

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

PLISKA

STUDIA MATHEMATICA
BULGARICA

ПЛИСКА

БЪЛГАРСКИ
МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИ
СТУДИИ

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Pliska Studia Mathematica Bulgarica
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~pliska/>
or contact: Editorial Office

Pliska Studia Mathematica Bulgarica
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: pliska@math.bas.bg

ON THE UNIQUENESS OF CERTAIN CODES MEETING THE GRIESMER BOUND

NICOLAI L. MANEV

A uniqueness (up to isomorphism) of the codes with minimum distance $d=2^{k-1}-2^{a-1}-2^{b-1}$, dimension k and which meets the Griesmer bound is proved. The integers a and b satisfy the conditions $k \geq a+b$, $a > b > 0$ and if $b=2$ then $a \geq 4$.

1. Introduction. Linear codes over $GF(2)$ are considered. Let $n(k, d)$ denote the smallest integer n for which a binary $[n, k, d]$ code (i. e. a binary linear code with dimension k , block length n and minimum distance d) exists. Griesmer [1] proved, that

$$(1.1) \quad n(k, d) \geq g(k, d),$$

where

$$g(k, d) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \lceil d/2^j \rceil$$

($\lceil x \rceil$ denotes the smallest integer $\geq x$).

The $[n, k, d]$ codes with $n = g(k, d)$ will be referred to as Griesmer codes. For example, the simplex $[2^k-1, k, 2^{k-1}]$ code with generator matrix S_k (the columns of it are all distinct, nonzero binary k -types) and the Mac-Donald codes $C_{k,u}: [2^k-2^u, k, 2^{k-1}-2^{u-1}]$, $1 \leq u \leq k-1$, with generator matrix

$$G_{k,u} = S_k \setminus \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ S_u \end{array} \right)$$

are Griesmer codes. (Let $A = B|C$) be a partitioning of a matrix A . Then we shall denote C by $A \setminus B$)

By deleting columns of S_k (or several copies of S_k) form themselves subspaces large classes of codes meeting the Griesmer bound are obtained (see Solomon and Stifler [2], Belov et al. [3], Belov [4], Helleseth and van Tilborg [5]). The most general result from the preceding ones is that of Belov:

Theorem 1.1 [4]. *Let $s = \lceil d/2^{k-1} \rceil$ and $s2^{k-1} - d = \sum_{i=1}^p 2^{u_i-1}$, where $k > u_1 > u_2 > \dots > u_p > 0$. If*

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\min(s+1, p)} u_i \leq s \cdot k$$

or

$$(1.3) \quad u_{i+1} = u_i - 1, \quad i = s, s+1, \dots, p-1 \quad \text{and} \quad u_p \in \{1, 2\}$$

then there exists a binary linear $[g(k, d), k, d]$ code.

Two codes \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are called isomorphic (equivalent) if they differ only in the order of symbols of codewords, or more formally if there is a permutation the coordinates of vectors $\sigma: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$.

In [6] van Tilborg proved the uniqueness of Mac-Donald codes, or more exactly:

Theorem 1.2 [6]. *Let C be a linear $[2^k - 2^u, k, 2^{k-1} - 2^{u-1}]$ code, $1 \leq u \leq k-1$. Then C is isomorphic to $C_{k,u}$.*

Let $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a weight distribution of the code \mathcal{C} , i.e. A_i denotes the number of the codewords of weight i in \mathcal{C} .

Theorem 1.3 [7]. *For any $2 \leq i \leq k-4$ every $[2^k - 2^{k-i} - 3, k, 2^{k-1} - 2^{k-i-1} - 2]$ code is isomorphic the code $\mathcal{D}_{k,k-i}$ with a generator matrix*

$$G_{k,k-i} = S_k \setminus \left(\begin{array}{c} 0' \\ s_{k-i} \end{array} \setminus \left(\begin{array}{c} s_2 \\ 0'' \end{array} \right) \right),$$

where $2 \leq i \leq k-1$, $0'$ and $0''$ are $i \times (2^{k-i} - 1)$ and $(k-2) \times 3$ matrixes of noughts. The weight distribution of $\mathcal{D}_{k,k-i}$ is

$$A_0 = 1, \quad A_{2^{k-1} - 2^{k-i} - 1 - 2} = 3(2^{k-2} - 2^{i-2}), \quad A_{2^{k-1} - 2} = 3 \cdot 2^{i-2},$$

$$A_{2^{k-1} - 2^{k-i} - 1} = 2^{k-2} - 2^{i-2}, \quad A_{2^{k-1}} = 2^{i-2} - 1.$$

