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#### Abstract

In this note we construct five new symmetric 2-(61,16,4) designs invariant under the dihedral group of order 10. As a by-product we obtain 25 new residual 2-( $45,12,4$ ) designs. The automorphism groups of all new designs are computed.


1. Introduction. Let $\mathcal{V}=\{0,1, \ldots, v-1\}$ be a finite set of elements called points, and let $\mathcal{B}=\left\{B_{0}, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{b-1}\right\}$ be a collection of $k$-element subsets of $\mathcal{V}$ called blocks. The incidence structure $\mathcal{D}=(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B})$ is a $2-(v, k, \lambda)$ design (or BIB $(v, k, \lambda)$ design) if every unordered pair of points is contained in exactly $\lambda$ blocks of $\mathcal{B}$. Each point from the point set of a 2-design is contained in a constant number of blocks. This number is usually denoted by $r$. Obviously,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda(v-1) & =r(k-1) \\
\lambda v(v-1) & =b k(k-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]Every design $\mathcal{D}$ is determined by its incidence matrix $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{D})=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{v \times b}$, where

$$
a_{i j}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } i \in B_{j} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Let $\mathcal{D}_{1}=\left(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B}_{1}\right)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{2}=\left(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B}_{2}\right)$ be two 2-designs with the same parameters. They are said to be isomorphic if there exists a permutation $\varphi \in S_{v}$ which maps the blocks of $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ onto the blocks of $\mathcal{B}_{2}$, i.e. $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\} \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$ implies $\left\{x_{1}^{\varphi}, x_{2}^{\varphi}, \ldots, x_{k}^{\varphi}\right\} \in \mathcal{B}_{2}$. If $\mathcal{D}_{1}=\mathcal{D}_{2}=\mathcal{D}$ the permutation $\varphi$ is called an automorphism of $\mathcal{D}$. The set of all automorphisms of $\mathcal{D}$ forms a group - the so-called full automorphism group of $\mathcal{D}$. We denote it by $A u t \mathcal{D}$. Every subgroup of Aut $\mathcal{D}$ is referred to as an automorphism group of $\mathcal{D}$.

It is well-known that for every design with $k<v$, one has $b \geq v$ [4]. Designs with $b=v$ are called symmetric. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a symmetric $2-(v, k, \lambda)$ design with incidence matrix $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{D})$. Then the matrix $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{D})^{t}$ is incidence matrix of a symmetric design with the same parameters, which is called the dual $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ of $\mathcal{D} . \mathcal{D}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ are not necessarily isomorphic.

Let $\mathcal{D}=(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B})$ be a symmetric $2-(v, k, \lambda)$ design and let $B$ be an arbitrary block from $\mathcal{B}$. Then the incidence structure $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}=\left(\mathcal{V} \backslash B, \mathcal{B}^{\prime}=\left\{B_{i} \backslash\right.\right.$ $\left.B\}_{i=1}^{b-1}\right)$ is a $2-(v-k, k-\lambda, \lambda)$ design. It is called the residual of $\mathcal{D}$ with respect to $B$. For further notions and results on 2-designs we refer to [2], [10].

In this note we consider symmetric $2-(61,16,4)$ designs and the residual $2-(45,12,4)$ designs. Only one $2-(61,16,4)$ design is known to exist. It has been constructed by Mitchell [8] as a member of an infinite family of symmetric designs (see also [9]). Using a different method we produce here five new 2-(61,16,4) designs and 25 new 2 - $(45,12,4)$ designs. Using a computer, we were able to compute the full automorphism group of all new designs.
2. Possible automorphism groups of $\mathbf{2 -}(\mathbf{6 1}, \mathbf{1 6}, 4)$ designs. In order to construct new symmetric $2-(61,16,4)$ designs we assume that they possess a nice group of automorphisms. We want it to be as large as possible. It turns out that the largest prime dividing the order of the full automorphism group of a hypothetical $2-(61,16,4)$ design is 5 . Moreover, an automorphism of order 5 fixes exactly one point and one block.

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a symmetric $2-(v, k, \lambda)$ design with an automorphism $\varphi$ of prime order $p$. It is well-known that an automorphism of a symmetric design
fixes the same number of points and blocks. Denote by $f$ the number of fixed points (blocks) and by $l=(v-f) / p$ the number of nontrivial point (block) orbits of $\mathcal{D}$ under $\langle\varphi\rangle$. The following lemma is due to Aschbacher [1].

