

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

# Serdica

## Mathematical Journal

# Сердика

## Математическо списание

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.  
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.  
Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on  
Serdica Mathematical Journal  
which is the new series of  
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes  
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>  
or contact: Editorial Office  
Serdica Mathematical Journal  
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics  
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49  
e-mail: [serdica@math.bas.bg](mailto:serdica@math.bas.bg)

## RELATIVE COMPACTNESS FOR HYPERSPACES

Francesca Sianesi

*Communicated by R. Lucchetti*

ABSTRACT. The present paper contains results characterizing relatively compact subsets of the space of the closed subsets of a metrizable space, equipped with various hypertopologies.

We investigate the hyperspace topologies that admit a representation as weak topologies generated by families of gap functionals defined on closed sets, as well as hit-and-miss topologies and proximal-hit and-miss topologies.

**1. Preliminaries and introduction.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space; we denote by  $CL(X)$  the family of all closed subsets of  $X$  and by  $CL_0(X)$  the family of all nonempty closed subsets of  $X$ .

For  $x \in X$  and a nonempty subset  $A \subset X$ , the *distance* between  $x$  and  $A$  is defined as

$$d(x, A) = \inf_{a \in A} d(a, x).$$

We adopt the convention that the distance from a point to the empty set is  $\infty$ .

The *gap* between nonempty subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$  is given by

$$D(A, B) = \inf_{a \in A} d(a, B) = \inf_{b \in B} d(b, A).$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 54B20

*Key words*: hyperspaces topologies, relative compactness, sup measures, gap functional

Notice that gap reduces to ordinary distance when  $A$  is a singleton subset.

For a nonempty subset  $A$  of  $X$ , the (closed)  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood of  $A$  is the set

$$V_\epsilon[A] = \{x \in X : d(x, A) \leq \epsilon\}.$$

We call *hypertopology* a topology  $\tau$  defined on  $CL(X)$  such that, if we restrict  $\tau$  to the singleton subsets, the induced subspace agrees with the initial topology on  $X$ . The resulting topological space  $(CL(X), \tau)$  is called a *hyperspace*.

A basic class of hyperspace topologies is the family of the hit-and-miss topologies. Let us introduce some notation. Let  $E$  be a subset of  $X$ ; corresponding to  $E$  are these families of closed subsets of  $X$ :

$$\begin{aligned} E^- &= \{H \in CL(X) : H \cap E \neq \emptyset\} \\ E^+ &= \{H \in CL(X) : H \subset E\} \\ E^{++} &= \{H \in CL(X) : \exists \epsilon > 0 : V_\epsilon[H] \subset E\}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $\Omega$  is a subfamily of  $CL_0(X)$ . By the *hit-and-miss* topology determined by  $\Omega$ , we mean the topology having as a subbase all sets of the form  $G^-$ , where  $G$  is an open subset of  $X$ , and all sets of the form  $(F^c)^+$ , where  $F \in \Omega$ . By the *proximal-hit-and-miss* topology determined by  $\Omega$ , we mean the topology having as a subbase all sets of the form  $G^-$ , where  $G$  is an open subset of  $X$ , and all sets of the form  $(F^c)^{++}$ , where  $F \in \Omega$ .

The hit-and-miss topology determined by  $CL_0(X)$  is the well-known *Victoris topology*; choosing as  $\Omega$  the family of the nonempty compact subsets of  $X$  gives rise to the *Fell topology*; using the family of nonempty closed and bounded sets gives the *bounded Victoris topology*; using the closed balls gives the *ball topology*. The *proximal topology* is the proximal-hit-and-miss topology determined by  $CL_0(X)$ ; the *ball proximal topology* is determined by the family of the closed balls, and the *bounded proximal topology* is determined by nonempty closed and bounded subsets of  $X$ .

In the last few years many basic hyperspace topologies have been presented as weak topologies, i.e., as the weakest topologies on  $CL(X)$  for which each member of a given family of functionals is continuous. Moreover, most of hyperspace topologies admit a characterization as weak topologies generated by gap functionals.