Theorem 1.4 [7]. *Let \mathcal{C} be a linear $[2^k - 11, k, 2^{k-1} - 6]$ code, $k \geq 5$. Then \mathcal{C} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}_{k,3}$ or to $N_k^{(k-3)}$, which has generator matrix*

$$G_k^{(k-3)} = \left| \begin{array}{c|c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \\ \hline & S_k \setminus S_4 \end{array} \right|$$

and weight distribution $A_0 = 1; A_{2^{k-1} - 6} = 10 \cdot 2^{k-4}; A_{2^{k-1} - 4} = 5 \cdot 2^{k-4}; A_{2^{k-1}} = 2^{k-4} - 1$.

The connection between weight distributions of code \mathcal{C} and its dual code \mathcal{C}^\perp denoted by $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^n$, is given by the Mac-William equations [8, p. 129]. The following equations, which are equivalent to Mac-William ones will be used in the article:

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{i-d}{m} A_i = 2^{k-m} \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i L_m(i) B_i,$$

where $L_m(x) = \sum_{v=0}^{m-x} (-2)^v \binom{n-x}{m-x-v} \binom{d+v-1}{v}$.

Definition (after van Tilborg). *Let \mathcal{C} be a binary linear code with generator matrix G , the top row of which is c . Then the residual code of \mathcal{C} with respect to c is the code generated by the restriction of G to the columns where c has a zero entry. We shall often denote this by $\text{res}(\mathcal{C}; c)$, or if only the weight w of c is relevant then by $\text{res}(\mathcal{C}; w)$.*

Lemma 1.5 [9]. *Let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, k, d]$ code and $c \in \mathcal{C}$ with weight $\text{wt}(c) < 2d$. Then $\text{res}(\mathcal{C}; c)$ is an $[n - \text{wt}(c), k-1, d_0]$ code, where $d_0 \geq d - \lfloor \text{wt}(c)/2 \rfloor$ ($\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer $\leq x$).*

Lemma 1.6 [10]. *If the code \mathcal{C} achieves the Griesmer bound and it has minimum distance $d=2^ms$, where $s>0$ is an integer, then 2^m divides the weights of all codewords.*

2. A uniqueness of Griesmer code of minimum distance $d=2^{k-1}-2^{a-1}-2^{b-1}$, $k \geq a+b$.

Lemma 2.1. *The $[2^k-2^a-2^b+1, k, 2^{k-1}-2^{a-1}-2^{b-1}]$ code $SM_k(a, b)$ with generator matrix*

$$(2.1) \quad G_k(a, b) = S_k \setminus \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0' \\ S_a \end{smallmatrix} \right) \setminus \left(\begin{smallmatrix} S_b \\ 0'' \end{smallmatrix} \right),$$

where a, b are integers, $a > b > 0$, $k \geq a+b$ but $0'$ and $0''$ are matrixes of noughts, has a weight distribution:

$$(2.2) \quad A_0 = 1, \quad A_{2^{k-1}-2^{a-1}-2^{b-1}} = 2^{k-a-b}(2^a-1)(2^b-1), \quad A_{2^{k-1}-2^{b-1}} = 2^{k-a-b}(2^b-1), \\ A_{2^{k-1}-2^{a-1}} = 2^{k-a-b}(2^a-1), \quad A_{2^{k-1}} = 2^{k-a-b}-1.$$

The proof of Lemma 2.1 is not difficult and we omit it (see Lemma 1 in [7]).

Theorem 2.2. *For $k \geq a+b$ and $b \neq 2$ or $b=2$, but $a > 3$ any $[2^k-2^a-2^b+1, k, 2^{k-1}-2^{a-1}-2^{b-1}]$ code is isomorphic to $SM_k(a, b)$.*

Remark. The case $b=2, a=3$ is the Theorem 1.4.

Proof. We argue by induction on b , the case $b=2$ being proved by Theorem 1.3 ($a=k-i$). The case $b=1$ can be proved easily basing oneself on Theorem 1.2.

