This together with (3.28) shows that

$$(3.29) \quad \frac{1}{H_1(b_1, c_1)} \int_{c_1}^{b_1} \left[Q(s) H_1(b_1, s) - \rho(s)r(s) |h_{12}(b_1, s)|^{\gamma+1} \right] ds \leq z(c_1).$$

Similarly, multiplying both sides of (3.27), with t replaced by s , by $H_1(s, a_1)$ and integrating by parts from a_1 to c_1 , we see that

$$(3.30) \quad \frac{1}{H_1(c_1, a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{c_1} \left[Q(s) H_1(s, a_1) - \rho(s)r(s) |h_{11}(s, a_1)|^{\gamma+1} \right] ds \leq -z(c_1).$$

Combining (3.29) and (3.30) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{H_1(c_1, a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{c_1} \left[Q(s) H_1(s, a_1) - \rho(s)r(s) h_{11}^{\gamma+1}(s, a_1) \right] ds \\ & + \frac{1}{H_1(b_1, c_1)} \int_{c_1}^{b_1} \left[Q(s) H_1(b_1, s) - \rho(s)r(s) h_{12}^{\gamma+1}(b_1, s) \right] ds \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts (3.26) with $i = 1$. This completes the proof. \square

4. Oscillation Criteria for (1.1) with $g(t, s) \not\equiv t$. In this section we prove oscillation criteria for Eq. (1.1) with both cases of delay and advanced types. In the following, we will use the notations:

$$g_*(t) = \inf_{s \in [a, b]} \{t, g(t, s)\} \quad \text{and} \quad g^*(t) = \sup_{s \in [a, b]} \{t, g(t, s)\};$$

$$\psi_i(t, s) := \begin{cases} \delta_i(t, s), & g(t, s) < t, \\ \zeta_i(t, s), & g(t, s) > t; \end{cases}$$

with

$$\delta_i(t, s) := \frac{R(g(t, s), g(a_i, s))}{R(t, g(a_i, s))};$$

and

$$\zeta_i(t, s) := \frac{R(g(b_i, s), g(t, s))}{R(g(b_i, s), t)},$$

$$\begin{aligned} R(t, t_0) &:= \int_{t_0}^t \tilde{r}^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}(u) du, \quad \tilde{r}(t) \\ &:= r(t) \left[\exp \int_0^t \frac{p(v)}{r(v)} dv \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{q}(t, s) := q(t, s) [\psi_1(t, s)]^{\alpha(s)}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that for any $T \geq 0$ and for $i = 1, 2$, there exist constants $a_i, b_i \in [T, \infty)$ with $a_i < b_i$, such that*

$$(4.1) \quad q_0(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [g_*(a_i), g^*(b_i)],$$

$$(4.2) \quad q(t, s) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } (t, s) \in [g_*(a_i), g^*(b_i)] \times [a, b),$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad (-1)^i e(t) \geq 0, \quad \text{for } t \in [g_*(a_i), g^*(b_i)].$$

Assume further that for $i = 1, 2$, there exist $u_i \in C^1[a_i, b_i]$ satisfying $u_i(a_i) = u_i(b_i) = 0$, $u_i(t) \not\equiv 0$ on $[a_i, b_i]$ and a continuous positive function $\rho(t)$ such that

$$\sup_{\delta \in (m, 1]} \int_{a_i}^{b_i} \left[\hat{Q}(t) |u_i(t)|^{\gamma+1} - \frac{\rho(t)r(t)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} [(\gamma+1)|u_1'(t)| + |u_1(t)| |P(t)|]^{\gamma+1} \right] dt > 0,$$

where $P(t)$ is defined by (3.6) and

$$(4.4) \quad \hat{Q}(t) := \hat{\delta} \rho(t) (q_0(t))^{(\gamma-\mu)/(\beta-\mu)} (\bar{q}(t))^{(\beta-\gamma)/(\beta-\mu)},$$

with

$$\hat{\delta} := (\beta - \mu)(\beta - \gamma)^{(\gamma-\beta)/(\beta-\mu)} (\gamma - \mu)^{(\mu-\gamma)/(\beta-\mu)},$$

and

$$\bar{q}(t) := \left[\frac{|e(t)|}{1 - \delta} \right]^{1-\delta} \exp \left(\int_a^b \eta(s) \ln \left[\frac{\hat{q}(t, s)}{\eta(s)} \right] d\zeta(s) \right),$$