The *Wijsman topology* is defined as the weak topology on  $CL(X)$  determined by the family of distance functionals

$$\{d(x, \cdot) : x \in X\} = \{D(\{x\}, \cdot) : x \in X\}.$$

The Vietoris topology is generated by

$$\{d(x, \cdot) : x \in X, d \in \mathcal{D}\},$$

where  $\mathcal{D}$  denotes the set of compatible metrics for  $X$ .

The proximal topology is the weak topology on  $CL(X)$  determined by the family of gap functionals

$$\{D(\cdot, F) : F \in CL_0(X)\}.$$

The bounded proximal topology is generated by

$$\{D(\cdot, C) : C \in CLB_0(X)\},$$

where  $CLB_0(X)$  denotes the class of the nonempty closed and bounded sets of  $X$ .

These results are special cases of a general phenomenon.

**Definition 1.** *A family  $\Omega$  of nonempty closed subsets of a metric space  $(X, d)$  is called stable under enlargements if*

$$\forall F \in \Omega \text{ and } \forall \epsilon > 0, \text{ we have } V_\epsilon[F] \in \Omega.$$

**Theorem** (Beer, Lucchetti [2]). *Let  $\Omega$  be a family of nonempty closed subsets of  $X$  stable under enlargements and containing the singleton subsets of  $X$ . Then the proximal- hit-and-miss topology on  $CL(X)$  determined by  $\Omega$  is the weak topology generated by  $\{D(\cdot, F) : F \in \Omega\}$ .*

The purpose of this paper is a characterization of relative compactness for the hyperspace of a metric space  $X$  equipped both with the weak topologies generated by families of gap functionals, and with the hit-and-miss topologies.

The main reference for this work is the article of O'Brien, Watson [3]: here their ideas are applied to the setting of hypertopologies.

That paper contain a result characterizing relative compactness for capacities, and an Ascoli theorem for the hyperspace equipped with the Vietoris topology is deduced as corollary.

Let us introduce capacities as in [3].

Let  $X$  be a topological space, and denote by  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  the collection of all subsets of  $X$ .

**Definition 2.** *A capacity on  $X$  is a function  $c : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that*

$$(i) \ c(\emptyset) = 0$$

$$(ii) \quad c(A) = \sup\{c(K) : K \text{ compact}, K \subset A\} \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{P}(X)$$

$$(iii) \quad c(K) = \inf\{c(G) : G \text{ open}, G \supset K\} \quad \forall K \text{ compact subset of } X.$$

**Definition 3.** We say that a capacity  $c$  is a sup measure if  $c(K_1 \cup K_2) = \max\{c(K_1), c(K_2)\} \quad \forall K_1, K_2 \text{ compact subsets of } X.$

The class of sup measures is denoted by  $\mathcal{SM}$ .

We define  $\mathcal{SM}_1$  to be

$$\{c \in \mathcal{SM} : c(K) \in \{0, 1\}\} \quad \forall K \text{ compact subset of } X.$$

There is a natural bijection between sup measures and upper semicontinuous functions.

If  $c \in \mathcal{SM}$ , then the function  $f_c : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ , such that  $f_c(x) = c(\{x\}) \quad \forall x \in X$ , is upper semicontinuous. Conversely, if  $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is upper semicontinuous, we define an element of  $\mathcal{SM}$  by setting  $c_f(A) = \sup\{f(x) : x \in A\}$ ,  $\forall A \in \mathcal{P}$ .

Also there is a bijection between  $\mathcal{SM}_1$  and then set consisting of all the closed subsets of  $X$ : if  $c \in \mathcal{SM}_1$ , the set  $H_c = \{x \in X : c(x) = 1\}$  is closed, and if  $H \subset X$  is closed we can define a sup measure  $c \in \mathcal{SM}_1$  by setting  $c(A) = \sup\{f(x) : x \in A\}$ , where  $f$  is the characteristic function of  $H$ .

