Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

## PLISKA STUDIA MATHEMATICA BULGARICA IN A C KA BUATAPCKU MATEMATUЧЕСКИ

СТУДИИ

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints. Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Pliska Studia Mathematica Bulgarica
visit the website of the journal http://www.math.bas.bg/~pliska/
or contact: Editorial Office
Pliska Studia Mathematica Bulgarica
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: pliska@math.bas.bg

## ON TWO ANALOGIES IN THE ALMOST HERMITIAN GEOMETRY

## **GROSIO STANILOV**

The paper is an extension of ideas and results given by G. Stanilov, F. Tricerri and L. Vanhecke

In the first part we give some analogical theorems of the classical theorem in the Reimannian geoemetry of Schouten and Struik for the case of the almost Hermitian geometry. In the first three theorems is used the classical notion of holomorphic sectional curvature as the first theorem in another more classical form is given in our earlier paper [1]. In the second three theorems we used our notion of Kählerian defect for 4-dimensional holomorphical tangent subspace given in our paper [5].

In the second part we discuss the problem about the global constancy of the Kählerian defect. Here we have theorem 9 which is a full analog of a theorem from A. Gray and L. Vanhecke where is treated the corresponded problem for the holomorphic

sectional curvature in the geometry of  $QK_2$ -manifolds.

In the third part we give an interpretation of the well-known almost Hermitian manifolds of constant and conformal type. Namely an almost Hermitian manifolds is of constan (conformal) type iff the projections  $p_i(R) = 0, i = 5, 6, \ldots, 10$  ( $p_i(R) = 0, \ldots, 10$ ).

In the fourth part we consider an analogous tensor  $C^*(R)$  of the classical Weyl's tensor C(R) in the almost Hermitian geometry. For their first components we prove theorem 13 which says that  $C_1(R)$  (resp.  $C_1^*(R)$ ) is the projection of the curvature tensor R on the 1-dimensional U(n)-invariant subspace orthogonal to the tensor  $\pi_1(\text{resp. }\pi_2)$ .

One can say that in the paper among the results is underlined the analogy in the almost Hermitian geometry which exists and has an important meaning between:

i) the holomorphic sectional curvature and the Kählerian defect and

ii) the Weyl's tensor C(R) and its analogous tensor  $C^*(R)$ .

1. Some analogical theorems of the classical theorem of Schouten and Struik. In [6] we find the following theorem: A Riemannian manifold of dimension n 3 is of constant sectional curvature iff it is an Einstein manifold and conformal flat. We give now to this theorem six analogical assertions in the geometry of the almost Hermitian manifolds.

Let (M, g, J) be a 2n-dimensional almost Hermitian manifold, R — the curvature tensor in respect to the Levi—Civita connection. The classical Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature we denote now by  $\rho(R)$  and  $\tau(R)$ . The proper Ricci tensor and the proper scalar curvature we denote by  $\rho^*(R)$  and  $\tau^*(R)$  [1, 3, 7]. The projections  $p_i(R)$ ,  $i=1, 2, \ldots, 10$ , of R on the U(n)-irreducible components of the curvature tensors space are given in the following way [6]:

$$p_1(R) = \frac{\tau(R) + 3\tau^*(R)}{16n(n+1)} (\pi_1 + \pi_2),$$

PLISKA Studia mathematica bulgarica. Vol. 9, 1987, p. 87-97.

$$\begin{split} p_{2}(R) &= \frac{1}{16(n+2)} \left( \phi + \psi \right) \left\{ (\rho + 3\rho^{*}) \left( R + L_{3}R \right) - \frac{1}{n} (\tau(R) + 3\tau^{*}(R)) g \right\}, \\ p_{8}(R) &= \frac{1}{8} (I + L_{1}) \left( I + L_{2} \right) \left( I + L_{3} \right) R - p_{1}(R) - p_{2}(R); \\ p_{4}(R) &= \frac{\tau(R) - \tau^{*}(R)}{16n(n-1)} \left( 3\pi_{1} - \pi_{2} \right), \\ p_{5}(R) &= \frac{1}{16(n-2)} (3\phi - \psi) \left\{ (\rho - \rho^{*}) \left( R + L_{3}R \right) - \frac{1}{n} (\tau(R) - \tau^{*}(R)) g \right\}, \\ p_{6}(R) &= \frac{1}{8} \left( I - L_{1} \right) \left( I + L_{2} \right) \left( I + L_{3} \right) R - p_{4}(R) - p_{5}(R); \\ p_{7}(R) &= \frac{1}{4} \left( I - L_{2} \right) \left( I + L_{3} \right) R; \\ p_{8}(R) &= \frac{1}{4(n-1)} \phi \left( \rho(R - L_{3}R) \right), \\ p_{9}(R) &= \frac{1}{4(n+1)} \psi (\rho^{*}(R - L_{3}R)), \\ p_{10}(R) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( I - L_{3} \right) R - p_{8}(R) - p_{9}(R). \end{split}$$