with $\eta(s)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.1 based on δ . Here we use the convention that $\ln 0 = -\infty$, $e^{-\infty} = 0$, and $0^{1-\delta} = 1$ and $(1-\delta)^{1-\delta} = 1$ for $\delta = 1$. Then Eq. (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Assume Eq. (1.1) has an extendible solution $x(t)$ which is eventually positive or negative. Then, without loss of generality, we may assume $x(t)$, $x(g(t,s)) > 0$, for $t \in [T, \infty)$ and $s \in [a, b]$. Define $z(t)$ by (3.8). From (1.1) and (3.9), we have for $t \geq T$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 z'(t) &= -\rho(t)q_0(t)x^{\beta-\gamma}(t) \\
 &\quad -\rho(t)\int_a^b q(t,s)\frac{[x(g(t,s))]^{\alpha(s)}}{[x(t)]^\gamma}d\zeta(s) + \rho(t)e(t)x^{-\gamma}(t) \\
 (4.5) \quad &\quad +P(t)z(t) - \frac{\gamma|z(t)|^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}}}{(\rho(t)r(t))^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From the assumption, there exist constants a_1 and b_1 with $a_1 < b_1$ and $[g_*(a_1), g^*(b_1)] \subset [t_0, \infty)$ such that (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3) hold with $i = 1$. From (1.1), we get, for $t \in [g_*(a_1), g^*(b_1)]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\tilde{r}(t)\phi_\gamma(x'(t)))' \\
 &= \left[\exp \int_0^t \frac{p(v)}{r(v)} dv \right] (r(t)\phi_\gamma(x'(t)))' + \left[\exp \int_0^t \frac{p(v)}{r(v)} dv \right] p(t)\phi_\gamma(x'(t)) \\
 &= \left[\exp \int_0^t \frac{p(v)}{r(v)} dv \right] \left[-q_0(t)\phi_\beta(x(t)) - \int_a^b q(t,s)\phi_{\alpha(s)}(x(g(t,s)))d\zeta(s) + e(t) \right] \\
 &\leq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then $\tilde{r}(t)\phi_\gamma(x'(t))$ is nonincreasing on $[g_*(a_1), g^*(b_1)]$. Now we consider the following two cases:

Case (a): Delay type, i.e. $g(t,s) \leq t$, for $t \in [a, b]$ and $s \in [a, b]$. Since $\tilde{r}(t)\phi_\gamma(x'(t))$ is nonincreasing on $[g_*(a_1), g^*(b_1)]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) - x(g(t,s)) &= \int_{g(t,s)}^t \phi_\gamma^{-1}(\tilde{r}(u)\phi_\gamma(x'(u)))\tilde{r}^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}(u)du \\
 &\leq \phi_\gamma^{-1}[\tilde{r}\phi_\gamma(x')(g(t,s))] \int_{g(t,s)}^t \tilde{r}^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}(u)du \\
 &= \phi_\gamma^{-1}[\tilde{r}\phi_\gamma(x')(g(t,s))]R(t, g(t,s)),
 \end{aligned}$$

where ϕ_γ^{-1} is the inverse function of ϕ_γ , and so

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{x(t)}{x(g(t,s))} \leq 1 + \frac{\phi_\gamma^{-1}[\tilde{r}\phi_\gamma(x')(g(t,s))]}{x(g(t,s))} R(t, g(t,s)).$$

We also see that for $t \in [a_1, g^*(b_1)]$

$$\begin{aligned} x(g(t,s)) &> x(g(t,s)) - x(g(a_1,s)) = \int_{g(a_1,s)}^{g(t,s)} \phi_\gamma^{-1}(\tilde{r}(u)\phi_\gamma(x'(u)))\tilde{r}^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}(u) du \\ &\geq \phi_\gamma^{-1}[\tilde{r}\phi_\gamma(x')(g(t,s))] \int_{g(a_1,s)}^{g(t,s)} \tilde{r}^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}(u) du \\ &= \phi_\gamma^{-1}[\tilde{r}\phi_\gamma(x')(g(t,s))] R(g(t,s), g(a_1,s)), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that for $t \in (a_1, g^*(b_1)]$

$$(4.7) \quad \frac{\phi_\gamma^{-1}[\tilde{r}\phi_\gamma(x')(g(t,s))]}{x(g(t,s))} < \frac{1}{R(g(t,s), g(a_1,s))}.$$