Let $b > 2$ and \mathcal{C} be an $[2^k-2^a-2^b+1, k, 2^{k-1}-2^{a-1}-2^{b-1}]$ code. Since \mathcal{C} has no repeated columns as Griesmer code then $A_j=0$ for $j > 2^{k-1}$ (according to [8, Th. 28, p. 553]). Using Lemma 1.6 we obtain that any codeword has weight multiple of 2^{b-1} . We consider two cases.

1) Let $a > b+1$. Applying the Griesmer bound to the res $(\mathcal{C}; 2^{k-1}-2^{a-1}+2^{b-1})$ with parametrs $[2^{k-1}-2^{a-1}-3 \cdot 2^{b-1}+1, k-1, 2^{k-2}-2^{a-2}-3 \cdot 2^{b-2}]$ leads up to a contradiction, because $g(k-1, 2^{k-2}-2^{a-2}-3 \cdot 2^{b-2}) = 2^{k-1}-2^{a-1}-3 \cdot 2^{b-1}+2 > 2^{k-1}-2^{a-1}-3 \cdot 2^{b-1}+1$. It follows $A_{2^{k-1}-2^{a-1}+2^{b-1}}=0$. Then in the case $a=b+2$ the weight of any nonzero codeword of \mathcal{C} is some of

$$(2.3) \quad 2^{k-1}-2^{a-1}-2^{b-1}; \quad 2^{k-1}-2^{a-1}; \quad 2^{k-1}-2^b; \quad 2^{k-1}-2^{b-1}; \quad 2^{k-1}.$$

We shall show that the preceding is held in the case $a > b+2$, too. Let v be codeword of $wt(v) = 2^{k-1}-2^{b-1}x, 3 \leq x \leq 2^{a-b-1}+1$. Then res $(\mathcal{C}; wt(v))$ is an $[2^{k-1}-2^a+2^{b-1}, \delta+1, k-1, 2^{k-2}-2^{a-1}+2^{b-2}, \delta]$ code, where $\delta = x-2, 1 \leq \delta \leq 2^{a-b-1}-1$. From

$$g(k-1, 2^{k-2}-2^{a-1}+2^{b-2}, \delta) = \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} \Gamma(2^{k-2}-2^{a-1}+2^{b-2}, \delta) / 2^j \uparrow \\ + \sum_{j=a}^{k-2} \Gamma(2^{k-2}-j-(2^{a-1}-2^{b-2}), \delta) / 2^j \uparrow = 2^{k-1}-2^a+2^{b-1}\delta+2-\delta \\ + \sum_{v=1}^{a-b-1} \Gamma \delta / 2^v \uparrow \geq 2^{k-1}-2^a+2^{b-1}\delta+2-\delta 2^{a-b-1}$$

and since $g(k-1, 2^{k-2}-2^{a-1}+2^{b-2}, \delta)$ is integer and $0 < \delta / 2^{a-b-1} < 1$, it follows

$$g(k-1, 2^{k-2}-2^{a-1}+2^{b-2}, \delta) \geq 2^{k-1}-2^a+2^{b-1}\delta+2.$$

But it contradicts to (1.1). Therefore

$$(2.4) \quad A_{2^{k-1}-2^{b-1}x} = 0 \text{ for } 3 \leq x \leq 2^{a-b-1} + 1.$$

Let us consider the residual code $\mathcal{C}^0(x) = \text{res}(\mathcal{C}; 2^{k-1} - 2^{a-1} - 2^{b-1})$. It is a $[2^{k-1} - 2^{a-1} - 2^{b-1} + 1, k-1, 2^{k-2} - 2^{a-2} - 2^{b-2}]$ code. Since $k-1 > (a-1) + (b-1)$ and $a-1 > (b-1) + 1 \geq 3$ the code \mathcal{C}^0 satisfies the theorem's condition, but for $b := b-1$. We can apply the induction hypothesis and then $\mathcal{C}^0 \cong SM_{k-1}(a-1, b-1)$. Therefore, if $\{A_i^0\}$ is the weight distribution of \mathcal{C}^0 , then