Here:

a) if S is a symmetric tensor of type (0,2)  $\varphi(S)$  denotes the following curvature tensor

$$\varphi(S)(x, y, z, u) = g(x, z)S(y, u) + g(y, u)S(x, z) - g(x, u)S(y, z) - g(y, z)S(x, u);$$

b) if S is a tensor of type (0,2) and

$$S(Jx, Jy) = S(y, x),$$

 $\psi(\mathcal{S})$  denotes the following curvature tensor

$$\psi(S)(x, y, z, u) = 2g(x, Jy)S(z, Ju) + 2g(z, Ju)S(x, Jy) + g(x, Jz)S(y, Ju) + g(y, Ju)S(x, Jz) - g(x, Ju)S(y, Jz) - g(y, Jz)S(x, Ju);$$

- c)  $\varphi(g) = 2\pi_1$ ,  $\psi(g) = 2\pi_2$  and  $\pi_1$ ,  $\pi_2$  are the basic curvature tensors invariant under the action of U(n).
  - d) the operators  $L_i$ , i=1, 2, 3, are defined in the following way:

$$(L_1R)(x, y, z, u) = \frac{1}{2} \{R(Jx, Jy, z, u) + R(y, Jz, Jx, u) + R(Jz, x, Jy, u)\}$$

for all tensors R with the property

(1) 
$$R(x, y, z, u) = R(Jx, Jy, z, u) + R(Jx, y, Jz, u) + R(Jx, y, z, Ju);$$

$$(L_2R)(x, y, z, u) = \frac{1}{2} \{R(x, y, z, u) + R(Jx, Jy, z, u) + R(Jx, y, Jz, u) + R(Jx, y, Jz, u)\}$$

for all tensors with the property

(2) 
$$R(Jx, Jy, Jz, Ju) = R(x, y, z, u);$$
$$(L_2R)(x, y, z, u) = R(Jx, Jy, Jz, Ju)$$

for any curvature tensor R.

The holomorphic sectional curvature of direction x at a point  $p \in M$  in respect to R is denoted by  $H_R(p; x)$ . Let x, y, Jx, Jy be an orthonormal basis for a holomorphical 4-dimensional tangent subspace  $E^4$  of the tangent space  $M_p$ . We have the curvature  $K_1(p)$  defined in [9] where  $K_2(p)$  defined in [9] where  $K_1(p)$  defined in [9] where  $K_2(p)$  defined in [9] where  $K_1(p)$  defined in [9] where  $K_2(p)$  defined in [9] where  $K_1(p)$  defined in [9] where  $K_2(p)$  defined in [9] where  $K_1(p)$  defined in [9] where  $K_2(p)$  defined in [9] where  $K_1(p)$  defined in [9] where  $K_2(p)$  defined in [9] where  $K_1(p)$  defined in [9] where  $K_2(p)$  defined in [9] where  $K_1(p)$  defined in [9] where  $K_1(p)$ vature  $K_R(p; E^4)$ , defined in [2] using the linear mapping

$$y \in M_p \longrightarrow R(x, y, z) \in M_p$$

and is given by

$$K_R(p; E^4) = R(x, Jx, x, Jx) + R(x, Jy, x, Jy) + R(x, y, x, y) + R(Jx, Jy, Jx, Jy) + R(Jx, y, Jx, y) + R(y, Jy, y, Jy).$$

in the same way using the linear mapping

$$z \in M_p \longrightarrow R(Jz, x, Jy) \in M_p$$

we get the proper curvature [1, 3]

$$K_R^*(p; E^4) = R(x, Jx, x, Jx) + 2R(x, Jy, y, Jx) + R(y, Jy, y, Jy) + 2R(x, y, Jx, Jy).$$

In our paper [5] we have defined the notion "Kahlerian defect of a 4-dimensional holomorphical tangent subspace  $E^{4}$ "

$$\Delta_R(p; E^4) = K_R(p; E^4) - K_R^*(p; E^4).$$

In the Kahlerian geometry because of the identity

(3) 
$$R(x, y, z, u) = R(x, y, Jz, Ju)$$

(Kähler identity) the Kahlerian defect is identical zero

$$\Delta_R(p; E^4) = 0.$$

Let 
$$R_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{3} p_i(R)$$
,  $R_1^{\perp} = \sum_{i=4}^{6} p_i(R)$ .