Therefore, the combination of (4.6) and (4.7) shows that for $t \in (a_1, g^*(b_1)]$

$$\frac{x(t)}{x(g(t,s))} < 1 + \frac{R(t, g(t,s))}{R(g(t,s), g(a_1,s))} = \frac{R(t, g(a_1,s))}{R(g(t,s), g(a_1,s))} = \frac{1}{\delta_1(t,s)}.$$

Hence

$$(4.8) \quad x(g(t,s)) > \delta_1(t,s) x(t), \quad \text{for } t \in [a_1, g^*(b_1)].$$

Case (b): advanced type, i.e. $g(t,s) > t$, for $t \in [a, b]$ and $s \in [a, b]$. Since $\tilde{r}(t)\phi_\gamma(x'(t))$ is nonincreasing on $[g_*(a_1), g^*(b_1)]$, we have, for $t \in [g_*(a_1), b_1]$

$$\begin{aligned} x(g(t,s)) - x(t) &= \int_t^{g(t,s)} \phi_\gamma^{-1}(\tilde{r}(u)\phi_\gamma(x'(u)))\tilde{r}^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}(u) du \\ &\geq \phi_\gamma^{-1}[\tilde{r}\phi_\gamma(x')(g(t,s))] \int_t^{g(t,s)} \tilde{r}^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}(u) du \\ &= \phi_\gamma^{-1}[\tilde{r}\phi_\gamma(x')(g(t,s))] R(g(t,s), t), \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(4.9) \quad \frac{x(t)}{x(g(t,s))} \leq 1 - \frac{\phi_\gamma^{-1}[\tilde{r}\phi_\gamma(x')(g(t,s))]}{x(g(t,s))} R(g(t,s), t).$$

Also, we see that, for $t \in [g_*(a_1), b_1]$

$$-x(g(t,s)) < x(g(b_1,s)) - x(g(t,s)) = \int_{g(t,s)}^{g(b_1,s)} \phi_\gamma^{-1}(\tilde{r}(u)\phi_\gamma(x'(u)))\tilde{r}^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}(u) du$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \phi_\gamma^{-1} [\tilde{r}\phi_\gamma(x')(g(t, s))] \int_{g(t, s)}^{g(b_1, s)} \tilde{r}^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}(u) du \\ &= \phi_\gamma^{-1} [\tilde{r}\phi_\gamma(x')(g(t, s))] R(g(b_1, s), g(t, s)), \end{aligned}$$

which implies for $t \in [g_*(a_1), b_1)$, that

$$(4.10) \quad -\frac{\phi_\gamma^{-1} [\tilde{r}\phi_\gamma(x')(g(t, s))]}{x(g(t, s))} < \frac{1}{R(g(b_1, s), g(t, s))}.$$

Thus, (4.9) and (4.10) imply, for $t \in [g_*(a_1), b_1)$

$$\frac{x(t)}{x(g(t, s))} < 1 - \frac{R(g(t, s), t)}{R(g(b_1, s), g(t, s))} = \frac{R(g(b_1, s), t)}{R(g(b_1, s), g(t, s))} = \frac{1}{\zeta_1(t, s)}.$$

Hence

$$(4.11) \quad x(g(t, s)) > \zeta_1(t, s) x(t), \quad \text{for } t \in [g_*(a_1), b_1].$$

From (4.8) and (4.11), we get

$$x(g(t, s)) \geq \psi_1(t, s) x(t), \quad \text{for } t \in [a_1, b_1] \text{ and } s \in [a, b].$$