In this paper we prove some Ascoli theorems for subsets of  $\mathcal{SM}_1$ . With suitable topologies,  $\mathcal{SM}_1$  and  $CL(X)$  are homeomorphic; so we can translate the results for sup measures into results for closed sets.

## 2. Relative compactness for sup measures.

**Definition 4.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $Y \subset X$ . We say  $Y$  is relatively compact in  $X$  if every net in  $Y$  has a cluster point in  $X$ .

Notice that  $Y \subset X$  being relatively compact does not imply that the closure of  $Y$  in  $X$  is compact (for a conterexample see [3]). However, if  $X$  is a regular space then  $Y \subset X$  is relatively compact if and only if the closure of  $Y$  in  $X$  is compact.

Let  $\Omega$  be a family of nonempty closed subsets of  $X$ ; we consider on  $\mathcal{SM}_1$  the topology  $\sigma_1$  having as a subbase all sets of the following forms:

$$\{c \in \mathcal{SM}_1 : c(G) = 1\},$$

$$\{c \in \mathcal{SM}_1 : \exists \epsilon > 0 : c(V_{\alpha+\epsilon}[F]) = 0\},$$

where  $G$  is open,  $F \in \Omega$ , and  $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$ .

The next theorem is a characterization of relative compactness for subsets of  $\mathcal{SM}_1$  with the topology just defined.

**Theorem 1.** *A closed (arbitrary) subset  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{SM}_1$  is  $\sigma_1$  (relatively) compact if and only if the following condition is true:*

(1) *for all  $F \in \Omega$ , all  $p < q$  in  $(0, \infty)$  and all open covers  $\mathcal{G}_0$  of  $V_q[F]$ , there is a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{G}_1$  of  $\mathcal{G}_0$  such that, if  $c \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $c(V_p[F]) = 1$ , there exists  $G \in \mathcal{G}_1$  such that  $c(G) = 1$ .*

PROOF. ( $\Rightarrow$ ): Suppose there are  $F \in \Omega$ ,  $p < q$  and an open cover  $\mathcal{G}_0$  of  $V_q[F]$  such that, for each finite collection  $\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m\} \subset \mathcal{G}_0$ , there is a  $c \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $c(V_p[F]) = 1$  and  $c(G_i) = 0$  for  $i = 1 \dots m$ . We order the finite subcollections of  $\mathcal{G}_0$  by saying that  $\mathcal{G}_1 \preceq \mathcal{G}_2$  if every element of  $\mathcal{G}_1$  belongs to  $\mathcal{G}_2$ ; in this way we obtain a directed set  $J$ . To each  $j \in J$ , i.e. a finite collection  $\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m\} \subset \mathcal{G}_0$ , it is associated a sup measure  $c_j \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $c_j(V_p[F]) = 1$  and  $c(G_i) = 0$  for  $i = 1 \dots m$ ; these sup measures form a net in  $\mathcal{A}$ :  $\{c_j : j \in J\}$ . Being  $\mathcal{A}$  relatively compact in  $\mathcal{SM}_1$ , the net has a cluster point  $d \in \mathcal{SM}_1$ . For all  $j \in J$   $c_j \in \{c \in \mathcal{SM}_1 : \forall \epsilon > 0 \ c(V_{p+\epsilon}[F]) = 1\}$ , and this set is closed, so  $d(V_q[F]) = 1$  and then there will be an open set  $G \in \mathcal{G}_0$  such that  $d(G) = 1$ . The open set  $\{c \in \mathcal{SM}_1 : c(G) = 1\}$  contains  $d$  and does not contains  $c_j$  for all  $j \succeq G$ . This is a contradiction.