At first our main goal is to show that the function  $H_R$  is related to the projection  $R_1$  and the function  $\Delta_R$  — to the projection  $R_1^{\perp}$ .

We have  $H_R = H_{R_1}$  [7, 5] and  $\Delta_R = \Delta_{R_1^{\perp}}$  [5].

Using the explicit formulas for the projections  $p_i(R)$ , we can compute

$$H_{p_1(R)}(x) = \frac{\tau(R) + 3\tau^*(R)}{4n(n+1)},$$

$$H_{p_2(R)}(x) = \frac{1}{2(n+2)} \{ (\rho + 3\rho^*) (R + L_3R)(x, x) - \frac{\tau(R) + 3\tau^*(R)}{n} g \},$$

$$H_{p_3(R)}(x) = H_R - H_{p_1(R)} - H_{p_2(R)};$$

$$\Delta_{p_4(R)}(x, y, Jx, Jy) = \frac{\tau(R) - \tau^*(R)}{n(n-1)},$$

$$\Delta_{\rho_{s}(R)}(x, y, Jx, Jy) = \frac{1}{n-2} \left\{ (\rho - \rho^{*}) \left( R + L_{3}R \right) (x, x) + (\rho - \rho^{*}) \left( R + L_{3}R \right) (y, y) - 2 \frac{\tau(R) - \tau^{*}(R)}{n} \right\},$$

$$\Delta_{\rho_{s}(R)}(x, y, Jx, Jy) = \Delta_{R} - \Delta_{\rho_{s}(R)} - \Delta_{\rho_{s}(R)}.$$

Now we have the following assertions:

Theorem 1.  $H_R = H_{p_1(R)} \Leftrightarrow R_1 = p_1(R)$ .

The condition  $H_R = H_{p_1(R)}$  means that  $H_R = \frac{\tau(R) + 3\tau^*(R)}{4n(n+1)}$  is pointwise constant. Then by a standard way it follows  $R_1 = p_1(R)$ . The assertion follows also by some results in [7].

The ore m 2.  $H_R = H_{p_2(R)} \Leftrightarrow R_1 = p_2(R)$ . The condition  $H_R = H_{p_2(R)}$  means

$$H_R(p; x) - \frac{1}{2(n+2)} (\rho + 3\rho^*) (R + L_3 R)(x, x) = -\frac{\tau(R) + 3\tau^*(R)}{2n(n+2)}$$

In [1] and [3] we have proved the following classification theorem: Let (M, g, J) be 2n-dimensional almost Hermitian manifold and for every unit direction  $x \in M_p$  and at every point  $p \in M$  the linear relation holds

$$\delta H_R(p; x) + \lambda S^*(p; x) = c, (S^* = (\rho + 3\rho^*) (R + L_3 R)/8,$$

where the functions  $\delta$ ,  $\lambda$ ,  $c \in FM$  don't depend of x. Then

- $\delta = 0 \Leftrightarrow S^*(p:x) = c^*(p);$
- $\delta \pm 0$ ,  $\lambda(n+2) + 4\delta = 0 \Leftrightarrow p_3(R) = 0$ ;
- (iii)  $\delta \pm 0$ ,  $\lambda(n+2) + 4\delta \pm 0 \Rightarrow H_p(p; x) = c'(p)$ ,

In our case we have  $\delta=1$ ,  $\lambda=-4/(n+2)$  and hence we are in the case (ii):  $p_3(R)=0$  From  $H_R=H_{p_3(R)}$  we have also  $H_{p_1(R)}=0$  which is equivalent to  $p_1(R)=0$ .

Theorem 3.  $H_R=H_{p_3(R)}\Leftrightarrow R_1=p_3(R)$ .

The proof follows by using the expression for  $H_{p_3(R)}$ .

Remark 1. The Theorem 3 gives an interesting information about the  $K_3$ -surfaces. It is well known that every  $K_3$ -surface is a Ricci flat Kähler manifold. In this case  $R=R_1$  and  $p_2(R)=0$ ,  $p_1(R)=0$ , which implies  $R=p_3(R)$ .