Then (4.5) becomes, for two cases (a) and (b),

$$\begin{aligned} z'(t) &\leq -\rho(t) q_0(t) x^{\beta-\gamma}(t) - \rho(t) \int_a^b \hat{q}(t, s) [x(t)]^{\alpha(s)-\gamma} d\zeta(s) + \rho(t) e(t) x^{-\gamma}(t) \\ &\quad + P(t) z(t) - \frac{\gamma |z(t)|^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}}}{(\rho(t) r(t))^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{q}(t, s) = q(t, s) [\psi_1(t, s)]^{\alpha(s)}$. The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1 after (3.11) and hence is omitted. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that for any $T \geq 0$ and for $i = 1, 2$, there exist constants a_i and b_i with $T \leq a_i < b_i$ such that (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3) hold. Assume further that for $i = 1, 2$, there exist $c_i \in (a_i, b_i)$ and $H_i \in \mathcal{H}(a_i, b_i)$ and a continuous positive function $\rho(t)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{\delta \in (m, 1]} \left\{ \frac{1}{H_i(c_i, a_i)} \int_{a_i}^{c_i} [\hat{Q}(s) H_i(s, a_i) - \rho(s) r(s) |h_{i1}(s, a_i)|^{\gamma+1}] ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{H_i(b_i, c_i)} \int_{c_i}^{b_i} [\hat{Q}(s) H_i(b_i, s) - \rho(s) r(s) |h_{i2}(b_i, s)|^{\gamma+1}] ds \right\} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $P(t)$ and $\hat{Q}(t)$ are defined by (3.6) and (4.4), respectively. Then Eq. (3.1) is oscillatory.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. AGARWAL, S. R. GRACE, D. O'REGAN. Oscillation Theory for Second Order Linear, Half-Linear, Superlinear and Sublinear Dynamic Equations. Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic, 2002.
- [2] E. F. BECKENBACH, R. BELLMAN. Inequalities. Berlin, Springer, 1961.
- [3] G. J. BUTLER. Oscillation theorems for a nonlinear analogue of Hill's equation. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **27**, 106 (1976), 159–171.
- [4] G. J. BUTLER. Integral averages and oscillation of second order nonlinear differential equations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **11**, 1 (1980), 190–200.
- [5] D. ÇAKMAK, A. TIRYAKI. Oscillation criteria for certain forced second order nonlinear differential equations with delayed argument. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **49**, 11–12 (2005), 1647–1653.
- [6] C. V. COFFMAN, J. S. W. WONG. Oscillation and nonoscillation of solutions of generalized Emden–Fowler equations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **167** (1972) 399–434.
- [7] E. M. ELABBASY, T. S. HASSAN. Interval oscillation for second order sublinear differential equations with a damping term. *Int. J. Dyn. Syst. Differ. Equ.* **1**, 4 (2008) 291–299.
- [8] E. M. ELABBASY, T. S. HASSAN, S. H. SAKER. Oscillation of second-order nonlinear differential equations with damping term. *Electron. J. Differential Equations* **76** (2005) 13 pp.
- [9] M. A. EL-SAYED. An oscillation criterion for a forced second order linear differential equation. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **118** (1993) 813–817.
- [10] L. ERBE, T. S. HASSAN, A. PETERSON. Oscillation of second order neutral delay differential equations. *Adv. Dyn. Syst. Appl.* **3**, 1 (2008), 53–71.
- [11] L. ERBE, T. S. HASSAN, A. PETERSON. Oscillation criteria for first order forced functional dynamic equations. *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.* **3**, 2 (2009), 253–263.

- [12] A. F. GÜVENİLİR, A. ZAFER. Second-order oscillation of forced functional differential equations with oscillatory potentials. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **51**, 9–10 (2006), 1395–1404.
- [13] G. H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD, G. POLYA. *Inequalities*, second ed. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1988.
- [14] T. S. HASSAN. Interval oscillation for second order nonlinear differential equations with a damping term. *Serdica Math. J.* **34**, 4 (2008), 715–732.
- [15] T. S. HASSAN, L. ERBE, A. PETERSON. Forced oscillation of second order functional differential equations with mixed nonlinearities. *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B, Engl. Ed.* **31**, 2 (2011), 613–626
- [16] T. S. HASSAN, Q. KONG. Interval criteria for forced oscillation of differential equations with p -Laplacian, damping, and mixed nonlinearities. *Dynam. Systems Appl.* **20**, 2–3 (2011), 279–293.
- [17] T. S. HASSAN, Q. KONG. Interval criteria for forced oscillation of differential equations with p -Laplacian and nonlinearities given by Riemann-Stieltjes integrals. *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **49**, 5 (2012), 1017–1030.
- [18] D. JOHNSON, J. R. JOHNSON. *Mathematical Methods in Engineering and Physics*. Englewood Cliffs, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1982.
- [19] A. G. KARTSATOS. On the maintenance of oscillation of n th order equations under the effect of a small forcing term. *J. Differential Equations* **10** (1971) 355–363.
- [20] A. G. KARTSATOS. Maintenance of oscillations under the effect of a periodic forcing term. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **33** (1972) 377–383.
- [21] M. S. KEENER. On the solutions of certain linear nonhomogeneous second-order differential equations. *Applicable Anal.* **1**, 1 (1971), 57–63.
- [22] Q. KONG. Interval criteria for oscillation of second-order linear ordinary differential equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **229** (1999), 258–270.
- [23] Q. KONG. Oscillation criteria for second order half-linear differential equations. *Differential equations with applications to biology* (Halifax, NS, 1997), 317–323, Fields Inst. Commun. vol. **21**, Providence, RI, Amer. Math. Soc., 1999.
- [24] Q. KONG, J. S. W. WONG. Oscillation of a forced second order differential equations with a deviating argument. *Funct. Differ. Equ.* (to appear).