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} A_0^0 &= 1, \quad A_{2^{k-2}-2^{a-2}-2^{b-2}}^0 = 2^{k-a-b+1} (2^{a-1}-1)(2^{b-1}-1), \quad A_{2^{k-2}-2^{b-2}}^0 \\ &= 2^{k-a-b+1}(2^{b-1}-1), \quad A_{2^{k-2}-2^{a-2}}^0 = 2^{k-a-b+1}(2^{a-1}-1), \quad A_{2^{k-2}}^0 = 2^{k-a-b+1}-1. \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality the codewords of \mathcal{C} form the following matrix (up to isomorphic)

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \leftarrow wt(u) \rightarrow & \\ \hline u: 111 \dots 11 & 000 \dots 00 \\ \hline v: v^1 & v^0 \\ \hline \text{---} & \text{---} \\ \hline w: w^1 & w^0 \end{array}$$

where $wt(u) = 2^{k-1} - 2^{a-1} - 2^{b-1}$ is a minimum weight of \mathcal{C} codewords $v = (v^1 | v^0)$ with $wt(v^0) = \{2^{k-2} - 2^{a-2} - 2^{b-2}; 2^{k-2} - 2^{b-2}\}$; codewords $w = (w^1 | w^0)$ with $wt(w) = \{2^{k-2} - 2^{b-2}; 2^{k-2}\}$.

Evidently, for any vector $v^0 \in \mathcal{C}^0$ there are exactly two codewords of \mathcal{C} ($v = (v^1 | v^0)$ and $u + v$), which restrictions on \mathcal{C}^0 are v^0 . Also

$$(2.6) \quad wt(u) \leq wt(v) \leq 2wt(v^0),$$

$$wt(u+v) = wt(u) - wt(v) + 2wt(v^0),$$

since $wt(u+v) = wt(u) - wt(v^1) + wt(v^0)$.

Therefore,

for $wt(v^0) = 2^{k-2} - 2^{a-2} - 2^{b-2}$ it is held $wt(v) = wt(u+v) = 2^{k-1} - 2^{a-1} - 2^{b-1}$;

for $wt(v^0) = 2^{k-2} - 2^{a-2}$ it is held $wt(v) = 2^{k-1} - 2^{a-1} - 2^{b-1}$, $wt(u+v) = 2^{k-1} - 2^{a-1}$

for $wt(v^0) = 2^{k-2} - 2^{b-2}$ it is held $wt(w) = 2^{k-1} - 2^{b-1}x$, $wt(u+v) = 2^{k-1} - 2^{b-1}(2^{a-b} - x + 2)$;

for $wt(v^0) = 2^{k-2}$ it is held $wt(w) = 2^{k-1} - 2^{b-1}x$, $wt(u+v) = 2^{k-1} - 2^{b-1}(2^{a-b} - x + 1)$.

Then using (2.4) we obtain $A_{2^{k-1}-2^{b-1}x} = 0$, for $2^{a-b-1} + 2 \leq x \leq 2^{a-b} - 2$. Therefore

$$(2.7) \quad A_{2^{k-1}-2^{b-1}x} = 0 \text{ for } 3 \leq x \leq 2^{a-b} - 2,$$

i. e. (2.3) gives the possible nonzero weights of \mathcal{C} for $a > b + 2$, too,

Let $wt(w) = \{2^{k-1} - 2^b; 2^{k-1} - 2^{b-1}; 2^{k-1}\}$. Then $wt(w^0) = \{2^{k-2} - 2^{b-2}$, or $2^{k-2}\}$ by (2.6). Hence

$$(2.8) \quad A_{2^{k-1}-2^b} + A_{2^{k-1}-2^{b-1}} + A_{2^{k-1}} = A_{2^{k-2}-2^{b-2}} + A_{2^{k-2}} = 2^{k-a} - 1.$$

Also, if A_1 and A_2 denote the number of codewords $w \in \mathcal{C}$ of weight $2^{k-1} - 2^{a-1} - 2^{b-1}$ and respectively $2^{k-1} - 2^{a-1}$ with $wt(w^0) = \{0; 2^{k-1} - 2^{b-2}$ or $2^{k-2}\}$ then