Theorem 4.  $\Delta_R=\Delta_{p_4(R)}\Leftrightarrow R_1^\perp=p_4(R)$ .

The condition  $\Delta_R=\Delta_{p_4(R)}\Leftrightarrow R_1^\perp=p_4(R)$ .

The condition  $\Delta_R = \Delta_{PA(R)}$  means that  $\Delta_R = (\tau(R) - \tau^*(R))/n(n-1)$  is pointwise constant. In [5] we have proved the following theorem:

For an almost Hermitian manifold of dimension 2n>6 the following assertion are equivalent:

- (i) The Kählerian defect is pointwise constant
- (ii)  $p_6(R) = 0$  and

$$(\rho - \rho^*)(R + L_3 R) = \frac{\tau(R) - \tau^*(R)}{n} g.$$

Now it is easy to see that the last relation is equivalent to  $p_5(R) = 0$ . Then the<sup>0</sup> rem 4 follows immediately.

Theorem 5.  $\Delta_R = \Delta_{p_5(R)} \Leftrightarrow R_1^{\perp} = p_5(R)$ .

Proof. We denote  $\sigma = (\rho - \rho^*) (R + L_3 R)$ . The condition  $\Delta_R = \Delta_{\rho_s(R)}$  by using the expression for  $\Delta_R$  and  $\Delta_{P_*(R)}$  gives

$$R(x, y, x, y) + R(Jx, Jy, Jx, Jy) + R(x, Jy, x, Jy)$$

$$+ R(Jx, y, Jx, y) - 2R(x, y, Jx, Jy) - 2R(x, Jy, y, Jx)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-2} \{ \sigma(x, x)g(y, y) + \sigma(y, y)g(x, x) - 2 \frac{\tau(R) - \tau^*(R)}{n(n-2)} g(x, x)g(y, y) \},$$

where (x, y, Jx, Jy) is an orthonormal basis for  $E^4$ . If (x, z, Jx, Jz) is an arbitrary basis for  $E^4$  the last relation implies

$$R(x, z, x, z) + R(Jx, Jz, Jx, Jz) + R(x, Jz, x, Jz)$$

$$+ R(Jx, z, Jx, z) - 2R(x, z, Jx, Jz) - 2R(x, Jz, z, Jx)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-2} \{ \sigma(x, x)g(z, z) + \sigma(z, z)g(x, x) - 2g(x, z)\sigma(x, z)$$

$$-2g(Jx, z)\sigma(Jx, z) - 2 \frac{\tau(R) - \tau^{\ddagger}(R)}{n(n-2)} (g(x, x)g(z, z) - g^{2}(x, z) - g^{2}(Jx, z)) \}.$$

Then by the standard way in [5] we get  $R_1^{\perp} = p_5(R)$ .

Theorem 6.  $\Delta_R = \Delta_{p_6(R)} \Leftrightarrow R_1^{\perp} = p_6(R)$ .

The proof follows by using the expression for  $\Delta_{p_5(R)}$ .

Remark 2. There are examples of almost Hermitian manifolds with  $R = p_1(R)$ .

They are Kähler manifolds of constant holomorphic sectional curvature. But there do not be a first section of the second curvature of the above not exist almost Hermitian manifolds with  $R = p_4(R) \neq 0$  [7]. With respect to the above remark 1 we state here the following problem: Do there exist almost Hermitian manifolds With curvature tensor  $R = p_6(R)$ ?

2. The problem about the global constancy of the Kählerian defect. An almost Hermitian manifold belongs to the class of  $QK_2$  manifolds iff the curvature tensor R satisfies the condition (1) and for the complex structure J holds

$$(\nabla_{Jx}J)y = -J(\nabla_xJ)y.$$

The following theorem is well known [8]:

Let (M, g, J) be 2n-dimensional connected manifold. If  $n \ge 2$  and the holomorphic sectional curvature  $H_R(p; x)$  is the pointwise constant  $H_R(p)$ , then  $H_R(p)$  is a global constant bal constant on the manifold.

For the same class of manifolds the same theorem is also true if we put  $\Delta_R$  on

the place of  $H_R$ . Namely we have the following

Theorem 7. Let (M, g, J) be 2n-dimensional connected  $QK_2$  manifold. If  $n \ge 3$  and the Kählerian defect  $\Delta_R(p; E^4)$  is the pointwise constant  $\Delta_R(p)$ , then  $\Delta_R(p)$  is a global constant on the manifold.