- [25] Q. KONG, B.G. ZHANG. Oscillation of a forced second order nonlinear equation. *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B* **15**, 1 (1994), 59–68.
- [26] M. K. KWONG, J. S. W. WONG. Linearization of second order nonlinear oscillation theorems. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **279** (1983) 705–722.
- [27] A. H. Nasr. Sufficient conditions for the oscillation of forced super-linear second order differential equations with oscillatory potential. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126** (1998) 123–125.
- [28] C. H. OU, J. S. W. WONG. Forced oscillation of n th order functional differential equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **262**, 2 (2001) 722–731.
- [29] CH. G. PHILOS. Oscillation theorems for linear differential equations of second order. *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **53**, 5 (1989), 482–492.
- [30] S. M. RANKIN. Oscillation theorems for second order nonhomogeneous linear differential equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **53**, 3 (1976), 550–553.
- [31] A. SKIDMORE, J. J. BOWERS. Oscillatory behavior of solutions of $y'' + p(x)y = f(x)$. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **49** (1975), 317–323.
- [32] A. SKIDMORE, W. LEIGHTON. On the differential equation $y'' + p(x)y = f(x)$. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **43** (1973), 46–55.
- [33] Y. G. SUN. A note on Nasr's and Wong's papers. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **286**, 1 (2003) 363–367.
- [34] Y. G. SUN, Q. KONG. Interval criteria for forced oscillation with nonlinearities given by Riemann-Stieltjes integrals. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **62**, 1 (2011), 243–252.
- [35] Y. G. SUN, F. W. MENG. Interval criteria for oscillation of second order differential equations with mixed nonlinearities. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **198**, 1 (2008) 375–381.
- [36] Y. G. SUN, J. S. W. WONG. Note on forced oscillation of n th-order sublinear differential equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **298**, 1 (2004) 114–119.
- [37] Y. G. SUN, J. S. WONG. Oscillation criteria for second order forced ordinary differential equations with mixed nonlinearities. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **334**, 1 (2007) 549–560.
- [38] Y. G. SUN, C. H. OU, J. S. W. WONG. Interval oscillation theorems for a linear second order differential equation. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **48**, 10–11 (2004), 1693–1699.

- [39] H. TEUFEL. Forced second order nonlinear oscillations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **40** (1972) 148–152.
- [40] J. S. W. WONG. Second order nonlinear forced oscillations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **19**, 3 (1988), 667–675.
- [41] J. S. W. WONG. Oscillation criteria for forced second linear differential equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **231**, 1 (1999), 235–240.
- [42] Q. YANG. Interval oscillation criteria for a forced second order nonlinear ordinary differential equations with oscillatory potential. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **135**, 1 (2003) 49–64.

E. El-Shobaky
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University
Cairo, Egypt
e-mail: e_elshobaky@hotmail.com

E. M. Elabbasy
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Mansoura University
Mansoura, 35516, Egypt
e-mail: emelabbasy@mans.edu.eg

T. S. Hassan
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Mansoura University
Mansoura, 35516, Egypt
Current address:
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
University of Hail, Hail, 2440, KSA
e-mail: tshassan@mans.edu.eg

B. A. Glalah
Department of Basic Science
Higher Technological Institute
tenth of Ramadan City
6th of October Branch, October, Egypt
Current address:
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
University of Hail, Hail, 2440, KSA
e-mail: b.glalah@yahoo.com

Received July 14, 2013