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} A_1 &= A_{2^{k-1} - 2^{a-1} - 2^{b-1}} - 2A_{2^{k-2} - 2^{a-2} - 2^{b-2}} + 1 = A_{2^{k-1} - 2^{a-1} - 2^{b-1}} \\ &\quad - 2^{k-a-b+1} (2^{a-1} - 1) (2^b - 1) + 1, \\ A_2 &= A_{2^{k-1} - 2^{a-1}} - A_{2^{k-2} - 2^{a-2}} = A_{2^{k-1} - 2^{a-1}} - 2^{k-a-b+1} (2^{a-1} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Let $u * v$ denote the intersection of binary vectors u and v , i. e. vector $u * v = (u_1 v_1, \dots, u_n v_n)$ which has 1's only where both u and v do. One can easily prove the following

$$(2.10) \quad wt(u * v) = [wt(u) + wt(v) - wt(u + v)] / 2 \geq wt(u) + wt(v) - n,$$

where n is the code's block length. The code \mathcal{C} as Griesmer code has no repeated columns and thus $wt(u * v) \leq 2^{k-2}$, for any $u, v \in \mathcal{C}$. Then for $wt(u) = wt(v) = 2^{k-1}$ the equalities $wt(u * v) = 2^{k-2}$, $wt(u + v) = 2^{k-1}$ are held, i. e. the codewords of weight 2^{k-1} form a subspace. Thus

$$(2.11) \quad A_{2^{k-1}} = 2^\beta - 1, \quad \text{where } \beta \geq 0 \text{ is integer.}$$

Also, if $wt(u) = wt(v) = 2^{k-1} - 2^{b-1}$ and $wt(u + v) < 2^{k-1} - 2^b$, then $wt(u * v) > 2^{k-2}$ by (2.10). But it is impossible. If $wt(u + v) = 2^{k-1} - 2^b$, then $wt(u * v) = 2^{k-2}$ and $res(\mathcal{C}; u)$ with parameters $[2^{k-1} - 2^a - 2^{b-1} + 1, k-1, 2^{k-2} - 2^{a-1} - 2^{b-2}]$ has codeword of weight $2^{k-2} - 2^{b-1}$, which is impossible by induction hypothesis, too. Therefore $wt(u + v) = \{2^{k-1} - 2^{b-1}$ or $2^{k-1}\}$, for $wt(u) = wt(v) = 2^{k-1} - 2^{b-1}$. Similarly, if $wt(u) = 2^{k-1}$ and $wt(v) = 2^{k-1} - 2^{b-1}$, then $wt(u + v) = \{2^{k-1} - 2^{b-1}$ or $2^{k-1}\}$. So, we obtain the codewords of weights 2^{k-1} and $2^{k-1} - 2^{b-1}$ form a subspace, i. e.

$$(2.12) \quad A_{2^{k-1} - 2^{b-1}} + A_{2^{k-1}} = 2^a - 1, \quad \text{where } k - a \geq a \geq \beta \geq 0.$$

Since code \mathcal{C} meets the Griesmer bound, then $B_1 = B_2 = 0$ (by [8, Th. 28, p. 553]) and the equations (1.4) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &A_{2^{k-1} - 2^{a-1} - 2^{b-1}} + A_{2^{k-1} - 2^{a-1}} + A_{2^{k-1} - 2^b} + A_{2^{k-1} - 2^{b-1}} + A_{2^{k-1}} = 2^k - 1, \\ &A_{2^{k-1} - 2^{a-1}} + (2^{a-b} - 1) A_{2^{k-1} - 2^b} + 2^{a-b} A_{2^{k-1} - 2^{b-1}} + (2^{a-b} + 1) A_{2^{k-1}} \\ &\quad = 2^{k-b+1} - 2^{a-b} - 1, \\ &(2^{2a-2b-1} - 3 \cdot 2^{a-b-1} + 1) A_{2^{k-1} - 2^b} + (2^{2a-2b-1} - 2^{a-b-1}) A_{2^{k-1} - 2^{b-1}} \\ &+ (2^{2a-2b-1} + 2^{a-b-1}) A_{2^{k-1}} = 2^{a-b-1} (2^{k-b} - 2^{a-b} - 2^{k-a} + 2^{k-a-b+1} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.8) and (2.9), we obtain