Proof. From the condition and using Theorem 4 it follows that  $p_5(R)=0$  is equi-

valent to the relation

$$\rho(R) - \rho^*(R) = \frac{\tau(R) - \tau^*(R)}{2n} g.$$

Now from [11] it is well known that the tensor fields  $\rho(R)$  and  $\rho^*(R)$  for a  $QK_2$  manifold satisfy the well-known identity in the Relativity theory;

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n} (\nabla e_i \rho)(x, e_i) = \frac{1}{2} x \tau.$$

92 G. Stanilov

Then by direct differentiation the above relation it follows that

$$\Delta_R(p) = \frac{\tau(R) - \tau^*(R)}{n(n-1)}$$

is a global constant on the manifold.

The proof follows also from our Theorem 3 in [4] if we put  $\lambda=1$ ,  $\mu=1$ . In [8] is shown that the Schur's lemma fails for the class of the Hermitian mani-

folds. Namely there is proved the following theorem: Let  $ds^2$  be the usual metric on  $C^n$  and  $f:C^n \to C$  any nonlinear holomorphic function. Then  $(C^n, (1+\operatorname{Re} f(z))^{-2} dS^2)$  is a Hermitian manifold with pointwise constant holomorphic function. morphic sectional curvature which is not globally constant.

We state now the same problem for the Kählerian defect. At first we have the

Theorem 8. Let (M, g, J) be 2n-dimensional almost Hermitian manifold. If n>3 and the manifold is conformal flat then:

- (i)  $\Delta(p; E^4) = 2(H(p; x) + H(p; y));$ (ii)  $\Delta(p; E^4) = \Delta(p) \Leftrightarrow H(p; x) = H(p).$

Proof. From [7] is known that if the manifold is conformal flat then

$$R = \frac{1}{2n-2} \varphi(\rho(R)) - \frac{\tau(R)}{(2n-1)(2n-2)} \pi_1.$$

By directly computation we get

$$H(p; x) = \frac{1}{2n-2} \{ \rho(R)(x, x) + \rho(R)(Jx, Jx) \} - \frac{\tau(R)}{(2n-1)(2n-2)},$$

$$\Delta(p; E^4) = \frac{2}{2n-2} \{ \rho(R)(x, x) + \rho(R)(Jx, Jx) + \rho(R)(y, y) \}$$

$$+\rho(R)(Jy, Jy)\}-\frac{4\tau(R)}{(2n-1)(2n-2)}$$

Then Theorem 8 follows immediatelly.

Using this theorem (assertion (ii)) and the result from [8] we can formulate the

Theorem 9. Let  $ds^2$  be the usual metric on  $C^n$  and  $f:C^n \to C$  any nonlinear holomorphic function. Then  $(C^n, (1+\operatorname{Re} f(z))^{-2}ds^2)$  is a Hermitian manifold with nointwise constant Kählerian defect which is not globally constant.

Hence Schur's lemma fails in respect to the Kählerian defect for the class of Hermitian manifolds.

Hermitian manifolds.

3. Almost Hermitian manifolds of conformal type and their generalizations An almost Hermitian manifold (M, g, J) is said to be of constant type  $\lambda$  [13] if

$$R(x, y, x, y)-R(x, y, Jx, Jy)=\lambda(p)$$

holds good for any antiholomorphic plane spaned by x, y (e. g.  $(x, y) \perp (Jx, Jy)$ ) at every point  $p \in M$ . The constant  $\lambda$  is equal to  $(\tau(R) - \tau^*(R))/4n(n-1)$ . This condition is equivalent to requirement that the curvature tensor

$$R - \frac{\tau(R) - \tau^*(R)}{4n(n-1)} \pi_1$$

satisfies the Kähler identity (3).

These manifolds are generalized in [10]. Namely an almost Hermitian manifold is of conformal type if the tensor

$$R - \frac{1}{2(n-2)} \varphi(\rho - \rho^*) (R) + \frac{\tau(R) - \tau^*(R)}{4(n-1)(n-2)} \pi_1$$

Now we will discuss both manifolds, e. g. to find their projections and to open way for their generalizations.

