

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

PLISKA

STUDIA MATHEMATICA
BULGARICA

ПЛИСКА

БЪЛГАРСКИ
МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИ
СТУДИИ

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Pliska Studia Mathematica Bulgarica
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~pliska/>
or contact: Editorial Office

Pliska Studia Mathematica Bulgarica
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: pliska@math.bas.bg

ON THE HOMOGENEOUS-POLYNOMIALLY CONVEX HULL OF UNIONS OF BALLS

GULMIRZA KHUDAJBERGANOV

It is constructed a polynomially convex compact K of \mathbb{C}^n consisting of n disjoint closed balls not containing the origin for which \hat{K}_C contains a ball centered at zero.

Let \mathbb{C}^n be the space of n complex variables. Denote by Q a set of homogeneous polynomials of \mathbb{C}^n . For any compact $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ we call homogeneous-polynomially convex hull of the following set:

$$\hat{K}_Q = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |p(z)| \leq \sup_K |p(w)| \text{ for all } p \in Q\}.$$

K is called a homogeneous-polynomially convex set if $\hat{K}_Q = K$. It is easy to see that \hat{K}_Q is a complete circled compact centered at zero. A similar definition for the class \mathcal{S} of homogeneous polynomials in \mathbb{C}^n of the form

$$f(z) = \prod_{j=1}^m (\alpha_1^j z_1 + \alpha_2^j z_2 + \dots + \alpha_n^j z_n) \text{ for all } m=1, 2, \dots,$$

was introduced in [1] (the corresponding hull is denoted by \hat{K}_S). Note that both definitions coincide in \mathbb{C}^2 .

The following relations hold true: $\hat{K} \subseteq \hat{K}_Q \subseteq \hat{K}_S$ (here \hat{K} is the usual polynomially convex hull of K). In [2] it is proved that if K is a circled compact of \mathbb{C}^n , then $\hat{K}_Q = \hat{K}$.

Let \hat{K}_C be the smallest complete circled compact centered at zero that contains K and let $B = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| \leq 1\}$ be a unit ball with boundary ∂B . It is obvious that $\hat{K}_C \subset \hat{K}_Q$.

In this article it is constructed a polynomially convex compact K of \mathbb{C}^n consisting of n disjoint closed balls of radii less than 1 with centers on ∂B for which \hat{K}_C contains a ball centered at zero. It is shown also that the number of these balls n can not be restricted. Moreover, it is not possible to replace the balls by linearly convex compacts for to restrict their number (Theorem 1).

A domain D is linearly convex if for every point $\xi \in \partial D$ there is a $(n-1)$ -dimensional analytical plane passing through ξ without crossing \mathcal{D} .

A compact set is called linearly convex if it can be approximated from outside by linearly convex domains (componentwise).

Further, it is proved the existence of a circled compact on ∂B the polynomially convex hull of which contains a closed ball with independent of n radius (Theorem 2).

Theorem 1. For any sufficiently small number $\delta > 0$, of \mathbb{C}^n there exist n disjoint closed balls not containing zero and centered on ∂B , the union K of which is polynomially convex while \hat{K}_C (note that $\hat{K}_C \subset \hat{K}_Q$) contains the ball $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| \leq 2 - \sqrt{2} - \delta\}$. At the same time, if K is the union of any $n-1$ closed disjoint linearly convex compacts (in particular balls) not containing zero then \hat{K}_C does not contain any neighbourhood of zero.

To prove this theorem we need the following

Lemma. In \mathbb{C}^n there exist n disconnected closed balls of radii less than 1 and centered on ∂B such that any complex line passing through zero crosses, at least, one of these balls.

Proof of the Lemma. Consider n disjoint closed balls of \mathbb{C}^n of the form

$$B_m = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \sum_{v=1}^n |z_v - a_v^{(m)}|^2 \leq R_m^2\},$$

where $a^{(m)} = (0, \dots, 0, \underset{(m)}{1}, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $R_m < 1$, $m = 1, \dots, n$.