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} &A_{2^{k-1} - 2^b} + A_{2^{k-1} - 2^{b-1}} + A_{2^{k-1}} = 2^{k-a} - 1, \\ &A_1 + A_2 = 2^{k-a}, \\ &A_2 - A_{2^{k-1} - 2^b} + A_{2^{k-1}} = 2^{k-a-b+1}, \\ &(1/2^{a-b} - 1) A_{2^{k-1} - 2^b} + A_{2^{k-1}} = 2^{k-a-b} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $A_{2^{k-1}-2^b} \neq 0$. Then $A_{2^{k-1}-2^b} = 2^{k-a} - 2^a$ and $k-a-1 \geq \alpha \geq \beta \geq k-a-b+1$ by third equation of (2.13) and (2.12). Also, the preceding equation gives

$$2^{k-2b} (2^{\beta-k+a+b}-1) = 2^a (2^{a-b}-1) (2^{k-a-\alpha}-1).$$

Hence, $\alpha = k-2b$ and $2^{\beta-k+a+b}-1 = (2^{a-b}-1) (2^{k-a-\alpha}-1)$. But $(2^{a-b}-1) (2^{k-a-\alpha}-1) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and the preceding equality is possible if and only if $\beta - k + a + b = 1$, i. e. $2^{a-b}-1 = 1$. But then $a = b+1$, which contradicts to $a > b+1$. Therefore, $A_{2^{k-1}-2^b} = 0$. Then the equations (2.13) lead up to the weight distribution (2.2).

2) Let $a = b+1$. Then \mathcal{C} is an $[2^k-3, 2^b+1, k, 2^{k-1}-3, 2^{b-1}]$ code and has exactly four nonzero weights (by Lemma 1.6): $2^{k-1}-2^b-2^{b-1}$; $2^{k-1}-2^b$; $2^{k-1}-2^{b-1}$; 2^{k-1} . Using (1.4), we obtain

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{2^{k-1}-3, 2^b+1} + A_{2^{k-1}-2^b} + A_{2^{k-1}-2^{b-1}} + A_{2^{k-1}-2^{k-1}} &= 2^{k-a} - 3, \\ A_{2^{k-1}-2^b} + 2A_{2^{k-1}-2^{b-1}} + 3A_{2^{k-1}} &= 2^{k-a} - 3, \\ A_{2^{k-1}-2^{b-1}} + 3A_{2^{k-1}} &= 2^{k-2b} (2^{b-1} + 1) - 3. \end{aligned}$$

As in the case 1), we prove that the codewords of weight 2^{k-1} and respectively 2^{k-1} and $2^{k-1}-2^{b-1}$ form themselves a subspace, i. e.

$$A_{2^{k-1}} = 2^\beta - 1, \quad A_{2^{k-1}} + A_{2^{k-1}-2^{b-1}} = 2^\alpha - 1, \quad k \geq \alpha \geq \beta.$$

Then the third equation of (2.14) leads up to

$$2^\alpha + 2^{\beta+1} = 2^{k-b-1} + 2^{k-2b}.$$

There are two cases:

The first, $\alpha = k-2b$ and $\beta = k-b-2$. Then $k-2b \geq k-b-2$, i. e. $b \leq 2$. It contradicts to assumption $b > 2$.

The second, $\alpha = k-b-1$, $\beta = k-2b-1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 = 1, \quad A_{2^{k-1}} = 2^{k-a-b} - 1, \quad A_{2^{k-1}-2^b} = 2^{k-a-b} (2^a - 1), \\ A_{2^{k-1}-2^{b-1}} = 2^{k-a-b} (2^b - 1), \quad A_{2^{k-1}-3, 2^b+1} = 2^{k-a-b} (2^b - 1) (2^a - 1), \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly equality (2.2).

Therefore we have already showed that every $[2^k-2^a-2^b+1, k, 2^{k-1}-2^{a-1}-2^{b-1}]$ code \mathcal{C} has a weight distribution (2.2). Now we shall prove that $G_k(a; b)$ is generator matrix of \mathcal{C} .

Let $u \in \mathcal{C}$, $wt(u) = 2^{k-1}-2^{b-1}$. By induction hypothesis the res $(\mathcal{C}; u)$ has generator matrix

$$G_{k-1}(a; b-1) = S_{k-1} \setminus \left(\begin{array}{c} O' \\ S_a \end{array} \right) \setminus \left(\begin{array}{c} S_{b-1} \\ O'' \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} wt = 2^{k-2} - 2^{b-2} \\ \text{-----} \\ wt = 2^{k-2} \\ \text{-----} \\ wt = 2^{k-2} - 2^{a-1} \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{l} \} \quad b-1 \text{ rows} \\ \} \quad k-a-b \text{ rows} \\ \} \quad a \text{ rows} \end{array}$$