We shall do this in an elegant way. From the Riemannian geometry we have the construction [1]

$$\overline{R}(x, y, z, u) = \frac{1}{6} \{ 2R(x, y, z, u) + 2R(z, u, x, y) \}$$

$$+R(x, z, y, u)+R(y, u, x, z)+R(z, y, x, u)+R(x, u, z, y).$$

If R has only the properties

$$R(x, y, z, u) = -R(y, x, z, u) = -R(x, y, u, z),$$

in the case when R is the curvature in respect to a nonsymmetric Riemannian con-R has the same properties and satisfies also the first Bianchi's identity  $\frac{R}{R}$  is the unique tensor with the property

$$\overline{R}(x, y, x, y) = R(x, y, x, y),$$

 $\overline{R}$  g. R and  $\overline{R}$  have the equal sectional curvatures. Using the above construction we consider the mapping

$$\gamma: R \to \gamma(R)$$

defined by

$$6\gamma(R)(x, y, z, u) = 2R(x, y, Jz, Ju) + 2R(z, u, Jx, Jy)$$

+R(x, z, Jy, Ju)+R(y, u, Jx, Jz)+R(z, y, Jx, Ju)+R(x, u, Jz, Jy).R is a curvature tensor the same is also true for tensor  $\gamma(R)$  and

$$\gamma(R) = L_3 \gamma(R).$$

We omit now the long realculations and give some results:

$$\rho \circ \gamma(R) = \frac{1}{2} \rho^*(R + L_3R),$$

$$\rho^* \circ \gamma(R) = \frac{1}{6} (\rho + 2\rho^*) (R + L_3 R).$$

be projections of tensor  $\gamma(R)$  are:

$$p_i(\gamma(R)) = p_i(R), i = 1, 2, 3;$$

$$p_i(\gamma(R)) = -\frac{1}{3} p_i(R), i=4, 5, 6;$$

$$p_{7}(\gamma(R)) = -p_{7}(R);$$

$$p_{i}(\gamma(R)) = 0, \quad i = 8, 9, 10.$$

we have the following

94 G. Stanilov

Theorem 10. The decomposition of tensor  $\gamma(R)$  into irreducible orthogonal components for the action of the unitary group U(n) is given by

$$\gamma(R) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} p_i(R) - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=4}^{6} p_i(R) - p_7(R),$$

or equivalently

$$R - \gamma(R) = \frac{4}{3} \sum_{i=4}^{6} p_i(R) + 2p_7(R) + \sum_{i=8}^{10} p_i(R).$$

As a consequence we have the following Corollary. In the case  $R=L_3R$  the following two assertions are equivalent

(i) 
$$R - \gamma(R) = \frac{4}{3} p_4(R)$$
;

(ii) 
$$p_5(R) = p_6(R) = p_7(R) = 0$$
.

This corollary is identical with the following assertion in [9]:

Let (M, g, J) be an almost Hermitian manifold of dimension  $2n \ge 8$  which satisfies (2). The manifold is of constant type iff it is of conformal type and

(4) 
$$\rho(R) - \rho^*(R) = \frac{\tau(R) - \tau^*(R)}{2n} g.$$

To show the identity first we observe that  $R = \gamma(R)$  iff R satisfies the Kähle identity. Because  $3\gamma(\pi_1) = \pi_2$  and (2) the condition (i) can be written as

$$(R - \frac{\tau(R) - \tau^*(R)}{4n(n-1)} \pi_1) (x, y, z, u) = (R - \frac{\tau(R) - \tau^*(R)}{4n(n-1)} \pi_1) (x, y, Jz, Ju),$$

which says that (M, g, J) is a manifold of constant type.

Now we consider the condition (ii). Because of

$$\rho \circ p_5(R) = \frac{3}{8} \{ (\rho - \rho^*)(R + L_3 R) - \frac{\tau(R) - \tau^*(R)}{n} g \}$$

and  $R = L_3 R$  the equality  $p_5(R) = 0$  is equivalent to the relation (4).