( $\Leftarrow$ ): To prove that  $\mathcal{A}$  is relatively compact in  $\mathcal{SM}_1$  we show that every subbasic open cover of  $\mathcal{SM}_1$  has a finite subfamily which covers  $\mathcal{A}$  (see Lemma 1 in [3]). So let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a subbasic open cover of  $\mathcal{SM}_1$ . Define a function  $\lambda$  on  $X$  in the following way:  $\lambda(x) = 0$  if there is an open neighborhood  $V_x$  of  $x$  such that  $\{c \in \mathcal{SM}_1 : c(V_x) = 1\} \in \mathcal{U}$ , otherwise  $\lambda(x) = 1$ . It is easy to see that  $\lambda$  is upper semicontinuous, so if we put  $\lambda(A) = \sup \{\lambda(x) : x \in A\}$  for all  $A \subset X$ , we obtain an element of  $\mathcal{SM}_1$ . There is a subbasic  $W \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $\lambda \in W$ . If  $W$  had the form  $\{c \in \mathcal{SM}_1 : c(G) = 1\}$  for some open  $G$  of  $X$ , then  $\lambda(G) = 1$ , so  $W \notin \mathcal{U}$ . Thus  $W$  must have the form  $\{c \in \mathcal{SM}_1 : \exists \epsilon > 0 : c(V_{\alpha+\epsilon}[F]) = 0\}$  for some  $F \in \Omega$  and  $\alpha > 0$ . There is  $q > \alpha$  such that  $\lambda(V_q[F]) = 0$ , then for all  $x \in V_q[F]$  there exists an open set  $V_x \ni x$  such that  $\{c \in \mathcal{SM}_1 : c(V_x) = 1\} \in \mathcal{U}$ . Choosing  $p \in (\alpha, q)$ , there is a finite subcollection  $\{V_{x_1}, \dots, V_{x_m}\}$  of  $\{V_x : x \in V_q[F]\}$  such that, for all  $c \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $c(V_p[F]) = 1$ ,  $c(V_{x_i}) = 1$  for some  $i = 1 \dots m$ . Since  $\{c \in \mathcal{A} : c(V_p[F]) = 0\} \subset W$ , the finite subcollection of  $\mathcal{U}$  consisting of  $\{c \in \mathcal{SM}_1 : c(V_{x_i}) = 1\}, i = 1 \dots m$ , and  $W$ , covers  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

Again let  $\Omega$  be an arbitrary family of nonempty closed subsets of  $X$ . We define another topology  $\sigma_2$  on  $\mathcal{SM}_1$  as the smallest topology such that, for each open set  $G \subset X$  and each closed set  $F \in \Omega$ , the following sets are open

$$\{c \in \mathcal{SM}_1 : c(G) = 1\},$$

$$\{c \in \mathcal{SM}_1 : \exists \epsilon > 0 : c(V_\epsilon[F]) = 0\}.$$

With this topology, the characterization of relative compactness is expressed by the following theorem, whose proof is omitted being similar to the former one.

**Theorem 2.** *A closed (arbitrary) subset  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{SM}_1$  is  $\sigma_2$  (relatively) compact if and only if the following condition is true:*

(2) *for all  $F \in \Omega$ , all  $q > 0$  and all open covers  $\mathcal{G}_0$  of  $V_q[F]$ , there is a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{G}_1$  of  $\mathcal{G}_0$  such that, if  $c \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $c(V_p[F]) = 1 \forall p > 0$ , there exists  $G \in \mathcal{G}_1$  such that  $c(G) = 1$ .*

**Proposition 1.** *If  $\Omega \subset CL_0(X)$  is stable under enlargements then both conditions (1) and (2) in the previous theorems are equivalent to the following:*

(3) *for all  $F \in \Omega$ , all  $q > 0$  and all open covers  $\mathcal{G}_0$  of  $V_q[F]$ , there is a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{G}_1$  of  $\mathcal{G}_0$  such that, if  $c \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $c(F) = 1$ , there exists  $G \in \mathcal{G}_1$  such that  $c(G) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Obviously condition (2) implies condition (3). Conversely, suppose condition (3) holds and let  $F \in \Omega$ ,  $q > 0$  and  $\mathcal{G}_0$  be an open cover of  $V_q[F]$ . It is easy to see that  $V_{\frac{q}{3}}(V_{\frac{q}{3}}[F]) \subset V_q[F]$ , so  $\mathcal{G}_0$  covers  $V_{\frac{q}{3}}[H]$  where  $H = V_{\frac{q}{3}}[F] \in \Omega$ . Thus there is a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{G}_1$  of  $\mathcal{G}_0$  such that, for all  $c \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $c(H) = 1$ ,  $c(G) = 1$  for some  $G \in \mathcal{G}_1$ . The same finite subcollection  $\mathcal{G}_1$  of  $\mathcal{G}_0$  covers  $\{c \in \mathcal{A} : \forall p > 0 \ c(V_p[F]) = 1\}$ .