Lemma 2.1. If $p$ is a prime which is an order of an automorphism of $a 2-(v, k, \lambda)$ design with $v>k$ then either $p$ divides $v$ or else $p \leq r$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a symmetric $2-(v, k, \lambda)$ design and let $p$ divide $\mid$ Aut $\mathcal{D} \mid, p>\lambda$. Then
(a) $l \geq f$;
(b) $l \geq\left\lceil\frac{k-f}{p}\right\rceil f$.

Proof. (a) Each fixed point is contained in a nontrivial block orbit. If not, there would be a point orbit contained in two different fixed blocks and thus there would be two blocks intersecting in more than $\lambda$ points. On the other hand, each block from a nontrivial block orbit contains at most one fixed point. This proves (a).
(b) Each fixed block contains at least $\lceil(k-f) / p\rceil$ nontrivial point orbits. Furthermore, a nontrivial point orbit is contained in at most one fixed block. This implies (b).

Theorem 2.3. The only primes which might be orders of automorphisms of a 2-(61,16,4) design $\mathcal{D}$ are 2, 3 and 5. An automorphism of order 5 of a hypothetical 2-(61,16,4) design fixes exactly one point (and one block).

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 the primes $p=2,3,5,7,11,13$, and 61 are admissible orders of automorphisms of a $2-(61,16,4)$ design. It is known that a $(61,16,4)$ difference set in the cyclic group of order 61 does not exist [6]. Hence $p=61$ is ruled out. By Lemma 2.2(a) the only possibilities for $p, f$ and $l$ are $p=7, f=5, l=8 ; p=5, f=6, l=11 ; p=5, f=1, l=12$. The first two are ruled out by Lemma 2.2(b).

Using similar arguments we can prove that the largest prime dividing the order of the full automorphism group of a $2-(45,12,4)$ design is 5 . Moreover, an automorphism of order 5 fixes no points and no blocks.
3. New symmetric $\mathbf{2 -}(\mathbf{6 1}, \mathbf{1 6}, 4)$ designs. In what follows, we consider symmetric $2-(61,16,4)$ designs with an automorphism $\varphi$ of order 5 . Without loss
of generality we may assume that

$$
\varphi=(0)(12 \ldots 5)(67 \ldots 10) \ldots(5657 \ldots 60)
$$

Let us note that such a design (if it exists) will be different from the one constructed by Mitchell. The Mitchell design has $C_{3} \times C_{3}$ as a full group of automorphisms.

Suppose there exists a $2-(61,16,4)$ design $\mathcal{D}$ with an automorphism group $G=\langle\varphi\rangle$. The orbit matrix $\mathbf{M}=\left(m_{i j}\right)_{i, j=0}^{12}$ of $\mathcal{D}$ with respect to $G$ is defined as a matrix whose rows and columns are indexed by the point and block orbits of $\mathcal{D}$, respectively, where $m_{i j}$ is the number of points from the $i$-th point orbit contained in a block from the $j$-th block orbit. Here we assume that the row (resp. column) indexed by 0 corresponds to the fixed point (resp. block). In this notation, an orbit matrix $\mathbf{M}$ satisfies the following equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{12} m_{i j}=15, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{12} m_{i j}^{2}=27, i=1,2,3 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{12} m_{i j}=16, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{12} m_{i j}^{2}=32, i=4,5, \ldots, 12 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{12} m_{\alpha j} m_{\beta j}=15,1 \leq \alpha<\beta \leq 3 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{12} m_{\alpha j} m_{\beta j}=20,1<=\alpha<\beta, \beta \geq 4 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using a computer we have found 2913 different matrices satisfying (3.13.4). To get a design we have to replace the entries $m_{i j}, i, j=1,2, \ldots, 12$, in every matrix $\mathbf{M}$ of this list by a (0,1)-circulant of order 5 having $m_{i j}$ ones per row (column). This has to be done in such a way that the resulting matrix is the incidence matrix of a $2-(61,16,4)$ design. In order to make the task of extending the 2913 (hypothetical) orbit matrices tractable, we assume an additional automorphism of order 2 :

$$
\psi=(0)(1)(25)(34)(6)(710)(89) \ldots(56)(5760)(5859)
$$

in other words, we assume that a hypothetical 2 - $(61,16,4)$ design is invariant under the dihedral group

$$
D_{10}=\left\langle\varphi, \psi \mid \varphi^{5}=\psi^{2}=i d, \psi^{-1} \varphi \psi=\varphi^{-1}\right\rangle .
$$