To finish the proof we observe that the following assertions are true:

a) if S is symmetric and J-invariant tensor of type (0,2) then

$$3\gamma(\varphi(S)) = \psi(S)$$
;

b) if R satisfies (1) then

$$3\gamma(R)-2L_1(R)=R$$

Using these facts and  $p_7(R)=0$ ,  $R=L_3R$  the equality  $p_6(R)=0$  or equivalently  $R_1^{\perp}=p_4(R)+p_5(R)$  implies

$$3R - 3\gamma(R) = \frac{\tau(R) - \tau^*(R)}{4n(n-1)} (3\pi_1 - \pi_2)$$

$$+\frac{1}{2(n-2)}(3\varphi-\psi)\{(\rho-\rho^*)(K)-\frac{\tau(R)-\tau^*(R)}{n}g\}$$

which says that the tensor

$$R - \frac{1}{2(n-2)} \ \phi \circ (\rho - \rho^*) (R) + \frac{(\tau - \tau^*) (R)}{4(n-1)(n-2)} \ \pi_1$$

Attisfies the Kähler identity. But this is the condition (M, g, J) to be a manifold of

The above considerations give us the possibility to formulate the following two

Theorem 11. (M, g, J) with (2) is an almost Hermitian manifold of constant type iff  $p_i(R)=0$ , i=5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10. Theorem 12. (M, g, J) with (2) is an almost Hermitian manifold of conformal iff  $p_i(R)=0$ , i=6, 7, 8, 9, 10.

4. An analogous tensor of the Weyl's tensor in the almost Hermitian geois given in the following way:

$$C(R) = R - \frac{1}{2n-2} \ \phi \circ \rho(R) + \frac{\tau(R)}{(2n-1)(2n-2)} \ \pi_1.$$

 $^{eta es}$  ides this tensor is decomposed into 7 orthogonal components:

$$C(R) = C_1(R) + C_2(R) + \sum p_i(R), i = 3, 6, 7, 9, 10,$$

Where

$$C_1(R) = \frac{\tau(R) - (2n-1)\tau^*(R)}{8n(n^2-1)(2n-1)} \{3\pi_1 - (2n-1)\pi_2\},$$

$$C_2(R) = \frac{1}{n-1} \{3\varphi - (n-1)\psi\} (S_2 - S_1),$$

and

$$16(n+2)S_1 = (\rho + 3\rho^*)(R + L_3R) - \frac{1}{n}(\tau + 3\tau^*)(R)g.$$

$$16(n-2)S_2 = (\rho - \rho^*) (R + L_3 R) - \frac{1}{n} (\tau - \tau^*) (R) g$$

these components are conformal invariants and are characterized by the requirethey have Ricci tensor  $\rho = 0$ .

At the recommendation of mine in [14] is founded an analog of the tensor C(R).  $^{\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{a}}}$ mely:

$$C^*(R) = R - \frac{1}{2n+2} \psi_0 \rho^*(R) + \frac{\tau^*(R)}{(2n+1)(2n+2)} \pi_2$$

tensor is decomposed into 7 orthogonal components

$$C^*(R) = C_1^*(R) + C_2^*(R) + \sum p_i(R), i = 3, 6, 7, 8, 10,$$

 $^{\text{Where}}$ 

$$C_1^*(R) = \frac{(2n+1) \ \tau(R) - 3\tau^*(R)}{8n(n^2-1)(2n+1)} \{ (2n+1)\pi_1 - \pi_2 \},$$

$$C_2^*(R) = \frac{1}{n+1} \{ (n+1)\phi - \psi \} (S_1 + 3S_2).$$

96 G. Stanilov

All these components are characterized by the requirement they have \* — Ricci tensor  $\rho^* = 0$ .

The tensor  $C^*(R)$  is a new one even in the Kählerian geometry. It follows by the next theorem 13 which gives an interesting geometric meaning of the tensor  $C_1(R)$  and  $C_1^*(R)$ .

Theorem 13. (i) In the U(n)-invariant 2-dimensional subspace spanned by the "vectors"  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$ , the tensor  $C_1(R)$  is orthogonal to the tensor  $\pi_1$  and  $C_1^*(R)$  is orthogonal to the tensor  $\pi_2$ ; (ii)  $C_1(R)$  is the projection of the tensor R on the 1-dimensional U(n)-invariant subspace orthogonal to  $\pi_1$  and  $C_1^*(R)$  is the projection of the tensor R on the 1-dimensional U(n)-invariant subspace orthogonal to  $\pi_2$ .

Proof. The first part follows by the formulas [7]

$$\langle R_1 \pi_1 \rangle = 2\tau(R), \quad \langle R, \pi_2 \rangle = 6\tau^*(R)$$

and

$$\tau(\pi_1) = 2n(2n-1), \quad \tau(\pi_2) = 6n,$$
  
 $\tau^*(\pi_1) = 2n, \quad \tau^*(\pi_2) = 2n(2n+1).$ 

To prove the second part we take the "unit" tensor

$$e = \frac{3\pi_1 - (2n-1)\pi_2}{\sqrt{48n(n^2-1)(2n-1)}},$$

orthogonal to  $\pi_1$  and compute that

$$\langle R, e \rangle e = C_1(R)$$
.