We prove now that conditions (1) and (3) are equivalent.

Suppose condition (3) holds and let  $F \in \Omega$ ,  $p < q$  and  $\mathcal{G}_0$  be an open cover of  $V_q[F]$ . If  $q = p + \epsilon$ , then  $\mathcal{G}_0$  covers  $V_{\frac{\epsilon}{3}}[H]$  where  $H = V_{p+\frac{\epsilon}{3}}[F] \in \Omega$ . Thus there is a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{G}_1$  of  $\mathcal{G}_0$  such that, if  $c \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $c(H) = 1$  then  $c(G) = 1$  for some  $G \in \mathcal{G}_1$ . As  $H \supset V_p[F]$ ,  $c(V_p[F]) = 1$  implies  $c(H) = 1$ .  $\square$

The last topology on  $\mathcal{SM}_1$  we want to consider is the one generated by the collection of the following sets:

$$\{c \in \mathcal{SM}_1 : c(G) = 1\},$$

$$\{c \in \mathcal{SM}_1 : c(F) = 0\},$$

where  $G$  is an open set of  $X$  and  $F$  belongs to a subfamily  $\Omega$  of  $CL_0(X)$ .

We denote this topology by  $\sigma_3$ .

**Theorem 3.** *A closed (arbitrary) subset  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{SM}_1$  is  $\sigma_3$  (relatively) compact if and only if for all  $F \in \Omega$  and all open covers  $\mathcal{G}_0$  of  $F$ , there is a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{G}_1$  of  $\mathcal{G}_0$  such that, if  $c \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $c(F) = 1$ , there exists  $G \in \mathcal{G}_1$  such that  $c(G) = 1$ .*

The proof of this theorem is very similar to the proof of Theorem 1, so we omit it.

**3. Relative compactness for hyperspaces.** Given a family  $\Omega$  of non-empty closed subsets of  $X$  containing the singleton subsets of  $X$ , we consider on  $CL(X)$  the weak topology generated by the family of functionals  $\{D(\cdot, F) : F \in \Omega\}$ , that is, the weakest topology on  $CL(X)$  such that for every  $F \in \Omega$ ,  $H \mapsto D(H, F)$  is a continuous function from  $CL(X)$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

This topology is denoted by  $\tau_1$ .

One can verify that the topology just defined on  $CL(X)$  admits as a subbase the collection of the following sets:

$$\{H \in CL(X) : H \cap G \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$\{H \in CL(X) : \exists \epsilon > 0 : H \cap V_{\alpha+\epsilon}[F] = \emptyset\},$$

where  $G$  is open,  $F \in \Omega$  and  $\alpha \geq 0$ .

The bijection between  $SM_1$  and  $CL(X)$  is a homeomorphism when  $SM_1$  is equipped with the  $\sigma_1$  topology and  $CL(X)$  with the topology defined above.

Theorem 1 yields the following

**Corollary 1.** *A closed (arbitrary) subset  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $CL(X)$  is  $\tau_1$  (relatively) compact if and only if for all  $F \in \Omega$ , all  $r < s$  in  $(0, \infty)$  and all open covers  $\mathcal{G}_0$  of  $V_s[F]$ , there is a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{G}_1$  of  $\mathcal{G}_0$  such that, if  $H \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $H \cap V_r[F] \neq \emptyset$ , there exists  $G \in \mathcal{G}_1$  such that  $H \cap G \neq \emptyset$ .*

Let  $\Omega$  be an arbitrary subfamily of  $CL_0(X)$ ; we consider now the topology  $\tau_2$  on  $CL(X)$  having as a subbase all sets of the forms:

$$\{H \in CL(X) : H \cap G \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$\{H \in CL(X) : \exists \epsilon > 0 : H \cap V_\epsilon[F] = \emptyset\},$$

for each open set  $G$  and each closed set  $F \in \Omega$ .