Then without loss of generality \mathcal{C} has generator matrix

$$G = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \begin{array}{l} \leftarrow 2^{k-1} - 2^{b-1} \rightarrow \\ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1 \ 1 \\ \text{wt}(v^1) = 2^{k-2} - 2^{b-2} \\ \hline \text{wt}(v^1) = 2^{k-2} \end{array} & \begin{array}{l} 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0 \ 0 \\ \text{wt}(v^0) = 2^{k-2} - 2^{b-2} \\ \hline \text{wt}(v^0) = 2^{k-2} \\ \hline \text{wt}(v^0) = 2^{k-2} - 2^{a-1} \end{array} \end{array} \right] \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} b-1 \text{ rows} \\ \\ a \text{ rows} \end{array}$$

Since \mathcal{C} has no repeated columns then $\text{wt}(v^1) = 2^{k-2} - x$, where $v = (v^1 | v^0) \in \mathcal{C}$ and x is integer ≥ 0 . The weight distribution of \mathcal{C} shows that $\text{wt}(v^1) = 2^{k-2} - 2^{b-2}$, for $\text{wt}(v^0) = 2^{k-2} - 2^{b-2}$ and $\text{wt}(v^1) = 2^{k-2}$ (after adding u to v , if $\text{wt}(v^1) = 2^{k-2} - 2^{b-1}$), for $\text{wt}(v^0) = \{2^{k-2} \text{ or } 2^{k-2} - 2^{a-1}\}$.

Let $v, w \in \mathcal{C}$. Using (2.10), we obtain that if $\text{wt}(v^1) = \text{wt}(w^1) = 2^{k-2}$ then $\text{wt}(v^1 + w^1) = 2^{k-2}$, and if $\text{wt}(v^1) = 2^{k-2}$, $\text{wt}(w^1) = 2^{k-2} - 2^{b-2}$, then $\text{wt}(v^1 + w^1) = 2^{k-2} - 2^{b-2}$. Therefore, the matrix A (formed by first $2^{k-1} - 2^{b-1}$ columns and the last $k-1$ rows) generate a $[2^{k-1} - 2^{b-1}, k-1, 2^{k-2} - 2^{b-2}]$ Mac-Donald code, i. e., according Theorem 1.2, we can regard the matrix A as $S_k \setminus \binom{S_{b-1}}{0}$ (after permuting the columns). But then

$$G \equiv S_k \setminus \binom{S_b}{0'} \setminus \binom{0''}{S_a} \equiv G_k(a; b).$$

The theorem is proved.

Acknowledgements are due to S. M. Dodunekov for setting the problem and for his encouragement throughout this work.

REFERENCES

1. J. H. Griesmer. A bound for error-correcting codes. *IBM J. Res. Develop.*, **4**, 1960, 532-542.
2. G. Solomon, J. Stiffler. Algebraically punctured cyclic codes. *Inf. and Control*, **8**, 1965, 170-179.
3. B. Belov, V. Logachev, V. Sandimirov. Constructing class linear binary codes, which meet the Griesmer bound. *Problems Inform. Transmission*, **10**, 1974, No 3, 36-44.
4. B. Belov. A conjecture on the Griesmer bound. Optimization methods their applications. *All-Union Summer Sem.*, 1974, 100-106.
5. T. Helleseth, H. C. A. van Tilborg. A new class of codes meeting the Griesmer bound. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*. **IT-27**, 1981, No 5, 548-555.
6. H. C. A. van Tilborg. On the uniqueness resp. nonexistence of certain codes meeting the Griesmer bound. *Inf. and Control*, **44**, 1980, No 1, 16-35.
7. S. Dodunekov, N. Manev. A characterization of two classes of codes meeting the Griesmer bound. *Problems Inform. Transmission*, **19**, 1983, No 4, 3-10.
8. F. J. Mac Williams, N. J. A. Sloane. The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes. *North Holland Math. Library*, **16**, 1977, Amsterdam.
9. H. C. A. van Tilborg. The smallest length of binary 7-dimensional linear codes with prescribed minimum distance. *Discrete Math.*, **33**, 1981, No 2, 197-207.
10. S. Dodunekov, N. Manev. Minimal possible block length of a linear binary code for some minimum distances. *Problems Inform. Transmission*, **20**, 1984, No 1, 12-18.