Also

$$e^* = \frac{(2n+1)\pi_1 - \pi_2}{\sqrt{16n(n^2-1)(2n+1)}}$$

is a "unit" tensor orthogonal to  $\boldsymbol{\pi}_2$  and

$$\langle R, e^* \rangle e^* = C_1^*(R).$$

We finish our considerations with the following Theorem 14. Let (M, g, J) be 2n-dimensional almost Hermitian manifold. If n>3 and  $C^*(R)=0$  then

i) 
$$\Delta(p; E^4) = -\frac{2}{3} \{ H(p; x) + H(p; y) \};$$

ii) 
$$\Delta(p; E^4) = \Delta(p) \Leftrightarrow H(p; x) = H(p)$$
.

The proof is going in the following way:  $C^*(R)=0$  implies

$$H(p; x) = \frac{3}{n+1} \rho^*(R)(x, x) + \frac{(3\tau^*(R))}{(2n+1)(2n+2)};$$

$$\Delta(p; E^4) = -\frac{2}{n+1} \left\{ \rho^*(R) (x, x) + \rho^*(R) (y, y) \right\} - \frac{4\tau^*(R)}{(2n+1)(2n+2)}$$

from which it follows (i) and then (ii).

We remark that Theorem 14 is an analogous theorem of Theorem 8.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Г. Станилов. Върху геометрията на римановите и на почти ермитовите миогообразия. Докторска дисертация, ЕЦММ, София 1978.
- 2. G. Stanilov. Eine Verallgemeinerung der Schnittkrümmung. Arch. d. Math., XXI, 1970, No 4, 424-428.
- 3. G. Stanilov. On the Geometry of the Riemannian and almost Hermitian manifolds. Colloquia
- Math. Societats Janos Bolyai, 31, Diff. Geom., Budapest, 1979, 667—679. 4. G. Stanilov. Generalized Einsteinnian almost Hermitian manifolds. Ann. de L'Univ. de Sofia,
- Faculte de Math. et Mech., 76 (to appear).

  5. G. Stanilov. Geometric Interpretation of some transformations of curvature-like tensors. Tensor, N. S., 37, 1982, 155—161.
- 6. J. Schouten, D. Struik. On some properties of general manifolds relating to Einstein's theory of gravitation. *Amer. J. Math.*, 43, 1921, 213—216.
  7. F. Tricerri, L. Vanhecke. Curvature tensors on almost Hermitian manifolds. *Trans. Amer. Math.*
- Soc., 267, 1981, 365-398.
- 8. A. Gray, L. Vanhecke. Almost Hermitian manifolds with constant holomorphic sectional curvature. Czechoclovak Math. J., 104, 1979, 170—179.
- 9. G. G and he v. Almost Hermitian manifolds of the class  $AH_3$  of conformal type. Mathem. and Educ. in Mathem., Proc. of the 12th Spring Conf. Union Bulg. Math., Sunny Beach, 1983, 225—2**3**2.
- 10. G. Ganchev. Analong of Herglotz's theorem for  $QK_2$ -manifolds. Mathem. and Educ. in Mathem.,
- Proc. of the 8th Spring Conf. Union Bulg. Math.. Sunny Beach, 1979, 149—163.

  11. G. Ganchev. Conformal type, Bochner tensor and generalized Bochner tensor for almost Hermitian manifolds wits J-invariant curvature tensor. C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci., 34, 1981, 945—948.

  12. A. N. Todorov. Applications of the Kähler—Einstein—Calabi—Yau metric to moduli of K<sub>3</sub>-variates and the statements.
- surfaces. Invent. Math., 61, 1980, 251-265.
- 13. L. Vanhecke, F. Bouten. Constant type for almost Hermitian manifolds. Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie, 20, 1976, 415—422.
  14. I. Metodieva. Analog of the Weyl's tensor in the almost Hermitian geometry. Mathem. and Educ. in Mathem., Proc. of the 11th Spring Conf. of the Union of Bulg. Math., 1982, 224—225.

Centre for Mathematics and Mechanics 1090 Sofia P. O. Box 373

Received 4. VI. 1984