Denote

$$L_\lambda = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : z_2 = \lambda_1 z_1, z_3 = \lambda_2 z_1, \dots, z_n = \lambda_{n-1} z_1\},$$

where $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. We have:

$$B_1 \cap L_\lambda = \left\{ z_1 \in \mathbb{C}^1 : \left| z_1 - \frac{1}{1 + |\lambda|^2} \right|^2 \leq \frac{1}{(1 + |\lambda|^2)^2} - \frac{1 - R_1^2}{1 + |\lambda|^2} \right\},$$

where $|\lambda|^2 = |\lambda_1|^2 + \dots + |\lambda_{n-1}|^2$. It follows that if $|\lambda| \leq R_1 / \sqrt{1 - R_1^2}$ then the complex line L_λ crosses B_1 . Further

$$B_m \cap L_\lambda = \left\{ z_1 \in \mathbb{C}^1 : \left| z_1 - \frac{\bar{\lambda}_{m-1}}{1 + |\lambda|^2} \right|^2 \leq \frac{|\lambda_{m-1}|^2}{(1 + |\lambda|^2)^2} - \frac{1 - R_m^2}{1 + |\lambda|^2} \right\},$$

for all $m = 2, 3, \dots, n$.

Hence, for all λ with

$$-|\lambda_1|^2 - \dots - |\lambda_{m-2}|^2 + \frac{R_m^2}{1 - R_m^2} |\lambda_{m-1}|^2 - |\lambda_m|^2 - \dots - |\lambda_{n-1}|^2 \geq 1,$$

$m = 2, 3, \dots, n$, the complex line L_λ crosses B_m . Therefore, if the radii of the balls satisfies the conditions: $2(1 - R_m^2)/(2R_m^2 - 1) \leq R_1^2/(1 - R_1^2)$, then any complex line L_λ crosses at least one of the balls B_m , $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Note that these conditions are satisfied, for example, in the case when $R_1 = \sqrt{2/3} - \delta$, $R_m = 2\sqrt{2/3} - \delta$, $m = 2, 3, \dots, n$ (where $\delta > 0$ is a sufficiently small number).

It can be easily shown that all complex lines of the form

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : z_1 = 0, z_2 = \alpha_2 t, \dots, z_n = \alpha_n t\},$$

where $t \in \mathbb{C}^1$, $(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, also cross $\cup_{m=2}^n B_m$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let B_m , $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$, be closed balls as in Lemma's proof. As the centers of the balls are in \mathbb{R}^n their union K is polynomially convex [3, 4].

If one takes the radius R_1 of the ball B_1 sufficiently close to 1 (namely if $R_1 > 1 - \delta$, $\delta > 0$), then the radius R_m of the balls B_m , $m = 2, 3, \dots, n$, can be taken close to $\sqrt{2} - 1$ and therefore \hat{K}_C contains the whole ball of radius $2 - \sqrt{2} - \delta$ (by the cosine law). The first part of the theorem is proved.

Let us prove now the second part of the theorem. Let $K_j \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, be linearly convex compacts such that $K_j \cap \partial B \neq \emptyset$, K_j does not contain zero and $K_i \cap K_j = \emptyset$, $i \neq j$.

Consider the analytic planes

$$l_j = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : a_1^j z_1 + a_2^j z_2 + \dots + a_n^j z_n = 0\}$$

with $l_j \cap K_j = \emptyset$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

Since $(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K_j) \supset l_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, then

$$\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} K_j \right) = \bigcap_{j=1}^{n-1} (\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K_j) \supset \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{n-1} l_j \right).$$

Since the system

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^j z_k = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

has the following solution

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} z_1 = t_1 z_n \\ z_2 = t_2 z_n \\ \dots \dots \dots \\ z_{n-1} = t_{n-1} z_n \end{array} \right\} = l \subset \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} K_j \right),$$

it follows that there is a complex line l passing through the origin without crossing $K = \cup_{j=1}^{n-1} K_j$.

Remark. Similarly it can be shown also that in the space \mathbb{C}^n the minimal number of disjoint balls of radius less than 1 and centered on ∂B , such that any

complex linear subspace C^n of dimension r crosses at least one of the balls is equal to $n-r+1$.

Theorem 2. *If $K = \cup_{j=1}^n B_j$ is as in Theorem 1, then $(\hat{K}_c \cap \partial B)^\wedge$ contains the ball $\{z \in C^n : |z| \leq \sqrt{17/9}\}$.*

Remark. There are examples showing that $(\hat{K}_c \cap \partial B)^\wedge \neq (\hat{K}_c \cap B)$.