Theorem 2 yields the following

**Corollary 2.** *A closed (arbitrary) subset  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $CL(X)$  is  $\tau_2$  (relatively) compact if and only if for all  $F \in \Omega$ , all  $s > 0$  and all open covers  $\mathcal{G}_0$  of  $V_s[F]$ , there is a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{G}_1$  of  $\mathcal{G}_0$  such that, if  $H \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $H \cap V_r[F] \neq \emptyset \forall r > 0$ , there exists  $G \in \mathcal{G}_1$  such that  $H \cap G \neq \emptyset$ .*

Suppose now  $\Omega$  is stable under enlargements and contains the singleton subsets of  $X$ . It has been proved that the topology on  $CL(X)$  generated by all sets of the form  $\{H \in CL(X) : H \cap G \neq \emptyset\}$  where  $G$  is open, and all sets of the form  $\{H \in CL(X) : \exists \epsilon > 0 : H \cap V_\epsilon[F] = \emptyset\}$  where  $F \in \Omega$  (i.e. the



proximal-hit-and-miss topology determined by  $\Omega$ ), is the weakest topology on  $CL(X)$  such that for every  $F \in \Omega$ ,  $H \mapsto D(H, F)$  is continuous (see [2]). With this topology the characterization of relative compactness for closed sets is given by the following

**Corollary 3.** *A closed (arbitrary) subset  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $CL(X)$  is (relatively) compact if and only if for all  $F \in \Omega$ , all  $s > 0$  and all open covers  $\mathcal{G}_0$  of  $V_s[F]$ , there is a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{G}_1$  of  $\mathcal{G}_0$  such that, if  $H \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $H \cap F \neq \emptyset$ , there exists  $G \in \mathcal{G}_1$  such that  $H \cap G \neq \emptyset$ .*

Finally we consider on  $CL(X)$  the topology  $\tau_3$  generated by the following sets:

$$\{H \in CL(X) : H \cap G \neq \emptyset\}$$

$$\{H \in CL(X) : H \cap F = \emptyset\},$$

where  $G$  is open and  $F \in \Omega \subset CL_0(X)$  (i.e. the hit-and-miss topology determined by  $\Omega$ ).

**Corollary 4.** *A closed (arbitrary) subset  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $CL(X)$  is  $\tau_3$  relatively compact if and only if for all  $F \in \Omega$  and all open covers  $\mathcal{G}_0$  of  $F$ , there is a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{G}_1$  of  $\mathcal{G}_0$  such that, if  $H \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $H \cap F \neq \emptyset$ , there exists  $G \in \mathcal{G}_1$  such that  $H \cap G \neq \emptyset$ .*

From Corollary 4 we immediatly notice that, choosing as  $\Omega$  the class of the nonempty compact subsets of  $X$ , i.e. the Fell topology, then  $CL(X)$  is a compact space. So we obtain with a different proof a well-known result (see [1]).

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. BEER. Topologies on Closed and Closed Convex Sets. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [2] G. BEER, R. LUCCHETTI. Weak topologies for the closed subsets of a metrizable space. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **335** (1993), 805-822.
- [3] G. L. O'BRIEN, S. WATSON. Relative Compactness for Capacities, Measures, Upper Semicontinuous Functions and Closed Sets. Preprint, 1994.

*Dipartimento di Matematica*  
*Via Saldini 50*  
*20133 Milan, Italy*  
 e-mail `sianesi@vmmat.mat.unimi.it`

*Received November 27, 1997*  
*Revised February 6, 1998*