It turns out that just one of the matrices satisfying (3.1-3.4) yields designs. In fact, it gives five nonisomorphic designs, which we denote by $\mathcal{D}_{i}, i=1,2,3,4,5$. Their incidence matrices are denoted by $\mathbf{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{i}\right)$. It can be checked that $\mathbf{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{4}\right)=$ $\mathbf{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{1}\right)^{t}$ and $\mathbf{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{5}\right)=\mathbf{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{2}\right)^{t}$. The design $\mathcal{D}_{3}$ is self-dual. The incidence matrices of $\mathcal{D}_{1}, \mathcal{D}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{3}$ are given below.

$$
\mathbf{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc}
1 & \mathbf{e} & \mathbf{e} & \mathbf{e} & \mathbf{o} & \mathbf{o} & \mathbf{o} & \mathbf{o} & \mathbf{o} & \mathbf{o} & \mathbf{o} & \mathbf{o} & \mathbf{o} \\
\mathbf{e}^{t} & I & I & I & 0 & 0 & 0 & B & B & B & A & A & A \\
\mathbf{e}^{t} & I & I & I & A & A & A & 0 & 0 & 0 & B & B & B \\
\mathbf{e}^{t} & I & I & I & B & B & B & A & A & A & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\mathbf{o}^{t} & 0 & B & A & 0 & B & A & 0 & B & A & 0 & B & A \\
\mathbf{o}^{t} & 0 & B & A & B & A & 0 & A & 0 & B & A & 0 & B \\
\mathbf{o}^{t} & 0 & B & A & A & 0 & B & B & A & 0 & B & A & 0 \\
\mathbf{o}^{t} & A & 0 & B & 0 & B & A & A & 0 & B & B & A & 0 \\
\mathbf{o}^{t} & A & 0 & B & A & 0 & B & 0 & B & A & A & 0 & B \\
\mathbf{o}^{t} & A & 0 & B & B & A & 0 & B & A & 0 & 0 & B & A \\
\mathbf{o}^{t} & B & A & 0 & 0 & B & A & B & A & 0 & A & 0 & B \\
\mathbf{o}^{t} & B & A & 0 & A & 0 & B & A & 0 & B & 0 & B & A \\
\mathbf{o}^{t} & B & A & 0 & B & A & 0 & 0 & B & A & B & A & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

$$
\mathbf{A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc}
1 & \mathbf{e} & \mathbf{e} & \mathbf{e} & \mathbf{o} & \mathbf{o} & \mathbf{o} & \mathbf{o} & \mathbf{o} & \mathbf{o} & \mathbf{o} & \mathbf{o} & \mathbf{o} \\
\mathbf{e}^{t} & I & I & I & 0 & 0 & 0 & B & B & B & A & A & A \\
\mathbf{e}^{t} & I & I & I & A & A & A & 0 & 0 & 0 & B & B & B \\
\mathbf{e}^{t} & I & I & I & B & B & B & A & A & A & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\mathbf{o}^{t} & 0 & B & A & 0 & B & A & 0 & A & B & 0 & A & B \\
\mathbf{o}^{t} & 0 & B & A & B & A & 0 & B & 0 & A & B & 0 & A \\
\mathbf{o}^{t} & 0 & B & A & A & 0 & B & A & B & 0 & A & B & 0 \\
\mathbf{o}^{t} & A & 0 & B & 0 & B & A & B & 0 & A & A & B & 0 \\
\mathbf{o}^{t} & A & 0 & B & A & 0 & B & 0 & A & B & B & 0 & A \\
\mathbf{o}^{t} & A & 0 & B & B & A & 0 & A & B & 0 & 0 & A & B \\
\mathbf{o}^{t} & B & A & 0 & 0 & B & A & A & B & 0 & B & 0 & A \\
\mathbf{o}^{t} & B & A & 0 & A & 0 & B & B & 0 & A & 0 & A & B \\
\mathbf{o}^{t} & B & A & 0 & B & A & 0 & 0 & A & B & A & B & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Here $I$ is the identity matrix of order 5,0 - the all-zero matrix of size 5 -by- $5, A$ is the circulant of order five with first row (01001), $B$ is the circulant with first row (00110), $\mathbf{e}=(11111)$, and $\mathbf{o}=(00000)$. Generators of the full automorphism groups of $\mathcal{D}_{1}, \mathcal{D}_{2}, \mathcal{D}_{3}$ are given in Table 1.