Proof. Let the points $(\beta, \dots, \beta, \alpha, \beta, \dots, \beta) \in (B_j \cap \partial B), j=1, 2, \dots, n$, be with real coordinates. This means that $\alpha^2 + (n-1)\beta^2 = 1$ and $(1-\alpha)^2 + (n-1)\beta^2 \leq 2/9$. From this it follows that: $8/9 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta = (1-\alpha^2)^{1/2}/(n-1)^{1/2}$.

Consider the torus

$$T_j = \{z \in C^n : |z_1| = \dots = |z_{j-1}| = |z_{j+1}| = \dots = |z_n| = \beta, |z_j| = \alpha\},$$

$j=1, 2, \dots, n$. It is obvious that $T_j \subset (\hat{B}_{j,c} \cap \partial B), j=1, 2, \dots, n$.

Denote $F_\gamma = \{z \in C^n : |z_1 \dots z_n| = \gamma\}$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{F_\gamma} \sqrt{|z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2} &= \sqrt{n} |z_1| \\ &= \sqrt{n} \alpha^{1/n} \beta^{(n-1)/n} = n^{1/2} \alpha^{1/n} (1-\alpha^2)^{(n-1)/2n} / (n-1)^{(n-1)/2n} = \rho_n(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Further, $\rho(\alpha) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n(\alpha) = (1-\alpha^2)^{1/2} > 0$. Consequently $\rho = \max_\alpha \rho(\alpha) = \sqrt{17/9}$. If we denote $E = \cup_{j=1}^n T_j$, then $\hat{E} \supset \hat{E}_Q \supset \{|z| \leq \sqrt{17/9}\}$, where \hat{E}_Q is the holomorphic convex hull E . According to our construction $E \subset (\hat{K}_c \cap \partial B)$.

The notion of projective capacity we use here was introduced in [5].

A homogeneous polynomial f of degree μ of C^n is called normalized if the following equality holds true:

$$\int_{\partial B} \ln |f| d\sigma = \mu \int_{\partial B} \ln |z_n| d\sigma,$$

where σ is the normalized "surface" measure on ∂B , i.e. $\int_{\partial B} d\sigma = 1$.

For any arbitrary circled compact $K \subset \partial B$ we denote $m_j = m_j(K) = \inf \{\sup_K |f|, \text{ for all normalized polynomials } f \text{ of degree } j\}, j=1, 2, \dots$

The projective capacity $\text{Cap}(K)$ is defined by the equality

$$\text{Cap}(K) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} m_j^{1/j} = \inf_j m_j^{1/j}.$$

Since z_1^j is a normalized polynomial, then

$$0 \leq m_j \leq \sup_K |z_1^j| \leq \sup_{\partial B} |z_1^j| = 1.$$

From this it follows that $0 \leq \text{Cap}(K) \leq 1$.

Theorem (Sibony-Wong-Alexander) [5]. *If $K \subset \partial B$ is a circled compact such that $\text{Cap}(K) > 0$ then the polynomially convex hull \hat{K} contains the ball $\{z \in C^n : |z| \leq \text{Cap}(K)\}$.*

For the projective capacity $K = \partial B$ the following estimate holds true:

$$n^{-1/2} e^{-(\gamma + \varepsilon_n)/2} \leq \text{Cap}(\partial B) \leq n^{-1/2},$$

where γ is the Euler's constant, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$. From this it follows that $\text{Cap}(\partial B) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and therefore in the given theorem the radius of the ball which is contained in \hat{K} tends to zero when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Note that, as it can be seen from Theorem 2, there are circled compacts $K \subset \partial B$, $K \neq \partial B$, such that \hat{K} contains a ball with independent from n radius.

REFERENCES

1. H. Alexander. A note on projective capacity. *Can. J. Math.*, **34**, 1982, No 6, 1319-1329.
2. G. Khudajberganov. A note on the homogeneous-polynomially convexity and on the projective capacity. — In: *Multidimensional Complex Analysis*, Krasnoyarsk, 1985, 261-264.
3. G. Khudajberganov. About one problem of Grauert's. *Doklady Akad. Nauk Uzbek. SSR*, 1975, No 3, 7-8.
4. G. Khudajberganov. On the one problem of Grauert. — In: *On the Holomorphic Functions of Several Complex Variables*. Krasnoyarsk, 1976, 123-130.
5. H. Alexander. Projective capacity. *Ann. Math. Studies*, **100**, 1981, 3-27.

Tashkent University
Faculty of Mathematics
700095 Tashkent USSR

Received 12.8.1985