Table 1.

| Design | Generators | $\left\|A u t \mathcal{D}_{i}\right\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ | $\varphi, \psi$ $(162126) \ldots(202530)(314136) \ldots(354540)(465651) \ldots(506055)$ $(163146) \ldots(203550)(214156) \ldots(254560)(263651) \ldots(304055)$ | 90 |
| $\mathcal{D}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{\|cc\|} \hline \varphi, \psi \\ (163656) \ldots(204060)(213151) \ldots(253555)(264146) \ldots(304550) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 30 |
| $\mathcal{D}_{3}$ | $\varphi, \psi$ $(1611) \ldots(51015)(313641) \ldots(354045)(465651) \ldots(506055)$ $(162126) \ldots(202530)(314136) \ldots(354540)(465651) \ldots(506055)$ $(163146) \ldots(203550)(214156) \ldots(254560)(263651) \ldots(304055)$ | 270 |

4. The residual $2-(45,12,4)$ designs. Deleting blocks from the constructed $2-(61,16,4)$ designs, we obtain 25 nonisomorphic $2-(45,12,4)$ designs. They are denoted by $\mathcal{D}_{i}^{\prime}, i=1,2, \ldots, 25$. In Table 2 we list the way of obtaining each one of them along with the order of its full automorphism group.

For each point $x$ we calculated the number $m^{(x)}$ of unordered pairs $(y, z)$, $y \neq x, z \neq x$, such that $x, y$ and $z$ occur together in exactly $\lambda$ blocks. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a block design. The number of points $C_{i}$ with a given $m^{(x)}=i$ is an invariant for
$\mathcal{D}$. It turns out that this invariant distinguishes all residual designs in Table 2 with one exception - the designs $\mathcal{D}_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{6}^{\prime}$. These two designs are distinguished by the orders of their full automorphism groups.

Table 2.

|  | $\mathcal{D}_{1}^{\prime}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{2}^{\prime}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{3}^{\prime}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{4}^{\prime}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{5}^{\prime}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{6}^{\prime}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{7}^{\prime}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{8}^{\prime}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{9}^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obtained from | $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{2}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{2}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{2}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{2}$ |
| Deleted block | 0 | 1 | 16 | 31 | 46 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 31 |
| $\mid$ Aut $\mathcal{D}_{i}^{\prime} \mid$ | 540 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 180 | 2 | 2 | 6 |


|  | $\mathcal{D}_{10}^{\prime}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{11}^{\prime}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{12}^{\prime}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{13}^{\prime}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{14}^{\prime}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{15}^{\prime}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{16}^{\prime}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{17}^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obtained from | $\mathcal{D}_{2}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{2}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{2}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{3}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{3}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{4}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{4}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{4}$ |
| Deleted block | 36 | 41 | 46 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 6 | 11 |
| $\mid$ Aut $\mathcal{D}_{i}^{\prime} \mid$ | 6 | 6 | 2 | 18 | 6 | 18 | 18 | 18 |


|  | $\mathcal{D}_{18}^{\prime}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{19}^{\prime}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{20}^{\prime}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{21}^{\prime}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{22}^{\prime}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{23}^{\prime}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{24}^{\prime}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{25}^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obtained from | $\mathcal{D}_{4}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{5}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{5}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{5}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{5}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{5}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{5}$ | $\mathcal{D}_{5}$ |
| Deleted block | 16 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 11 | 16 | 21 | 26 |
| $\mid$ Aut $\mathcal{D}_{i}^{\prime} \mid$ | 2 | 30 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

5. Concluding remarks. Designs with parameters 2-(45,12,4) might be of interest in connection with the problem of finding new extremal self-orthogonal codes of length 60 . The incidence matrix of a $2-(45,12,4)$ design can be considered as a generator matrix of a binary self-orthogonal code with parameters $[60, k], k \leq 30$. There is a special interest in such codes of dimension $k=30$ and minimum distance $d=12[5][3]$. It has been proved in [5] that the possible weight enumerators of an extremal self orthogonal $[60,30,12]$ code are either

$$
W(z)=1+(2555+64 \beta) z^{12}+(33600-384 \beta) z^{14}+(278865+576 \beta) z^{16} \ldots,
$$

where $0 \leq \beta \leq 10$, or

$$
W(z)=1+3451 z^{12}+24128 z^{14}+336081 z^{16} \ldots .
$$

It is still unknown whether there exist extremal self-orthogonal singly-even codes for the weight enumerators with $\beta=2,3, \ldots, 9$. Unfortunately, all codes obtained from $\mathcal{D}_{1}^{\prime}-\mathcal{D}_{25}^{\prime}$ have dimension less than 30 . There is some hope that such an
approach may work for $[45,12,4]$ designs invariant under the cyclic group of order 5 fixing no points or blocks.
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